[HUD Region IX Recommended Format -Revised 2011 - previous versions are obsolete]

Use this worksheet <u>only</u> for projects that are Categorically Excluded per 24 CFR Section 58.35(a). (Note: Compliance with the laws and statutes listed at 24 CFR §58.6 must also be documented).

# 24 CFR §58.5 STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORDERS & REGULATIONS

PROJECT NAME and DESCRIPTION - Include all contemplated actions that logically are either geographically or functionally part of the project:

Agency:	Board of Trustees of the Glide Foundation	
Project Site Address:	330 Ellis Street San Francisco, CA 94102	
APN:	0324/027	
Date of Initial Construction:	1930	
Project Description:	Replace roof at a multipurpose community facility	(()
HUD Program Funding	\$60,000 CDBG	
Total Project Cost	\$71,000	

This proposal is determined to be categorically excluded according to 24 CFR 58.35(a)(3)(iii): In the case of non-residential structures, including commercial, industrial, and public buildings:

A. The facilities and improvements are in place and will not be changed in size or capacity by more than 20 percent; and

B. The activity does not involve a change in land use, such as from non-residential to residential, commercial to industrial, or from one industrial use to another.

Historic Preservation [36 CFR Part 800]	В	The building was initially constructed in 1930 and, as such, is more than 50 years old.
		The undertaking consists of tenant improvements which include:
		Replace roof at a multipurpose community facility.
		The San Francisco Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development has reviewed the project under the 2007 Programmatic Agreement and determined that the undertaking is exempt from review by the SHPO or ACHP per Stipulation IV, Paragraph C, referencing Appendix A.
		These activities are listed in Appendix A of the Programmatic Agreement list of activities which require only administrative review by the City and County of San Francisco and not the SHPO
		No Historic Properties are Adversely Affected.
		Source Documents:
		City and County of San Francisco. Programmatic Agreement by and among the City and County of San Francisco, the California State Historic Preservation Officer, and the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation Regarding Historic Properties Affected by Use of Revenue from the Department of Housing and Urban Development Part 58 Programs. January 19, 2007;
		City of San Francisco Planning Department Property Information Map , <a href="http://ec2-50-17-237-182.compute-1.amazonaws.com/PIM//?dept=planning#BookmarkParcels">http://ec2-50-17-237-182.compute-1.amazonaws.com/PIM//?dept=planning#BookmarkParcels</a> , site accessed on July 8, 2014;
		United States Advisory Council on Historic Preservation. 36 CFR Part 800 Protection of Historic Properties
Floodplain Management [24 CFR 55, Executive Order 11988]	A	No finalized flood hazard zones have been mapped by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) in San Francisco.

		Draft maps indicate that the project site is not within or near a flood zone. The project is neither within a known FEMA floodplain nor within the preliminary Flood Insurance Rate Map prepared for the City and County of San Francisco on September 21, 2007. The project would not involve either direct or indirect support of development in a floodplain.  Source Documents:
		City and County of San Francisco Interim Floodplain Map. Internet Web Site: <a href="http://sfgsa.org/index.aspx?page=828">http://sfgsa.org/index.aspx?page=828</a> . Accessed on July 8, 2014.
		United States Federal Emergency Management Administration. FEMA Issued Flood Maps, San Francisco County. Internet Web Site: <a data="" href="http://msc.fema.gov/webapp/wcs/stores/servlet/MapSearchResult-2storeId=10001&amp;catalogId=10001&amp;langId=-1&amp;userType=G&amp;panelIDs=&amp;Type=pbp&amp;nonprinted=&amp;unmap-ped=UNMAPPED_060298 060298 SAN+FRANCISCO%2CCTY-2FSAN+FRANCISC.Accessed on July 8, 2014.&lt;/a&gt;&lt;/td&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;td&gt;Wetland Protection [Executive Order 11990]&lt;/td&gt;&lt;td&gt;A&lt;/td&gt;&lt;td&gt;The project activities are not located near any coastal, riparian, or bayfront wetlands. Therefore, the Proposed Action would not affect wetland or riparian areas.&lt;/td&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;td&gt;&lt;/td&gt;&lt;td&gt;&lt;/td&gt;&lt;td&gt;Source Document: United States Fish and Wildlife Service, Division of Habitat and Resource Conservation. Wetlands Geodatabase. Internet Web Site: &lt;a href=" http:="" mapper.html"="" wetlands="" www.fws.gov="">http://www.fws.gov/wetlands/data/Mapper.html</a> . Accessed on July 8, 2014.
Coastal Zone Management Act [Sections 307(c), (d)]	A	The San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission (BCDC) has permit authority over San Francisco Bay and lands located within 100 feet of the Bay shoreline. BCDC's San Francisco Bay Plan is the Coastal Zone Management Program for the San Francisco Bay Segment of the California Coastal Zone Management Program, pursuant to the Federal Coastal Zone Management Act (CZMA). Under the CZMA, projects requiring federal approval or funding must, to the maximum extent practicable, be consistent with a state's coastal management program if the project would affect the coastal zone.
		The project site is located more than 100 feet from the San Francisco Bay shoreline; therefore, no formal finding of consistency with the San Francisco Bay Plan is required. The project activity does not involve activity within a Coastal Zone Management Area (CZM) area.
	<u>.</u>	Source Documents:  San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission. San Francisco Bay Plan. Adopted 1968. Reprinted in February 2008. http://www.bcdc.ca.gov/laws_plans/plans/sfbay_plan#5
		United States National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. State Coastal Zone Boundaries, California. Internet Web Site: http://coastalmanagement.noaa.gov/mystate/docs/StateCZBoundaries.pdf. Accessed on July 8, 2014.
		Google Earth Map
Sole Source Aquifers [40 CFR 149]	A	The project is not served by a US EPA designated sole-source aquifer, is not located within a sole-source aquifer watershed, and would not affect a sole-source aquifer subject to the HUD EPA MOU.
		Source Documents: United States Environmental Protection Agency. Sole Source Aquifers subject to HUD-EPA Memorandum of Understanding, dated April 30, 1990.

