13 October 2017

Eugene T. Flannery  
Mayor’s Office of Housing and Community Development  
1 South Van Ness Avenue, 5th Floor  
San Francisco, California  94103

Subject: Preliminary Geotechnical Study  
730 Stanyan Street  
San Francisco, California  
Langan Project No.: 731639401

Dear Mr. Flannery:

This letter presents the results of our preliminary geotechnical study for the proposed development at 730 Stanyan Street in San Francisco, California. Our services were performed in accordance with our proposal dated 28 August 2017. The objectives of our study were to evaluate available subsurface information in the site vicinity and develop preliminary conclusions and recommendations regarding the geotechnical aspects of the project for conceptual design. During the design development phase of the project, we should perform a design-level geotechnical investigation that should include field exploration and laboratory testing.

1.0 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The project site is bound by Stanyan Street to the west, Waller Street to the south, Haight Street to the north, and buildings to the east, as shown on Figure 1. Currently, the site is occupied by McDonald’s and a paved parking lot.

We understand the proposed project entails the demolition of the McDonald’s and paved parking lot and the construction of an eight-story concrete residential building with 176 affordable dwelling units and ground floor commercial space, youth programs space, bike storage, and a 4,000 square foot at-grade open space. The proposed project does not include vehicular parking. The structure will be at-grade.

We performed a preliminary environmental study for the proposed development and presented the results in a separate report.
2.0 SCOPE OF SERVICES

We reviewed the results of available subsurface information in the site vicinity to evaluate subsurface site conditions and develop preliminary conclusions and recommendations regarding the geotechnical aspects of the proposed development, including:

- subsurface information including depth to groundwater
- site seismicity and seismic hazards, including fault rupture, liquefaction, and densification potential
- feasible foundation types and preliminary design values for foundation design
- underpinning of adjacent structures, as needed
- 2016 California Building Code mapped values
- geotechnical construction related issues

3.0 SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS

To evaluate subsurface conditions at the site, we reviewed geologic and seismic hazard maps and geotechnical investigations performed by Treadwell & Rollo (our predecessor firm) and others in the vicinity of the site. The documents we reviewed include:

- Map titled “State of California Seismic Hazard Zones, City and County of San Francisco Official Map” by the California Department of Conservation, Division of Mines and Geology, 17 November 2001.
- Geotechnical Engineering Inc. “Geotechnical Review of Foundation Plan and Details, Planned Shear Wall Addition to Existing Building, 1855 Haight Street, San Francisco, California.” 3 March 1997.
- Treadwell & Rollo, “Geotechnical Investigation, Kezar Pavilion, San Francisco, California,” project number 3976.01, 3 December 2004.

The sites with available subsurface information are shown on the site plan, Figure 2. Figure 3 shows the regional geology of the San Francisco North Quadrangle. Figure 4 shows the seismic hazard map of San Francisco. Boring logs from the investigations that we used in our evaluation are included in Appendix A.

We anticipate foundations and other elements from previous developments are likely present in the near surface soil. We anticipate the site is covered by 5 to 20 feet of loose to medium dense sandy fill. Fill thickness likely increases from northeast to southwest. The fill may be
underlain by stiff sandy clay about 5 feet thick or medium dense to dense dune sand. The fill and dune sand, and clay if present, are likely underlain by sandy clay and bedrock of the Franciscan formation. We anticipate the groundwater level is deeper than 20 feet from existing site grades. Where clay is present below the fill, a perched groundwater may be present. The site is not within a mapped area of potential seismic hazard as shown on Figure 4.