		United States Environmental Protection Agency. Sole Source Aquifers in Region 9. Internet Website: <a href="http://www.epa.gov/region9/water/groundwater/ssa-pdfs/ssafact.pdf">http://www.epa.gov/region9/water/groundwater/ssa-pdfs/ssafact.pdf</a> . Accessed on July 8, 2014.
Endangered Species Act [50 CFR 402]	A	The project activity involves a previously developed urban property and thus would have no effect on any natural habitats or federally protected species. The project site is entirely developed and therefore does not support these species' habitat requirements.
		Source Documents:
		City of San Francisco Planning Department Property Information Map, <a href="http://ec2-50-17-237-182.compute-1.amazonaws.com/PIM//?dept=planning">http://ec2-50-17-237-182.compute-1.amazonaws.com/PIM//?dept=planning</a> , site accessed on July 8, 2014.
		City of San Francisco Planning Department. San Francisco General Plan. Internet Web Site: http://www.sf- planning.org/ftp/General Plan/index.htm. Accessed on July 8, 2014.
		California Department of Fish and Game. Natural Diversity Database Report, CNDDB Wide Tabular Report, Federally Listed Species for San Francisco County. <a href="http://www.dfg.ca.gov/biogeodata/cnddb/pdfs/spanimals.pdf">http://www.dfg.ca.gov/biogeodata/cnddb/pdfs/spanimals.pdf</a>
Wild and Scenic Rivers Act [Sections 7(b), and (c)]	A	No wild and scenic rivers are located within the City and County of San Francisco.
•	23	Source Document:  United States National Park Service. Designated Wild and Scenic Rivers by State. California. Internet Web Site: <a href="http://www.blm.gov/ca/st/en/prog/blm_special_areas/wildrivers.html">http://www.blm.gov/ca/st/en/prog/blm_special_areas/wildrivers.html</a> . Accessed July 8, 2014.
Clean Air Act - [Sections 176(c), (d), and 40 CFR 6, 51, 93]	В	The project does not involve acquisition of undeveloped land, a change in land use, major rehabilitation that would cost 75% or more of the property value, or new construction. The project does not meet thresholds for review by the Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD) for air quality impacts, as it is minor in nature; thus, the project conforms to the State Implementation Plan (SIP).
	*	The building was constructed in 1930, before the 1978 federal bans on friable asbestos-containing building materials and lead-containing paints. Therefore, project activities could result in a release of these materials.
		Removal of asbestos materials would comply with the National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants and the Bay Area Air Quality Management District Regulation 11, Rule 2.
		The project has the potential to disturb lead-based paint. Construction activities that disturb materials or paints containing any amount of lead are subject to certain requirements of the Cal/OSHA lead standard contained in Title 8, CCR Section 1532.1. Deteriorated paint is defined to be Title 17, CCR, Division 1, Chapter 8, Section 35022 as a presumed lead-based paint that is cracking, chalking, chipping, peeling, non-intact, failed, or otherwise separating from a component. Demolition of a deteriorated lead containing paint component would require waste characterization and appropriate disposal.
		In addition, lead-based paint remediation and stabilization associated with the proposed project will comply with the HUD Lead Safe Housing Rule 24 CFR Part 35, Subpart R – Methods and Standards for Lead-Paint Hazard Evaluation and Hazard Reduction Activities. Subpart R provides standards and methods for evaluation and hazard reduction activities required in subparts B, C, D, and F through M of 24 CFR Part 35.

		Source Documents: Bay Area Air Quality Management District Staff Report, Particulate Matter Implementation Schedule. November 9, 2005.  Internet Web Site: http://www.baagmd.gov/— /media/Filec/Planning%2Oand%2oResearch/Particulate%20Matt er/sb656 staff report ashx Accessed on July 8, 2014.  Bay Area Air Quality Management District, Metropolitan Transportation Commission and Association of Bay Area Governments. Bay Area 2005 Ozone Strategy. Adopted January 4, 2006.
Farmland Protection Policy Act [7 CFR 658]	A	The project consists of urban land; therefore, the project would not affect farmlands. There are no protected farmlands in the City and County of San Francisco.  Source Documents: United States Department of Agriculture. 7 CFR Part 658.2(a) Farmland Protection Policy Act.
		United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Services. Web Soil Survey. Internet Web Site: <a href="http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/HomePage.htm">http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/HomePage.htm</a> . Accessed on July 8, 2014.
Environmental Justice [Executive Order 12898]	A	The project would not result in disproportionately adverse environmental effects on minority or low income populations.  Source Documents:  EPA NEPAssist website:  https://nepassist.epa.gov/nepave/nepamap.aspx?action=searchloc &wherestr=330%20Ellis%20Street%20San%20Francisco%20C  A Site accessed on July 8, 2014.  HUD Guidance and Technical Advice, Environmental Justice.
HUD ENVIRONMENTAL STANDARDS		http://portal.hud.gov/hudportal/HUD?src=/program_offices/com m_planning/environment/review/justice
Noise Abatement and Control [24 CFR 51B]	A	The project would not create new noise sources and would have no noise impacts under HUD guidelines. The project does lie within 15 miles of San Francisco International Airport, but because the project would not significantly expand existing operations, this airport noise would not have an effect on the area. The project would create new noise sources only during construction activities.
		Source Documents: United States Department of Housing and Urban Development. The Noise Guidebook. Environmental Planning Division, Office of Environment and Energy. September 1991.
		United States Department of Housing and Urban Development. Environmental Criteria and Standards. 24 CFR Part 51

Explosive and Flammable Operations	A	T
[24 CFR 51C]		The project will not result in an increased number of people being exposed to hazardous operations by increasing residential densities, converting the type of use of a building to habitation, or making a vacant building habitable. The project does not involve explosive or flammable materials or operations.
		Source Documents:
		United States Department of Housing and Urban Development. Environmental Criteria and Standards. 24 CFR Part 51
		San Francisco Department of Public Health List of Above Ground Storage Tanks in San Francisco,
		United States Department of Housing and Urban Development. Siting of HUD-Assisted Projects Near Hazardous Facilities: Acceptable Separation Distances from Explosive and Flammable Hazards. Office of Community Planning and Development, Office of Environment and Energy. Washington, CD. September 1996.
Hazardous, Toxic or Radioactive Materials & Substances [24 CFR 58.5(i)(2)]	A	The project site and the surrounding area were reviewed for documented use, storage, and/or release of toxic, hazardous and radioactive substances via the California State Water Resources Boar GeoTracker Website, the California Department of Toxic Substances Control Enviostar website and the EPA NEPAssist website.
		Based upon a review of data from these sites the reviewer has determined that the subject and adjacent properties are free of hazardous materials, contamination, toxic chemicals, gasses and radioactive substances which could affect the health or safety of occupants or conflict with the intended use of the subject property.  The search of GeoTracker returned 188 LUST sites within a half mile of the project site, all of which are closed.
		A search using NEPAssist returned 24 small quantity RCRA generators within a half mile of the site. All 24 sites are in compliance with applicable regulatory authorities and no violations are listed.
		A search using the California Department of Toxic Substances Control Envirostor website returned no results.
		Source Document:
		California State Water Resources Control Board GeoTracker Website; <a href="http://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/map/?CMD=runreport&amp;myaddress=330+Ellis+Street+San+Francisco+CA">http://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/map/?CMD=runreport&amp;myaddress=330+Ellis+Street+San+Francisco+CA</a> . Site accessed July 8, 2014.
		EPA NEPAssist website: https://nepassist.epa.gov/nepave/nepamap.aspx?action=searchloc &wherestr=330%20Ellis%20Street%20San%20Francisco%20C  A Site accessed on July 8, 2014.
		California Department of Toxic Substances Control Envirostor website:  http://www.envirostor.dtsc.ca.gov/public/mapfull.asp?global_i_d=&x=- 119&y=37&zl=18&ms=640,480&mt=m&findaddress=True&city= 330%20Ellis%20Street%20San%20Franicsco%20CA&zip=&count y=&federal_superfund=true&state_response=true&voluntary_c_leanup=true&school_cleanup=true&ca_site=true&tiered_permi_t=true&evaluation=true&military_evaluation=true&school_inve
1		stigation=true&operating=true&post closure=true&non operating=true Accessed on July 8, 2014

Airport Clear Zones and Accident Potential Zones [24 CFR 51D]	A The project does not lie within an Airport Clear Zone or Accident Potential Zone.
	Source Document: San Francisco International Airport Master Plan. Adopted 198
_	TERMINATION:
compliance with any listed statutes or author	on 58.34(a)(12), because it does not require any mitigation for prities, nor requires any formal permit or license (Status "A" has l authorities); <b>Funds may be committed and drawn down</b> for
This project cannot convert to Exempt status consultation or mitigation. Complete consulta and obtain Authority to Use Grant Funds (	s because one or more statutes or authorities require formal tation/mitigation protocol requirements, <b>publish NOI/RROF</b> (HUD 7015.16) per Section 58.70 and 58.71 before committing
or drawing down funds; OR  The unusual circumstances of this project ma requires preparation of an Environmental As Subpart E.	nay result in a significant environmental impact. This project assessment (EA). Prepare the EA according to 24 CFR Part 58
PREPARER SIGNATURE:	DATE: <u>July 8, 2014</u>
PREPARER NAME, COMPANY:Eugene P. Flannery.	F. Environmental Compliance Manager, MOHCD
RESPONSIBLE ENTITY AGENCY OFFICIAL / SIGNATU	URE:
NAME, TITLE: <u>Brian Cheu, Director, Community Development</u> , <u>City and County of San Francisco</u> DATE: <u>July 9, 2014</u>	elopment Division, Mayor's Office of Housing and Community

[HUD Region IX Recommended Format -Revised 2011 - previous versions are obsolete]

Use this worksheet <u>only</u> for projects that are Categorically Excluded per 24 CFR Section 58.35(a). (Note: Compliance with the laws and statutes listed at 24 CFR §58.6 must also be documented).

## 24 CFR §58.5 STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORDERS & REGULATIONS

**PROJECT NAME and DESCRIPTION** - Include all contemplated actions that logically are either geographically or functionally part of the project:

Agency:	Boys & Girls Clubs of San Francisco
Project Site Address:	450 Guerreo San Francisco, CA 94110
APN:	3567/007
Date of Initial Construction:	1955
Project Description:	Replace heating unit in a community youth center
HUD Program Funding	\$44,550 CDBG
Total Project Cost	\$44,500

This proposal is determined to be categorically excluded according to 24 CFR 58.35(a)(3)(iii): In the case of non-residential structures, including commercial, industrial, and public buildings:

A. The facilities and improvements are in place and will not be changed in size or capacity by more than 20 percent; and

B. The activity does not involve a change in land use, such as from non-residential to residential, commercial to industrial, or from one industrial use to another.

Historic Preservation [36 CFR Part 800]	В	The building, initially constructed in 1955, is more than 50 years old.
		The undertaking consists of tenant improvements which include:
		Replace heating unit in a community youth center
		This activity is listed in Appendix A of the Programmatic Agreement list of activities which require only administrative review by the City and County of San Francisco and not the SHPO.
	11/00/00	The San Francisco Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development has reviewed the project under the 2007 Programmatic Agreement and determined that the undertaking is exempt from review by the SHPO or ACHP per Stipulation IV, Paragraph C, referencing Appendix A.
		No Historic Properties are Adversely Affected.
		Source Documents:
		City and County of San Francisco. Programmatic Agreement by and among the City and County of San Francisco, the California State Historic Preservation Officer, and the Advisory Council on Historic Preservatior Regarding Historic Properties Affected by Use of Revenue from the Department of Housing and Urban Development Part 58 Programs. January 19, 2007;
		City of San Francisco Planning Department Property Information Map,
		http://ec2-50-17-237-182.compute- 1.amazonaws.com/PIM//?dept=planning#BookmarkParcels site accessed on July 8, 2014;
		United States Advisory Council on Historic Preservation. 36 CFR Part 800 Protection of Historic Properties

Floodplain Management		
[24 CFR 55, Executive Order 11988]	A	The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) prepares Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs) that identify areas subject to flood inundation, most often from a flood having a one percent chance of occurrence in a given year (also known as a "base flood" or "100-year flood").
		FEMA refers to the portion of the floodplain or coastal area that is at risk from floods of this magnitude as a Special Flood Hazard Areas (SFHA). No finalized flood hazard zones have been mapped by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) in San Francisco.
		Draft maps indicate that the project site is not within or near a flood zone. The project is neither within a known FEMA floodplain nor within the preliminary Flood Insurance Rate Map prepared for the City and County of San Francisco on September 21, 2007. The project would not involve either direct or indirect support of development in a floodplain.
		Source Documents:
		City and County of San Francisco Interim Floodplain Map. Internet Web Site: <a href="http://sfgsa.org/index.aspx?page=828">http://sfgsa.org/index.aspx?page=828</a> . Accessed on July 8, 2014.
		United States Federal Emergency Management Administration. FEMA Issued Flood Maps, San Francisco County. Internet Web Site: <a href="http://msc.fema.gov/webapp/wcs/stores/serylet/MapSearch">http://msc.fema.gov/webapp/wcs/stores/serylet/MapSearch</a> Result?storeId=10001&catalogId=10001&langId=- 1&userType=G&paneIIDs=&Type=pbp&nonprinted=&un mapped=UNMAPPED_060298 060298 SAN+FRANCISC_O%2CCTY%2FSAN+FRANCISC_Accessed on July 8, 2014.
Wetland Protection [Executive Order 11990]	A	The project activities are not located near any coastal, riparian, or bayfront wetlands. Therefore, the Proposed Action would not affect wetland or riparian areas.
		Source Documents:
		United States Fish and Wildlife Service, Division of Habitat and Resource Conservation. Wetlands Geodatabase. Internet Web Site: <a href="http://www.fws.gov/wetlands/data/Mapper.html">http://www.fws.gov/wetlands/data/Mapper.html</a> . Accessed on July 8, 2014.
Coastal Zone Management Act [Sections 307(c), (d)]	A	The San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission (BCDC) has permit authority over San Francisco Bay and lands located within 100 feet of the Bay shoreline. BCDC's San Francisco Bay Plan is the Coastal Zone Management Program for the San Francisco Bay Segment of the California Coastal Zone Management Program, pursuant to the Federal Coastal Zone Management Act (CZMA). Under the CZMA, projects requiring federal approval or funding must, to the maximum extent practicable, be consistent with a state's coastal management program if the project would affect the coastal zone.
		The project site is located more than 100 feet from the San Francisco Bay shoreline; therefore, no formal finding of consistency with the San Francisco Bay Plan is required. The project activity does not involve activity within a Coastal Zone Management Area (CZM) area.
		Source Documents:
		San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission. San Francisco Bay Plan. Adopted 1968. Reprinted in February 2008. http://www.bcdc.ca.gov/laws_plans/plans/sfbay_plan#5
		United States National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. State Coastal Zone Boundaries, California.