4.0 REGIONAL SEISMICITY

The project site is in a seismically active region. Numerous earthquakes have been recorded in the region in the past, and moderate to large earthquakes should be anticipated during the service life of the proposed development. The San Andreas, San Gregorio, and Hayward Faults are the major faults closest to the site. These and other faults of the region are shown on Figure 5. For each of these faults, as well as other active faults within about 50 kilometers (km) of the site, the distance from the site and estimated mean characteristic Moment magnitude\(^1\) [Working Group on California Earthquake Probabilities (WGCEP) and Cao et al. (2003)] are summarized in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fault Segment</th>
<th>Approximate Distance from Site (km)</th>
<th>Direction from Site</th>
<th>Mean Characteristic Moment Magnitude</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N. San Andreas – Peninsula</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>West</td>
<td>7.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. San Andreas (1906 event)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>West</td>
<td>8.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. San Andreas – North Coast</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>West</td>
<td>7.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Gregorio Connected</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>West</td>
<td>7.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Hayward</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Northeast</td>
<td>7.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Hayward-Rodgers Creek</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Northeast</td>
<td>7.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rodgers Creek</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>North</td>
<td>7.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mount Diablo Thrust</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>East</td>
<td>6.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Point Reyes</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>West</td>
<td>6.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Calaveras</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>East</td>
<td>7.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monte Vista-Shannon</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>Southeast</td>
<td>6.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green Valley Connected</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>East</td>
<td>6.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Napa</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>Northeast</td>
<td>6.70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\) Moment magnitude is an energy-based scale and provides a physically meaningful measure of the size of a faulting event. Moment magnitude is directly related to average slip and fault rupture area.
Figure 5 also shows the earthquake epicenters for events with magnitude greater than 5.0 from January 1800 through August 2014. Since 1800, four major earthquakes have been recorded on the San Andreas Fault. In 1836 an earthquake with an estimated maximum intensity of VII on the Modified Mercalli (MM) scale (Figure 6) occurred east of Monterey Bay on the San Andreas Fault (Toppozada and Borchardt 1998). The estimated Moment magnitude, $M_w$, for this earthquake is about 6.25. In 1838, an earthquake occurred with an estimated intensity of about VIII-IX (MM), corresponding to an $M_w$ of about 7.5. The San Francisco Earthquake of 1906 caused the most significant damage in the history of the Bay Area in terms of loss of lives and property damage. This earthquake created a surface rupture along the San Andreas Fault from Shelter Cove to San Juan Bautista approximately 470 kilometers in length. It had a maximum intensity of XI (MM), an $M_w$ of about 7.9, and was felt 560 kilometers away in Oregon, Nevada, and Los Angeles. The Loma Prieta Earthquake occurred on 17 October 1989 in the Santa Cruz Mountains with an $M_w$ of 6.9, the epicenter of which is approximately 96 km from the site. The most recent earthquake to affect the Bay Area occurred on 24 August 2014 and was located on the West Napa fault, approximately 52 kilometers northeast of the site, with an $M_w$ of 6.0.

In 1868 an earthquake with an estimated maximum intensity of X on the MM scale occurred on the southern segment (between San Leandro and Fremont) of the Hayward Fault. The estimated $M_w$ for the earthquake is 7.0. In 1861, an earthquake of unknown magnitude (probably an $M_w$ of about 6.5) was reported on the Calaveras Fault. The most recent significant earthquake on this fault was the 1984 Morgan Hill earthquake ($M_w = 6.2$).

The 2014 Working Group for California Earthquake Probabilities (WGCEP) at the U.S. Geologic Survey (USGS) predicted a 72 percent chance of a magnitude 6.7 or greater earthquake occurring in the San Francisco Bay Area in 30 years (WGCEP 2015). More specific estimates of the probabilities for different faults in the Bay Area are presented in Table 2.

**TABLE 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fault</th>
<th>Probability (percent)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hayward-Rodgers Creek</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. San Andreas</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calaveras</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Gregorio</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.0 SEISMIC HAZARDS

During a major earthquake on a segment of one of the nearby faults, strong to very strong shaking is expected to occur at the site. Strong shaking during an earthquake can result in ground failure such as that associated with soil liquefaction\(^2\), lateral spreading\(^3\), and seismic densification\(^4\). These and other hazards are discussed in the remainder of this section.

Historically, ground surface displacements closely follow the traces of geologically young faults. The site is not within an Earthquake Fault Zone, as defined by the Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Act; no known active or potentially active faults exist on the site. In a seismically active area, the remote possibility exists for future faulting in areas where no faults previously existed; however, we conclude the risk of surface faulting at the site is low.

As shown on Figure 4, the site is not within a seismic hazard area. Groundwater is not anticipated within the upper 20 feet of the site, and the sand below this depth should be dense to very dense. We therefore conclude the potential for liquefaction and lateral spreading is low at the project site. This should be confirmed during the design level investigation.

Seismic densification can occur during strong ground shaking in loose, clean granular deposits above the water level, resulting in ground surface settlement. The available geotechnical reports indicate that nearby structures experienced differential settlement from the Loma Prieta earthquake in 1989. We anticipate loose and medium dense sand at the project site will experience densification during a major seismic event on a nearby active fault. We anticipate the amount of settlement could be on the order of six inches depending on the amount of fill, fines, and earthquake magnitude. Differential settlement of the fill may be large and erratic. Seismic densification at the project site should be further evaluated during the design geotechnical investigation.