		I W. L. C.
	1	Internet Web Site: http://coastalmanagement.noaa.gov/mystate/docs/StateCZB
,		oundaries.pdf. Accessed on July 8, 2014.
		Google Earth Map
Sole Source Aquifers	1	The state of the s
[40 CFR 149]	A	The project is not served by a US EPA designated sole-
2		source aquifer, is not located within a sole-source aquifer watershed, and would not affect a sole-source aquifer
		subject to the HUD EPA MOU.
		Source Documents:
		· ·
		United States Environmental Protection Agency. Sole Source Aquifers subject to HUD-EPA Memorandum of Understanding, dated April 30, 1990.
1		
	Ï	United States Environmental Protection Agency. Sole
		Source Aquifers in Region 9. Internet Website:
T T		http://www.epa.gov/region9/water/groundwater/ssa- pdfs/ssafact.pdf. Accessed on July 8, 2014.
Endangered Species Act		
[50 CFR 402]	A	The project activity involves a previously developed urban
		property and thus would have no effect on any natural habitats or federally protected species. The project site is
		entirely developed and therefore does not support these
		species' habitat requirements.
		Source Documents:
		City of San Francisco Planning Department Property
		Information Map, http://ec2-50-17-237-182.compute-
		1.amazonaws.com/PIM//?dept=planning, site accessed on
		July 8, 2014.
	ŀ	City of San Francisco Planning Department. San Francisco
		General Plan. Internet Web Site: http://www.sf-
2.		planning.org/ftp/General Plan/index.htm. Accessed on July 8, 2014.
		California Department of Fish and Game. Natural
		Diversity Database Report, CNDDB Wide Tabular Report,
*		Federally Listed Species for San Francisco County.
		http://www.dfg.ca.gov/biogeodata/cnddb/pdfs/spanimals.pd
Wild and Scenic Rivers Act	-	f
[Sections 7(b), and (c)]	A	No wild and scenic rivers are located within the City and County of San Francisco.
		Source Documents:
		United States National Park Service. Designated Wild and
		Scenic Rivers by State. California. Internet Web Site:
		http://www.blm.gov/ca/st/en/prog/blm_special_areas/wildri
Clean Air Act - [Sections 176(c), (d),		vers.html . Accessed July 8, 2014.
and 40 CFR 6, 51, 93]	В	The project does not involve acquisition of undeveloped
· · · · · · ·		land, a change in land use, major rehabilitation that would cost 75% or more of the property value, or new
		construction. The project does not meet thresholds for
	,	review by the Bay Area Air Quality Management District
		(BAAQMD) for air quality impacts, as it is minor in nature.
		thus, the project conforms to the State Implementation Plan
		(SIP).
		The building was constructed in 1955, before the 1978
		federal bans on friable asbestos-containing building
		materials and lead-containing paints. Therefore, project
		activities could result in a release of these materials.
		· ·
		Removal of asbestos materials would comply with the
1 to		National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants
		and the Bay Area Air Quality Management District Regulation 11, Rule 2.
		71, 1410 Z.

		The project has the potential to disturb lead-based paint. Construction activities that disturb materials or paints containing any amount of lead are subject to certain requirements of the Cal/OSHA lead standard contained in Title 8, CCR Section 1532.1. Deteriorated paint is defined to be Title 17, CCR, Division 1, Chapter 8, Section 35022 as a presumed lead-based paint that is cracking, chalking, chipping, peeling, non-intact, failed, or otherwise separating from a component. Demolition of a deteriorated lead containing paint component would require waste characterization and appropriate disposal.  In addition, lead-based paint remediation and stabilization associated with the proposed project will comply with the HUD Lead Safe Housing Rule 24 CFR Part 35, Subpart R – Methods and Standards for Lead-Paint Hazard Evaluation and Hazard Reduction Activities. Subpart R provides standards and methods for evaluation and hazard reduction activities required in subparts B, C, D, and F through M of 24 CFR Part 35.  Source Documents:  Bay Area Air Quality Management District Staff Report, Particulate Matter Implementation Schedule. November 9, 2005.  Internet Web Site: http://www.baagmd.gov/—/media/Filec/Planning%2Oand%2oResearch/Particulate%2 OMatter/sb656 staff report ashx Accessed an July 8, 2014.  Bay Area Air Quality Management District, Metropolitan Transportation Commission and Association of Bay Area Governments. Bay Area 2005 Ozone Strategy. Adopted
		January 4, 2006.
Frank I Day of Day		
Farmland Protection Policy Act [7 CFR 658]	A	The project consists of urban land; therefore, the project would not affect farmlands. There are no protected farmlands in the City and County of San Francisco.
		Source Documents:
		United States Department of Agriculture. 7 CFR Part 658.2(a) Farmland Protection Policy Act.
		United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Services. Web Soil Survey. Internet Web Site:
		http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/HomePage.htm. Accessed on July 8, 2014.
Environmental Justice [Executive Order 12898]	A	The project would not result in disproportionately adverse environmental effects on minority or low income populations.
		Source Documents:
		EPA NEPAssist website:
		https://nepassist.epa.gov/nationalNEPAtools/NepaCall/anal ysis.aspx Site accessed on July 8, 2014.
		HUD Guidance and Technical Advice, Environmental Justice. <a href="http://portal.hud.gov/hudportal/HUD?src=/program_offices/comm_planning/environment/review/justice">http://portal.hud.gov/hudportal/HUD?src=/program_offices/comm_planning/environment/review/justice</a>
HUD ENVIRONMENTAL STANDARDS  Noise Abatement and Control	Α	The project would not create new noise sources and would
[24 CFR 51B]		have no noise impacts under HUD guidelines. The project does lie within 15 miles of San Francisco International Airport, but because the project would not significantly expand existing operations, this airport noise would not have an effect on the area. The project would create new