6.0 PRELIMINARY CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

On the basis of our preliminary evaluation, we conclude the proposed development is feasible from a geotechnical standpoint. The main preliminary geotechnical issues for the proposed development are:

- presence of loose fill and loose dune sand in the upper 20 feet of the site

---

\(^2\) Liquefaction is a transformation of soil from a solid to a liquefied state during which saturated soil temporarily loses strength resulting from the buildup of excess pore water pressure, especially during earthquake-induced cyclic loading. Soil susceptible to liquefaction includes loose to medium dense sand and gravel, low-plasticity silt, and some low-plasticity clay deposits.

\(^3\) Lateral spreading is a phenomenon in which surficial soil displaces along a shear zone that has formed within an underlying liquefied layer. Upon reaching mobilization, the surficial blocks are transported downslope or in the direction of a free face by earthquake and gravitational forces.

\(^4\) Seismic densification is a phenomenon in which non-saturated, cohesionless soil is compacted by earthquake vibrations, causing differential settlement.
- total and differential of ground settlement at the site during a major earthquake on a nearby active fault
- selection of feasible foundation(s) for the proposed structure

The existing fill and native loose to medium dense dune sand anticipated at the site are not suitable for building support. The proposed structure should be supported shallow footings bearing on improved soil, or, on a deep foundation system. Feasible ground improvement techniques include fill removal and replacement with engineered fill, drilled displacement columns and deep soil mixing. Feasible deep foundation types include drilled shafts and auger-cast piles (displacing or non-displacing). A structurally supported slab will likely be required with deep foundations unless ground improvement is performed to mitigate seismic densification.

Drilled shafts or other techniques involving open-hole drilling should consider the potential for caving caused by loose sandy soils and shallow groundwater. Considering that several structures previously occupied the site, old foundations, utilities, and other buried obstructions may be present. For the option to support the structure on engineered fill, fill compaction will need to be performed with vibrations off.

Deep foundations or ground improvement should extend through the fill anticipated in the upper 20 feet of the site. The performance of the soil under the anticipated building and earthquake loads should be evaluated during the design-level investigation to confirm its settlement can be accommodated in the foundation design. The performance of the soils and the foundation system selected will also impact the seismic parameters used for structural design. If groundwater is found at a higher than anticipated depth, then there may be a potential for liquefaction. If liquefiable soils are left unmitigated, site-specific response spectra may be required.

Feasible foundation options are discussed in the following subsections. The design-level geotechnical investigation should include recommendations for the design of the selected foundation system, seismic design, site grading, and other geotechnical aspects of the project.

### 6.1 Shallow Foundations Supported on Mechanically Improved (Engineered) Fill

If the fill and native loose to medium dense sand are of uniform thickness across the site, footings and the building slab can be supported directly on engineered fill. This option will require removal of the fill and loose to medium dense sand in their entirety, and their placement as engineered fill. In addition, where sloping is not feasible, shoring will be required. Underpinning will be required where the excavation extends below the bottom of existing footings. Systems that involve impact driving or large vibrations are likely not feasible because of the potential impacts to adjacent structures.

A maximum allowable soil bearing pressure of 3,500 pounds per square foot (psf) for dead plus live loads may be used for shallow footings supported on engineered fill.
Soil excavation will likely require shoring and underpinning. A feasible shoring system is a soldier-pile with wood-lagging shoring system. This system consists of steel piles that are placed in predrilled holes; the annulus between the piles and the sides of the hole are backfilled with concrete. Wood lagging is placed between the soldier piles as excavation proceeds. Tiebacks or internal bracing should be installed to provide lateral resistance and limit deflection, as appropriate.

Buildings adjacent to the site that have foundations above the excavation depth should be underpinned. Hand-excavated, end-bearing piers or slant drilled piers should be used to underpin the building. Depending on the depth of the underpinning, tiebacks or internal bracing may need to be installed to laterally support the underpinning.

During excavation, the temporary shoring system may yield and deform, which can cause surrounding improvements to settle and move laterally. The magnitude of shoring movements and resulting ground deformations are difficult to estimate because they depend on many factors, including the contractor’s skill and quality control in the shoring installation.

6.2 Shallow Foundations Supported on Improved In-Place Fill

Onsite fill may be improved by installing drilled displacement columns (DDCs) or deep soil mixing as discussed in this section. Settlement of foundations supported on improved soil (soil-cement columns or DDCs) should be evaluated by the design-build contractor based on the anticipated building loads. Settlement of foundations supported on soil-cement columns or on DDCs that extend into competent soil is typically less than 1 inch; differential settlement should be on the order of ½ inch between adjacent columns. The majority of the settlement should occur during construction.

6.2.1 Deep Soil Mixing

Deep soil mixing (also referred to as soil-cement columns) is in-place soil treatment with cement grout using mixing shafts consisting of auger cutting heads, discontinuous flight augers, or blades/paddles. Soil-cement mixing may be installed in a variety of patterns including cellular blocks, a grid pattern, or columns. Typical soil-cement columns have a minimum diameter of three feet. The soil-cement columns should be installed in a pattern that adequately resists the anticipated lateral forces and transfers building loads into the medium dense to very dense native sand. Resistance to lateral loads will be developed by friction along the contact area between the soil-cement column shafts and bottom of the foundation. Use of soil cement columns will require testing to confirm the strength of deep soil mixing. The soil replacement ratio of deep soil mixing can vary from 40 to 60 percent, depending on the building loads and subsurface soil.

6.2.2 Drilled Displacement Columns (DDCs)

DDCs are installed at footing locations to transfer the support of building loads through the fill into deeper, competent soil. DDCs also improve the adjacent soil during installation. DDCs are constructed by using a displacement auger to create a soil shaft that is filled with CLSM (Controlled Low Strength Material) injected under pressure as the displacement auger is
withdrawn from the hole. DDCs vary from 18 to 36 inches in diameter; the selected diameter is based on building loads and number of columns per footing location. Strengths of the CLSM typically range from 100 to 500 psi at 28 days, depending on the foundation load requirements. Installation of DDCs produces minimal soil cuttings because the soil is displaced during column installation. Use of DDCs will require performance of load tests to confirm estimated capacities.

### 6.3 Drilled Piers

Drilled shafts gaining support in the native dense sand, below the fill and loose native sand may be designed using a preliminary allowable skin friction value of 1,000 psf. We anticipate total and differential settlement for drilled piers will be on the order inch and ½ inch, respectively. Drilled piers should have a minimum diameter for 18 inches, and be spaced no closer than three diameters, center-to-center.

### 6.4 Auger-Cast Piles Extending to Dense Sand below Sandy Fill

Auger cast piles are installed by rotating a continuous-flight hollow shaft auger into the soil to a specified depth. High strength cement grout is pumped under pressure through the hollow shaft as the auger is slowly withdrawn. The resulting grout column hardens and forms an auger cast pile, typically 16- to 20-inches in diameter. Reinforcing is installed while the cement grout is still fluid. Auger cast piles extending at least 20 feet into dense sand are capable of supporting allowable dead-plus-live loads on the order of 200 kips. The design of the auger cast piles would be performed by the designer of a specialty contractor with input from us and the project structural engineer.

### 7.0 SEISMIC DESIGN CRITERIA

For seismic design of the structure in accordance with the provisions of 2016 San Francisco Building Code, we recommend using Site Class D (stiff soil) for foundations supported on improved soil. The seismic parameters for Site Class D are listed below:

- Risk Targeted Maximum Considered Earthquake (MCE) $S_s$ and $S_t$ of 1.62 g and 0.75g, respectively
- Site Coefficients $F_a$ and $F_v$ of 1.0 and 1.5
- Maximum Considered Earthquake (MCE) spectral response acceleration parameters at short periods, $S_{MS}$, and at one-second period, $S_{M1}$, of 1.62g and 1.12g, respectively.
- Design Earthquake (DE) spectral response acceleration parameters at short period, $S_{DS}$, and at one-second period, $S_{D1}$, of 1.08g and 0.75g, respectively.
8.0 CONSTRUCTION CONSIDERATIONS

We anticipate the onsite soil can be excavated using conventional earth moving equipment. Remnants of previous buildings (foundations, slabs, walls), building debris, and other obstructions may be encountered during shoring, excavation, and deep foundation installation.

9.0 LIMITATIONS

The conclusions and recommendations presented herein are preliminary and should not be relied upon for design. A design–level geotechnical investigation should be performed to evaluate subsurface conditions and for the development of recommendations regarding the geotechnical aspects of the project.

If you have any questions, please contact us.

Sincerely,
Langan Engineering & Environmental Services, Inc.

Kristen Lease, PE
Project Engineer

Maria G. Flessas, GE
Principal

Attachments: Figure 1 – Site Location Map
Figure 2 – Site Plan
Figure 3 – Regional Geologic Map
Figure 4 – Regional Hazard Zones Map
Figure 5 – Map of Major Faults and Earthquake Epicenters in the San Francisco Bay Area
Figure 6 – Modified Mercalli Intensity Scale
Appendix A – Boring Logs in the Site Vicinity
Notes:

World street basemap is provided through Langan’s Esri ArcGIS software licensing and ArcGIS online.