	_	
		noise sources only during construction activities.
		Source Documents:
		United States Department of Housing and Urban Development. The Noise Guidebook. Environmental Planning Division, Office of Environment and Energy. September 1991.
		United States Department of Housing and Urban Development. Environmental Criteria and Standards. 24 CFR Part 51
Explosive and Flammable Operations [24 CFR 51C]	A	The project will not result in an increased number of people being exposed to hazardous operations by increasing residential densities, converting the type of use of a building to habitation, or making a vacant building habitable. The project does not involve explosive or flammable materials or operations.
		Source Documents:
		United States Department of Housing and Urban Development. Environmental Criteria and Standards. 24 CFR Part 51
		San Francisco Department of Public Health List of Above Ground Storage Tanks in San Francisco,
		United States Department of Housing and Urban Development. Siting of HUD-Assisted Projects Near Hazardous Facilities: Acceptable Separation Distances from Explosive and Flammable Hazards. Office of Community Planning and Development, Office of Environment and Energy. Washington, CD. September 1996.
Hazardous, Toxic or Radioactive Materials & Substances [24 CFR 58.5(i)(2)]	A	The project site and the surrounding area were reviewed for documented use, storage, and/or release of toxic, hazardous and radioactive substances via the California State Water Resources Boar GeoTracker Website, the California Department of Toxic Substances Control Enviostar website and the EPA NEPAssist website.
		Based upon a review of data from the California State Water Board's GeoTracker website and NEPAssist the reviewer has determined that the subject and adjacent properties are free of hazardous materials, contamination, toxic chemicals, gasses and radioactive substances which could affect the health or safety of occupants or conflict with the intended use of the subject property.
		The search of GeoTracker returned 101 LUST sites within a half mile of the project site. Of these 4 are open undergoing assessment and remedial action; one is open and eligible for closure; another is open and undergoing assessment, 95 of the sites are closed.
		A search using NEPAssist returned 20 small quantity RCRA generators within a half mile of the site. All 20 sites are in compliance with applicable regulatory authorities and no violations are listed.
		A search using the California Department of Toxic Substances Control Envirostor website returned no results.
		Start Here
		Source Documents:
		California State Water Resources Control Board GeoTracker Website; http://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/map/?CMD=runreport&myaddress=450+Guerrero+Street+San+Francisco+CA. Site accessed July 8, 2014.
		EPA NEPAssist website:

	https://nepassist.epa.gov/nepave/nepamap.aspx?action=s	
	earchloc&wherestr=450%20Guerrero%20Street%20San%2  OFrancisco%20CA%20  Site accessed on July 8, 2014.	
	California Department of Toxic Substances Control Envirostor website:  http://www.envirostor.dtsc.ca.gov/public/mapfull.asp?global id=&x=-  119&y=37&zl=18&ms=640,480&mt=m&findaddress=True&city=450%20Guerrero%20Street%20San%20Francisco%20CA&zip=&county=&federal superfund=true&state response=true&voluntary cleanup=true&school cleanup=true&casite=true&tiered permit=true&evaluation=true&military evaluation=true&school investigation=true&operating=true&post closure=true&non operating=true Accessed on July 8, 2014	
Airport Clear Zones and Accident Potential Zones [24 CFR 51D]	A The project does not lie within an Airport Clear Zone or Accident Potential Zone.	
	Source Document:	
	San Francisco International Airport Master Plan. Adopted 1989.	
This project converts to EXEMPT, per Section compliance with any listed statutes or author been determined in the status column for all a this (now) EXEMPT project; OR  This project cannot convert to Exempt status	ERMINATION: 58.34(a)(12), because it does not require any mitigation for ities, nor requires any formal permit or license (Status "A" has authorities); Funds may be committed and drawn down for because one or more statutes or authorities require formal	
and obtain Authority to Use Grant Funds (I or drawing down funds; OR	tion/mitigation protocol requirements, <b>publish NOI/RROF</b> HUD 7015.16) per Section 58.70 and 58.71 before committing	
The unusual circumstances of this project may result in a significant environmental impact. This project requires preparation of an Environmental Assessment (EA). Prepare the EA according to 24 CFR Part 58 Subpart E.		
PREDADED GIOVAGUES ELIC		
PREPARER SIGNATURE:	DATE: <u>July 8, 2014</u>	
PREPARER NAME, COMPANY: Eugene T. Flannery, I	Environmental Compliance Manager, MOHCD	
RESPONSIBLE ENTITY AGENCY OFFICIAL / SIGNATUR	RE:	
NAME OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR		

NAME, TITLE: <u>Brian Cheu, Director, Community Development Division, Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development</u>, <u>City and County of San Francisco</u> DATE: <u>July 9, 2014</u>

[HUD Region IX Recommended Format -Revised 2011 - previous versions are obsolete]

Use this worksheet <u>only</u> for projects that are Categorically Excluded per 24 CFR Section 58.35(a). (Note: Compliance with the laws and statutes listed at 24 CFR §58.6 must also be documented).

#### 24 CFR §58.5 STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORDERS & REGULATIONS

PROJECT NAME and DESCRIPTION - Include all contemplated actions that logically are either geographically or functionally part of the project:

Agency:	Boys & Girls Clubs of San Francisco
Project Site Address:	163 London San Francisco, CA 94112
APN:	6012/005
Date of Initial Construction:	1900
Project Description:	Rehabilitation of a drain line in a community youth center
HUD Program Funding	\$40,920 CDBG
Total Project Cost	

This proposal is determined to be categorically excluded according to 24 CFR 58.35(a)(3)(iii): In the case of non-residential structures, including commercial, industrial, and public buildings:

A. The facilities and improvements are in place and will not be changed in size or capacity by more than 20 percent; and

B. The activity does not involve a change in land use, such as from non-residential to residential, commercial to industrial, or from one industrial use to another..

Historic Preservation [36 CFR Part 800]	В	The building was initially constructed in 1900 and, as such, is more than 50 years old.
28		The undertaking consists of tenant improvements which include:
		Rehabilitation of a drain line in a community youth center
5 (c)		This activity is listed in Appendix A of the Programmatic Agreement list of activities which require only administrative review by the City and County of San Francisco and not the SHPO.
		The San Francisco Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development has reviewed the project under the 2007 Programmatic Agreement and determined that the undertaking is exempt from review by the SHPO or ACHP per Stipulation IV, Paragraph C, referencing Appendix A.
<i>x</i> .		No Historic Properties are Adversely Affected.
5		Source Documents:
		City and County of San Francisco. Programmatic Agreement by and among the City and County of San Francisco, the California State Historic Preservation Officer, and the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation Regarding Historic Properties Affected by Use of Revenue from the Department of Housing and Urban Development Part 58 Programs. January 19, 2007;
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		City of San Francisco Planning Department Property Information Map , http://ec2-50-17-237-182.compute- 1.amazonaws.com/PIM//?dept=planning#BookmarkParcels , site accessed on July 8, 2014;
		United States Advisory Council on Historic Preservation. 36 CFR Part 800 Protection of Historic Properties
Floodplain Management [24 CFR 55, Executive Order 11988]	Α	The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA)