Credits: Sources: Esri, DeLorme, NAVTEQ, USGS, Intermap, iPC, NRCAN.
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EXPLANATION

Liquefaction; Areas where historic occurrence of liquefaction, or local topographic, geological, geotechnical, and subsurface water conditions indicate a potential for permanent ground displacements.

Earthquake-Induced Landslides; Areas where previous occurrence of landslide movement, or local topographic, geological, geotechnical, and subsurface water conditions indicate a potential for permanent ground displacements.

Reference:
State of California "Seismic Hazard Zones"
City and County of San Francisco
Released on November 17, 2001
Earthquake Epicenter
- Magnitude 5 to 5.9
- Magnitude 6 to 6.9
- Magnitude 7 to 7.4
- Magnitude 7.5 to 8
- Fault
- County Boundary

Notes:
1. Quaternary fault data displayed are based on a generalized version of U.S Geological Survey (USGS) Quaternary Fault and fold database, 2010. For cartographic purposes only.
2. The Earthquake Epicenter (Magnitude) data is provided by the USGS and is current through 08/26/2014.
3. Basemap hillshade and County boundaries provided by USGS and California Department of Transportation.
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MAP OF MAJOR FAULTS AND EARTHQUAKE EPICENTERS IN THE SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA

Date 9/18/2017 Project No. 731639401 Figure 5
I Not felt by people, except under especially favorable circumstances. However, dizziness or nausea may be experienced. Sometimes birds and animals are uneasy or disturbed. Trees, structures, liquids, bodies of water may sway gently, and doors may swing very slowly.

II Felt indoors by a few people, especially on upper floors of multi-story buildings, and by sensitive or nervous persons. As in Grade I, birds and animals are disturbed, and trees, structures, liquids and bodies of water may sway. Hanging objects swing, especially if they are delicately suspended.

III Felt indoors by several people, usually as a rapid vibration that may not be recognized as an earthquake at first. Vibration is similar to that of a light, or lightly loaded trucks, or heavy trucks some distance away. Duration may be estimated in some cases. Movements may be appreciable on upper levels of tall structures. Standing motor cars may rock slightly.

IV Felt indoors by many, outdoors by a few. Awakens a few individuals, particularly light sleepers, but frightens no one except those apprehensive from previous experience. Vibration like that due to passing of heavy, or heavily loaded trucks. Sensation like a heavy body striking building, or the falling of heavy objects inside.

V Felt indoors by practically everyone, outdoors by most people. Direction can often be estimated by those outdoors. Awakens many, or most sleepers. Frightens a few people, with slight excitement; some persons run outdoors.

VI Felt by everyone, indoors and outdoors. Awakens all sleepers. Frightens many people; general excitement, and some persons run outdoors.

VII Frightens everyone. General alarm, and everyone runs outdoors.

VIII General fright, and alarm approaches panic.

IX Panic is general.

X Panic is general.

XI Panic is general.

XII Panic is general.

730 STANYAN STREET
San Francisco, California

MODIFIED MERCALLI INTENSITY SCALE
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BOURING LOCATION MAP
798 Stanyan Street
San Francisco, California
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pocket Number</th>
<th>Penetrometer (pd)</th>
<th>Moisture Content (%)</th>
<th>Dry Density (pcf)</th>
<th>% Passing #200 Sieve</th>
<th>Depth (Feet)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>44</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td>62/6*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

** BROWN CLAYEY SAND (SC), dense to medium dense, moist to wet

- medium dense
- very dense

** Bottom of Boring 1 @ 20.5 Feet
No Free Water Encountered

---

Earth Mechanics
Consulting Engineers

Log No: 99-1377

Appr:
Drwn: CD
Date: FEB 2000

LOG OF BORING 1

798 Stanyan Street
San Francisco, California
EQUIPMENT: 4' Flight Auger
LOGGED BY: A. Gruen
ELEVATION: **
START DATE: 2-18-00
FINISH DATE: 2-18-00

** BROWN CLAYEY SAND (SC), medium dense, moist to wet

- brick debris

(FILL)

** BROWN POORLY GRADED SAND WITH CLAY (SP-SC), loose, saturated

(FILL)

** BROWN CLAYEY SAND (SC), dense to very dense, wet to saturated

BOTTOM OF BORING 2 @ 16 FEET
Water @ 11.5'

* Converted to equivalent standard penetration blow counts.
** Existing ground surface at time of drilling.