		prepares Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs) that identify areas subject to flood inundation, most often from a flood having a one percent chance of occurrence in a given year (also known as a "base flood" or "100-year flood").
		FEMA refers to the portion of the floodplain or coastal area that is at risk from floods of this magnitude as a Special Flood Hazard Areas (SFHA). No finalized flood hazard zones have been mapped by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) in San Francisco.
		Draft maps indicate that the project site is not within or near a flood zone. The project is neither within a known FEMA floodplain nor within the preliminary Flood Insurance Rate Map prepared for the City and County of San Francisco on September 21, 2007. The project would not involve either direct or indirect support of development in a floodplain.
		Source Documents:
		City and County of San Francisco Interim Floodplain Map. Internet Web Site: http://sfgsa.org/index.aspx?page=828 . Accessed on July 8, 2014.
		United States Federal Emergency Management Administration. FEMA Issued Flood Maps, San Francisco County. Internet Web Site: http://msc.fema.gov/webapp/wcs/stores/servlet/MapSearch Result?storeId=10001&catalogId=10001&langId=- 1&userType=G&panelIDs=&Type=pbp&nonprinted=&un mapped=UNMAPPED_060298\060298\SAN+FRANCISC 0%2CCTY%2FSAN+FRANCISC. Accessed on July 8, 2014.
Wetland Protection [Executive Order 11990]	_ ^   1	The project activities are not located near any coastal, riparian, or bayfront wetlands. Therefore, the Proposed Action would not affect wetland or riparian areas.
		Source Document:
		United States Fish and Wildlife Service, Division of Habitat and Resource Conservation. Wetlands Geodatabase. Internet Web Site: <a href="http://www.fws.gov/wetlands/data/Mapper.html">http://www.fws.gov/wetlands/data/Mapper.html</a> . Accessed on July 8, 2014.
Coastal Zone Management Act [Sections 307(c), (d)]		The San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission (BCDC) has permit authority over San Francisco Bay and lands located within 100 feet of the Bay shoreline. BCDC's San Francisco Bay Plan is the Coastal Zone Management Program for the San Francisco Bay Segment of the California Coastal Zone Management Program, pursuant to the Federal Coastal Zone Management Act (CZMA). Under the CZMA, projects requiring federal approval or funding must, to the maximum extent practicable, be consistent with a state's coastal management program if the project would affect the coastal zone.
		The project site is located more than 100 feet from the San Francisco Bay shoreline; therefore, no formal finding of consistency with the San Francisco Bay Plan is required. The project activity does not involve activity within a Coastal Zone Management Area (CZM) area.
		Source Documents:
		San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission. San Francisco Bay Plan. Adopted 1968. Reprinted in February 2008. http://www.bcdc.ca.gov/laws_plans/plans/sfbay_plan#5
	1	United States National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. State Coastal Zone Boundaries, California. Internet Web Site:

11		http://coastalmanagement.noaa.gov/mystate/docs/StateCZB
		oundaries.pdf. Accessed on July 8, 2014.
		Google Earth Map
Sole Source Aquifers [40 CFR 149]	A	The project is not served by a US EPA designated sole-source aquifer, is not located within a sole-source aquifer watershed, and would not affect a sole-source aquifer subject to the HUD EPA MOU.  Source Documents:  United States Environmental Protection Agency. Sole Source Aquifers subject to HUD-EPA Memorandum of Understanding, dated April 30, 1990.
y		United States Environmental Protection Agency. Sole Source Aquifers in Region 9. Internet Website: <a href="http://www.epa.gov/region9/water/groundwater/ssa-pdfs/ssafact.pdf">http://www.epa.gov/region9/water/groundwater/ssa-pdfs/ssafact.pdf</a> . Accessed on July 8, 2014.
Endangered Species Act [50 CFR 402]	A	The project activity involves a previously developed urban property and thus would have no effect on any natural habitats or federally protected species. The project site is entirely developed and therefore does not support these species' habitat requirements.
		Source Documents:
		City of San Francisco Planning Department Property Information Map, <a href="http://ec2-50-17-237-182.compute-1.amazonaws.com/PIM//?dept=planning">http://ec2-50-17-237-182.compute-1.amazonaws.com/PIM//?dept=planning</a> , site accessed on July 8, 2014.
		City of San Francisco Planning Department. San Francisco General Plan. Internet Web Site: <a href="http://www.sf-planning.org/ftp/General_Plan/index.htm">http://www.sf-planning.org/ftp/General_Plan/index.htm</a> . Accessed on July 8, 2014.
.e		California Department of Fish and Game. Natural Diversity Database Report, CNDDB Wide Tabular Report, Federally Listed Species for San Francisco County. <a href="http://www.dfg.ca.gov/biogeodata/cnddb/pdfs/spanimals.pdf">http://www.dfg.ca.gov/biogeodata/cnddb/pdfs/spanimals.pdf</a>
Wild and Scenic Rivers Act [Sections 7(b), and (c)]	A	No wild and scenic rivers are located within the City and County of San Francisco.
		Source Document:  United States National Park Service. Designated Wild and Scenic Rivers by State. California. Internet Web Site: http://www.blm.gov/ca/st/en/prog/blm_special_areas/wildrivers.html . Accessed July 8, 2014.
Clean Air Act - [Sections 176(c), (d), and 40 CFR 6, 51, 93]	В	The project does not involve acquisition of undeveloped land, a change in land use, major rehabilitation that would cost 75% or more of the property value, or new construction. The project does not meet thresholds for review by the Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD) for air quality impacts, as it is minor in nature; thus, the project conforms to the State Implementation Plan (SIP).
		The building was constructed in 1900, before the 1978 federal bans on friable asbestos-containing building materials and lead-containing paints. Therefore, project activities could result in a release of these materials.
*		Removal of asbestos materials would comply with the National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants and the Bay Area Air Quality Management District Regulation 11, Rule 2.
		The project has the potential to disturb lead-based paint.

	Т	Construction activities that disturb materials or paints
9		containing any amount of lead are subject to certain requirements of the Cal/OSHA lead standard contained in Title 8, CCR Section 1532.1. Deteriorated paint is defined to be Title 17, CCR, Division 1, Chapter 8, Section 35022
		as a presumed lead-based paint that is cracking, chalking, chipping, peeling, non-intact, failed, or otherwise separating from a component. Demolition of a deteriorated lead containing paint component would require waste characterization and appropriate disposal.
		In addition, lead-based paint remediation and stabilization associated with the proposed project will comply with the HUD Lead Safe Housing Rule 24 CFR Part 35, Subpart R – Methods and Standards for Lead-Paint Hazard Evaluation and Hazard Reduction Activities. Subpart R provides standards and methods for evaluation and hazard reduction activities required in subparts B, C, D, and F through M of 24 CFR Part 35.
		Source Documents: Bay Area Air Quality Management District Staff Report, Particulate Matter Implementation Schedule. November 9, 2005.
		Internet Web Site: http://www.baagmd.gov/—/media/Filec/Planning%2Oand%2oResearch/Particulate%20Matter/sb656 staff report ashx Accessed an July 8, 2014.
		Bay Area Air Quality Management District, Metropolitan Transportation Commission and Association of Bay Area Governments. Bay Area 2005 Ozone Strategy. Adopted January 4, 2006.
Farmland Protection Policy Act [7 CFR 658]	A	The project consists of urban land; therefore, the project would not affect farmlands. There are no protected farmlands in the City and County of San Francisco.
		Source Documents: United States Department of Agriculture. 7 CFR Part 658.2(a) Farmland Protection Policy Act.
		United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Services. Web Soil Survey. Internet Web Site: <a href="http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/HomePage.htm">http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/HomePage.htm</a> .  Accessed on July 8, 2014.
Environmental Justice [Executive Order 12898]	A	The project would not result in disproportionately adverse environmental effects on minority or low income populations.
		Source Documents:  EPA NEPAssist website: <a href="https://nepassist.epa.gov/nationalNEPAtools/NepaCall/analysis.aspx">https://nepassist.epa.gov/nationalNEPAtools/NepaCall/analysis.aspx</a> Site accessed on July 8, 2014.
		HUD Guidance and Technical Advice, Environmental Justice.  http://portal.hud.gov/hudportal/HUD?src=/program_offices/comm_planning/environment/review/justice
HUD ENVIRONMENTAL STANDARDS Noise Abatement and Control [24 CFR 51B]	A	The project would not create new noise sources and would have no noise impacts under HUD guidelines. The project does lie within 15 miles of San Francisco International Airport, but because the project would not significantly expand existing operations, this airport noise would not have an effect on the area. The project would create new