Earth Mechanics Consulting Engineers

Job No: 99-1377
Appr: 
Drwn: CD
Date: FEB 2000

LOG OF BORING 2

798 Stanyan Street
San Francisco, California
## Unified Soil Classification System

### Major Divisions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coarse Grained Soils</th>
<th>Typical Names</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>More than half coarse fraction is larger than No. 4 sieve</td>
<td>Clean Gravels with little or no fines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than half coarse fraction is smaller than No. 4 sieve</td>
<td>Clean Sands with little or no fines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Fine Grained Soils

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Silts and Clays</th>
<th>Typical Names</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Liquid limit less than 50</td>
<td>Inorganic Silts and Very Fine Sands, Rock Flour, Silty or Clayey Fine Sands, or Clayey Silts with slight plasticity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ML</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquid limit greater than 50</td>
<td>Inorganic Clays of High Plasticity, Fat Clays</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OH</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Key to Test Data

- **Consol**: Consolidation
- **LL**: Liquid Limit (in %)
- **PL**: Plastic Limit (in %)
- **PI**: Plasticity Index
- **Gs**: Specific Gravity
- **SA**: Sieve Analysis
- **Undisturbed Sample (2.5-inch ID)**
- **2-inch-ID Sample**
- **Standard Penetration Test**
- **Bulk Sample**
- **Shear Strength, psf**
- **Confining Pressure, psf**

**Unconfined Compression vs. Laboratory Vane Shear**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Type</th>
<th>Formula</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unconfined Compression</td>
<td>UC</td>
<td>4200 psi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laboratory Vane Shear</td>
<td>LVS</td>
<td>500 psi</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SOIL CLASSIFICATION CHART AND KEY TO TEST DATA**

Earth Mechanics Consulting Engineers

Job No: 99-1377
Appr: CD
Drwn: CD
Date: FEB 2000

798 Stanley Street
San Francisco, California
APPENDIX C

Field Exploration

Our field exploration consisted of a geologic reconnaissance and subsurface exploration by means of two test borings logged by our project engineer on February 18, 2000. The test borings were drilled with a truck mounted rig utilizing continuous flight, 6 inch diameter augers. The borings were drilled at the approximate locations shown on the Boring Location Map, Plate 1.

The Logs of Borings are displayed on Plates 2 and 3. Representative undisturbed samples of the earth materials were obtained from the test borings at selected depth intervals with a 2-inch diameter Standard Penetration Test (SPT) split spoon sampler.

Penetration resistance blow counts were obtained by dropping a 140 pound hammer through a 30 inch free fall. The sampler was driven 18 inches or to refusal and the number of blows was recorded for each 6 inches of penetration or fraction thereof in the case of refusal. The blows per foot recorded on the boring logs represent the accumulated number of blows that were required to drive the sampler the last 12 inches or fraction thereof.

The soil classification is shown on the boring logs and is referenced on Plate 4, Soil Classification Chart and Key to Test Data.

Laboratory Testing

Natural water content was determined on selected soil samples recovered from the test borings; the data are recorded at the appropriate sample depths on the boring logs. Selected samples were washed through the # 200 sieve to determine the silt and clay content. The percent passing the #200 sieve shown on the boring logs indicates the combined silt and clay content of the samples tested.
Ref: Prelim. drawings by Urban Edge

BORING 2
BORING 1
New Shear Wall

Haight Street

Existing Building
1855 Haight Street
San Francisco, CA

SKETCH
GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING, INC.
PLATE 1
BORING 1

3" Diam. Minuteman Auger Hole
Drilled 2/03/97

Depth (Ft.)

0 -

SP 7" Conc. Slab
Light Brown FINE SAND
(Possible Fill), loose

4 -

SP Light Brown FINE SAND
medium to loose, easy drilling

1 1/2 - (12)*

Very slight water seepage @ 8'

8 -

CL Brownish Gray SANDY CLAY
slightly plastic, stiff, pocket
penetrometer=2 tsf

17% - (36)
LL=31% PL=15% PI=16%

12 -

SP Light Brown FINE SAND, medium to dense

10% - (90)

*45-lbs. weight falling 20-ins.
Note: Very slight water seepage @ 8'
(2/03/97)

16 -

20 -

DISTURBED SAMPLE
Blows per Foot

Natural Moisture Content (%)

LOG OF BORING

Scale 1" = 4'

GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING, INC.
PLATE 2
BORING 2

3" Diam. Minuteman Auger Hole

Drilled 2/03/97

Depth (Ft.)

0 -

SP 7" Conc. Slab
Light Brown FINE SAND
medium to loose,
easy drilling

4 -

2\% - (31)

CL Red Brown & Gray
SANDY CLAY, stiff,
slightly plastic,
friable, pocket
penetrometer=1.5 tsf

8 -

14\% - (52)

SP Light Brown FINE SAND,
dense to medium

12 -

16 -

Note: Free ground water not encountered

20 -

LOG OF BORING

Scale 1" = 4'

GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING, INC.

PLATE 3
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth (feet)</th>
<th>Samples Type</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>SPT N-Value</th>
<th>Material Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>S&amp;H</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>SILTY SAND with GRAVEL (SM) red brown, loose, dry, fine gravel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>SPT</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>SANDY GRAVEL (GP) red brown, medium dense, moist, with trace silt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>S&amp;H</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td>SAND (SP) dark brown, medium dense, dry, fine-grained sand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>SPT</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td>SAND (SP) white- brown, medium dense, dry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>S&amp;H</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td>CLAYEY SAND (SC) orange-brown, medium dense, moist, fine sand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>SPT</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
<td>SILTY SAND (SM) yellow-brown, dense, moist, trace medium to coarse sand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>S&amp;H</td>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Log of Boring B-1**

**PROJECT:** KEZAR PAVILION
San Francisco, California

**Date started:** 8/25/04  **Date finished:** 8/25/04

**Drilling method:** Hollow Stem Auger

**Hammer weight/drop:** 140 lbs./30-inches  **Hammer type:** Automatic

**Sampler:** Sprague & Henwood (S&H), Standard Penetration Test (SPT)

**LABORATORY TEST DATA**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Strength Test</th>
<th>Compressibility</th>
<th>Unit Weight</th>
<th>Liquid Limit</th>
<th>Plastic Limit</th>
<th>Fine %</th>
<th>Natural Moisture Content</th>
<th>Dry Density</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ground Surface Elevation:** 263 feet

1. S&H blow counts converted to SPT blow counts using a factor of 0.5. In addition, all blow counts are converted to SPT N-Values using a factor of 1.2 to account for the use of an automatic hammer.
2. Elevations based on San Francisco City datum (SFCD).
Boring terminated at 26.5 feet below ground surface.
Boring backfilled with cement grout.
Groundwater not encountered during drilling.

1 S&H blow counts converted to SPT blow counts using a factor of 0.5. In addition, all blow counts are converted to SPT N-Values using a factor of 1.2 to account for the use of an automatic hammer.
2 Elevations based on San Francisco City datum (SFCD).
**PROJECT:** KEZAR PAVILION  
San Francisco, California  

**Log of Boring B-3**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth (feet)</th>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Material Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>SPT</td>
<td>4-inch thick concrete sidewalk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2-inch thick baserock layer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SILTY SAND (SM) red, medium dense, dry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>becomes yellow-brown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>S&amp;H</td>
<td>SAND (SP) yellow-brown, loose, moist, fine-grained, trace silt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>SPT</td>
<td>SILTY SAND (SM) yellow-brown with red-yellow motting, medium dense, moist, fine grained</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>S&amp;H</td>
<td>becomes dense, trace medium to coarse sand, trace gravel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>SPT</td>
<td>SAND (SP) yellow-brown to light brown, dense, moist, fine-grained, trace silt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>S&amp;H</td>
<td>SILTY SAND (SM) yellow-brown, dense, moist, fine-grained</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ground Surface Elevation: 259.5 feet

LABORATORY TEST DATA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Strength Test</th>
<th>Consistency Limits</th>
<th>Shear Strength</th>
<th>Finer %</th>
<th>Natural Moisture Content %</th>
<th>Dry Density Limits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Boring terminated at 26.5 feet below ground surface.  
Boring backfilled with cement grout.  
Groundwater not encountered during drilling.

1 S&H blow counts converted to SPT blow counts using a factor of 0.5. In addition, all blow counts are converted to SPT N-Values using a factor of 1.2 to account for the use of an automatic hammer.

2 Elevations based on San Francisco City datum (SFCD).
Log of Boring B-4

Boring location: See Site Plan, Figure 2
Date started: 8/25/04
Date finished: 8/25/04
Logged by: C. Young

Drilling method: Hollow Stem Auger
Hammer weight/drop: 140 lbs./30-inches
Hammer type: Automatic
Sampler: Sprague & Henwood (S&H), Standard Penetration Test (SPT)

DEPTH (feet) | SAMPLES | MATERIAL DESCRIPTION
---|---|---
1 | 2 | 2-inch thick concreted sidewalk
2 | 3 | 3-inch thick baserock layer
3 | 18 | SILTY GRAVEL (GM)
     |     | red-gray, medium dense, dry
6 | 20 | CLAYEY GRAVEL (GC)
     |     | red-brown, red-gray, medium dense, moist, with shale fragments
9 | 7 | CLAYEY SAND with GRAVEL (SC)
     |     | olive-gray, olive-brown, loose, moist, fine to coarse sand, fine gravel
13 | 17 | CLAYEY GRAVEL (GC)
     |     | mottled olive-gray, olive-gray, gray brown, medium dense, moist
17 | 14 | SILTY SAND (SM)
     |     | red-brown to dark-brown, medium dense, moist
21 | 11 | clay lense
26 | 17 | SAND (SP)
     |     | brown, medium dense, moist, trace silt

Ground Surface Elevation: 262 feet

Boring terminated at 26.5 feet below ground surface.
Groundwater not encountered during drilling.