		noise sources only during construction activities
I I		noise sources only during construction activities.
		Source Documents:
		United States Department of Housing and Urban Development. The Noise Guidebook. Environmental Planning Division, Office of Environment and Energy. September 1991.
e in		United States Department of Housing and Urban Development. Environmental Criteria and Standards. 24 CFR Part 51
Explosive and Flammable Operations [24 CFR 51C]	A	The project will not result in an increased number of people being exposed to hazardous operations by increasing residential densities, converting the type of use of a building to habitation, or making a vacant building habitable. The project does not involve explosive or flammable materials or operations.
		Source Documents:
5.		United States Department of Housing and Urban Development. Environmental Criteria and Standards. 24 CFR Part 51
		San Francisco Department of Public Health List of Above Ground Storage Tanks in San Francisco,
	8	United States Department of Housing and Urban Development. Siting of HUD-Assisted Projects Near Hazardous Facilities: Acceptable Separation Distances from Explosive and Flammable Hazards. Office of Community Planning and Development, Office of Environment and Energy. Washington, CD. September 1996.
Hazardous, Toxic or Radioactive Materials & Substances [24 CFR 58.5(i)(2)]	A	The project site and the surrounding area were reviewed for documented use, storage, and/or release of toxic, hazardous and radioactive substances via the California State Water Resources Boar GeoTracker Website, the California Department of Toxic Substances Control Enviostar website and the EPA NEPAssist website.
b		Based upon a review of data from the California State Water Board's GeoTracker website and NEPAssist the reviewer has determined that the subject and adjacent properties are free of hazardous materials, contamination, toxic chemicals, gasses and radioactive substances which could affect the health or safety of occupants or conflict with the intended use of the subject property. The search of GeoTracker returned 20 LUST sites within a
3		half mile of the project site. Of these 20 sites; 18 are closed; one is open and undergoing assessment and the last is open for verification monitoring.
		A search using NEPAssist returned 5 small quantity RCRA generators within a half mile of the site. All 5 sites are in compliance with applicable regulatory authorities and no violations are listed.
		A search using the California Department of Toxic Substances Control Envirostor website returned no results.
		Source Document:
		California State Water Resources Control Board GeoTracker Website; <a href="http://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/map/?CMD=runreport-wmyaddress=163+London+Street+San+Francisco+CA">http://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/map/?CMD=runreport-wmyaddress=163+London+Street+San+Francisco+CA</a> Site accessed July 8, 2014.
N		EPA NEPAssist website: <a href="https://nepassist.epa.gov/nepave/nepamap.aspx?action=searchloc&amp;wherestr=163%20london%20Street%20San%20Francisco%20CA%20">https://nepassist.epa.gov/nepave/nepamap.aspx?action=searchloc&amp;wherestr=163%20london%20Street%20San%20Francisco%20CA%20</a> Site accessed on July 8, 2014.

		California Department of Toxic Substances Control Envirostor website:  http://www.envirostor.dtsc.ca.gov/public/mapfull.asp?glob al_id=&x=- 119&y=37&zl=18&ms=640,480&mt=m&findaddress=Tru e&city=163%20London%20Street%20San%20Francisco% 20CA&zip=&county=&federal_superfund=true&state_resp onse=true&voluntary_cleanup=true&school_cleanup=true &ca_site=true&tiered_permit=true&evaluation=true&milit ary_evaluation=true&school_investigation=true&operating =true&post_closure=true&non_operating=true on July 8, 2014
Airport Clear Zones and Accident Potential Zones [24 CFR 51D]	A	The project does not lie within an Airport Clear Zone or Accident Potential Zone.
		Source Document:
		San Francisco International Airport Master Plan. Adopted 1989.
This project converts to EXEMPT, per Section compliance with any listed statutes or author been determined in the status column for all this (now) EXEMPT project; OR  This project cannot convert to Exempt status consultation or mitigation. Complete consult and obtain Authority to Use Grant Funds or drawing down funds; OR  The unusual circumstances of this project mand the convert is an exempt status.	n 58.34 orities, rather s becautation/r (HUD 7	NATION: (a)(12), because it does not require any mitigation for nor requires any formal permit or license (Status "A" havities); Funds may be committed and drawn down for see one or more statutes or authorities require formal mitigation protocol requirements, publish NOI/RROF 015.16) per Section 58.70 and 58.71 before committing the in a significant environmental impact. This project ent (EA). Prepare the EA according to 24 CFR Part 58
PREPARER SIGNATURE:		DATE: <u>July 8, 2014</u>
PREPARER NAME, COMPANY:Engene T. Flannery	. Enviro	nmental Compliance Manager, MOHCD
RESPONSIBLE ENTITY AGENCY OFFICIAL / SIGNATU		02-6

NAME, TITLE: <u>Brian Cheu, Director, Community Development Division, Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development, City and County of San Francisco</u>
DATE: <u>July 9, 2014</u>

[HUD Region IX Recommended Format -Revised **2011**– previous versions are obsolete]

Use this worksheet <u>only</u> for projects that are Categorically Excluded per 24 CFR Section 58.35(a). (Note: Compliance with the laws and statutes listed at 24 CFR §58.6 must also be documented).

# 24 CFR §58.5 STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORDERS & REGULATIONS

**PROJECT NAME and DESCRIPTION** - Include all contemplated actions that logically are either geographically or functionally part of the project:

Agency:	Community Awareness & Treatment Services
Project Site Address:	637 South Van Ness Avenue San Francisco, CA 94103
APN:	3574/040
Date of Initial Construction:	1912
Project Description:	Construction of an accessibility ramp at a facility serving seniors with mobility impairments
HUD Program Funding Total Project Cost	41680 CDBG

This proposal is determined to be categorically excluded according to 24 CFR 58.35(a)(3)(iii): In the case of non-residential structures, including commercial, industrial, and public buildings:

A. The facilities and improvements are in place and will not be changed in size or capacity by more than 20 percent; and

B. The activity does not involve a change in land use, such as from non-residential to residential, commercial to industrial, or from one industrial use to another.