1 S&H blow counts converted to SPT blow counts using a factor of 0.5. In addition, all blow counts are converted to SPT
2 Elevations based on San Francisco City datum (SFCD).
# Unified Soil Classification System

## Major Divisions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Typical Names</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gravels</td>
<td>GW</td>
<td>Well-graded gravels or gravel-sand mixtures, little or no fines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GP</td>
<td>Poorly-graded gravels or gravel-sand mixtures, little or no fines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GM</td>
<td>Silty gravels, gravel-sand-silt mixtures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GC</td>
<td>Clayey gravels, gravel-sand-clay mixtures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sands</td>
<td>SW</td>
<td>Well-graded sands or gravelly sands, little or no fines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SP</td>
<td>Poorly-graded sands or gravelly sands, little or no fines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SM</td>
<td>Silty sands, sand-silt mixtures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>Clayey sands, sand-clay mixtures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silts and Clays</td>
<td>ML</td>
<td>Inorganic silts and clayey silts of low plasticity, sandy silts, gravelly silts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL = &lt; 50</td>
<td>CL</td>
<td>Inorganic clays of low to medium plasticity, gravelly clays, sandy clays, lean clays</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OL</td>
<td>Organic silts and organic silt-clays of low plasticity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MH</td>
<td>Inorganic silts of high plasticity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CH</td>
<td>Inorganic clays of high plasticity, fat clays</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>Organic silts and clays of high plasticity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highly Organic Soils</td>
<td>PT</td>
<td>Peat and other highly organic soils</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Grain Size Chart

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>Range of Grain Sizes</th>
<th>U.S. Standard Sieve Size</th>
<th>Grain Size in Millimeters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Boulders</td>
<td>Above 12&quot;</td>
<td>Above 305</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobbles</td>
<td>12&quot; to 3&quot;</td>
<td>305 to 76.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gravel coarse</td>
<td>3&quot; to No. 4</td>
<td>76.2 to 4.76</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gravel fine</td>
<td>3 to 3/4&quot;</td>
<td>76.2 to 19.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gravel fine</td>
<td>3/4&quot; to No. 4</td>
<td>19.1 to 4.76</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sand coarse</td>
<td>No. 4 to No. 200</td>
<td>4.76 to 0.074</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sand coarse</td>
<td>No. 4 to No. 10</td>
<td>4.76 to 0.074</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sand coarse</td>
<td>No. 10 to No. 40</td>
<td>2.00 to 0.420</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sand fine</td>
<td>No. 40 to No. 200</td>
<td>0.420 to 0.074</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silt and Clay</td>
<td>Below No. 200</td>
<td>Below 0.074</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Sample Designations/Symbols

- Sample taken with Sprague & Henwood split-barrel sampler with a 3.0-inch outside diameter and a 2.43-inch inside diameter. Darkened area indicates soil recovered.
- Classification sample taken with Standard Penetration Test sampler.
- Undisturbed sample taken with thin-walled tube.
- Disturbed sample.
- Sampling attempted with no recovery.
- Core sample.
- Analytical laboratory sample.
- Sample taken with Direct Push sampler.

## Sampler Type

- **C**: Core barrel.
- **CA**: California split-barrel sampler with 2.5-inch outside diameter and a 1.93-inch inside diameter.
- **D&M**: Dames & Moore piston sampler using 2.5-inch outside diameter, thin-walled tube.
- **O**: Osterberg piston sampler using 3.0-inch outside diameter, thin-walled Shelby tube.
- **PT**: Pitcher tube sampler using 3.0-inch outside diameter, thin-walled Shelby tube.
- **S&H**: Sprague & Henwood split-barrel sampler with a 3.0-inch outside diameter and a 2.43-inch inside diameter.
- **SPT**: Standard Penetration Test (SPT) split-barrel sampler with a 2.0-inch outside diameter and a 1.5-inch inside diameter.
- **ST**: Shelby Tube (3.0-inch outside diameter, thin-walled tube) advanced with hydraulic pressure.

## Classification Chart

**KEZAR PAVILION**
San Francisco, California

Date 09/17/04 | Project No. 3976.01 | Figure A-5