Historic Preservation [36 CFR Part 800]	В	The building was initially constructed in 1912 and, as such, is more than 50 years old.
		The undertaking consists of tenant improvements which include:
		Construction of an accessibility ramp at a facility serving seniors with mobility impairments
		This activity is listed in Appendix A of the Programmatic Agreement list of activities which require only administrative review by the City and County of San Francisco and not the SHPO.
		The San Francisco Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development has reviewed the project under the 2007 Programmatic Agreement and determined that the undertaking is exempt from review by the SHPO or ACHP per Stipulation IV, Paragraph C, referencing Appendix A.
		No Historic Properties are Adversely Affected.
		Source Documents:
		City and County of San Francisco. Programmatic Agreement by and among the City and County of San Francisco, the California State Historic Preservation Officer, and the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation Regarding Historic Properties Affected by Use of Revenue from the Department of Housing and Urban Development Part 58 Programs. January 19, 2007;
		City of San Francisco Planning Department Property Information Map , http://ec2-50-17-237-182.compute-1.amazonaws.com/PIM//?dept=planning#BookmarkParcels , site accessed on July 8, 2014;
		United States Advisory Council on Historic Preservation. 36 CFR Part 800 Protection of Historic Properties

T1 - 1-1-1-14	1	
Floodplain Management [24 CFR 55, Executive Order 11988]	A	The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) prepares Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs) that identify areas subject to flood inundation, most often from a flood having a one percent chance of occurrence in a given year (also known as a "base flood" or "100-year flood").
		FEMA refers to the portion of the floodplain or coastal area that is at risk from floods of this magnitude as a Special Flood Hazard Areas (SFHA). No finalized flood hazard zones have been mapped by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) in San Francisco.
		Draft maps indicate that the project site is not within or near a flood zone. The project is neither within a known FEMA floodplain nor within the preliminary Flood Insurance Rate Map prepared for the City and County of San Francisco on September 21, 2007. The project would not involve either direct or indirect support of development in a floodplain.
_ 1 450 gra		Source Documents:  City and County of San Francisco Interim Floodplain Map. Internet Web Site: <a href="http://sfgsa.org/index.aspx?page=828">http://sfgsa.org/index.aspx?page=828</a> .  Accessed on July 8, 2014.
		United States Federal Emergency Management Administration. FEMA Issued Flood Maps, San Francisco County. Internet Web Site: http://msc.fema.gov/webapp/wcs/stores/servlet/MapSearch Result?storeId=10001&catalogId=10001&langId=- 1&userType=G&panelIDs=&Type=pbp&nonprinted=&un mapped=UNMAPPED_060298 060298 SAN+FRANCISC 0%2CCTY%2FSAN+FRANCISC. Accessed on July 8, 2014.
Wetland Protection [Executive Order 11990]	A	The project activities are not located near any coastal, riparian, or bayfront wetlands. Therefore, the Proposed Action would not affect wetland or riparian areas.
		Source Document:  United States Fish and Wildlife Service, Division of Habitat and Resource Conservation. Wetlands Geodatabase. Internet Web Site: <a href="http://www.fws.gov/wetlands/data/Mapper.html">http://www.fws.gov/wetlands/data/Mapper.html</a> . Accessed on July 8, 2014.
Coastal Zone Management Act [Sections 307(c), (d)]	A	The San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission (BCDC) has permit authority over San Francisco Bay and lands located within 100 feet of the Bay shoreline. BCDC's San Francisco Bay Plan is the Coastal Zone Management Program for the San Francisco Bay Segment of the California Coastal Zone Management Program, pursuant to the Federal Coastal Zone Management Act (CZMA). Under the CZMA, projects requiring federal approval or funding must, to the maximum extent practicable, be consistent with a state's coastal management program if the project would affect the coastal zone.
		The project site is located more than 100 feet from the San Francisco Bay shoreline; therefore, no formal finding of consistency with the San Francisco Bay Plan is required. The project activity does not involve activity within a Coastal Zone Management Area (CZM) area.
		Source Documents:  San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission. San Francisco Bay Plan. Adopted 1968. Reprinted in February 2008. <a href="http://www.bcdc.ca.gov/laws_plans/plans/sfbay_plan#5">http://www.bcdc.ca.gov/laws_plans/plans/sfbay_plan#5</a> United States National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. State Coastal Zone Boundaries, California. Internet Web Site:

		http://coastalmanagement.noaa.gov/mystate/docs/StateCZBoundaries.pdf. Accessed on July 8, 2014.  Google Earth Map
Sole Source Aquifers [40 CFR 149]	A	The project is not served by a US EPA designated sole- source aquifer, is not located within a sole-source aquifer watershed, and would not affect a sole-source aquifer
		subject to the HUD EPA MOU.  Source Documents:
		United States Environmental Protection Agency. Sole Source Aquifers subject to HUD-EPA Memorandum of Understanding, dated April 30, 1990.
		United States Environmental Protection Agency. Sole Source Aquifers in Region 9. Internet Website: <a href="http://www.epa.gov/region9/water/groundwater/ssa-pdfs/ssafact.pdf">http://www.epa.gov/region9/water/groundwater/ssa-pdfs/ssafact.pdf</a> . Accessed on July 8, 2014.
Endangered Species Act [50 CFR 402]	A	The project activity involves a previously developed urban property and thus would have no effect on any natural habitats or federally protected species. The project site is entirely developed and therefore does not support these species' habitat requirements.
		Source Documents:
		City of San Francisco Planning Department Property Information Map, <a href="http://ec2-50-17-237-182.compute-1.amazonaws.com/PIM//?dept=planning">http://ec2-50-17-237-182.compute-1.amazonaws.com/PIM//?dept=planning</a> , site accessed on July 8, 2014.
	i	City of San Francisco Planning Department. San Francisco General Plan. Internet Web Site: <a href="http://www.sf-planning.org/ftp/General_Plan/index.htm">http://www.sf-planning.org/ftp/General_Plan/index.htm</a> . Accessed on July 8, 2014.
		California Department of Fish and Game. Natural Diversity Database Report, CNDDB Wide Tabular Report, Federally Listed Species for San Francisco County. <a href="http://www.dfg.ca.gov/biogeodata/cnddb/pdfs/spanimals.pdf">http://www.dfg.ca.gov/biogeodata/cnddb/pdfs/spanimals.pdf</a>
Wild and Scenic Rivers Act [Sections 7(b), and (c)]	A	No wild and scenic rivers are located within the City and County of San Francisco.
		Source Document:
		United States National Park Service. Designated Wild and Scenic Rivers by State. California. Internet Web Site: http://www.blm.gov/ca/st/en/prog/blm_special_areas/wildrivers.html . Accessed July 8, 2014.
Clean Air Act - [Sections 176(c), (d), and 40 CFR 6, 51, 93]	В	The project does not involve acquisition of undeveloped land, a change in land use, major rehabilitation that would cost 75% or more of the property value, or new construction. The project does not meet thresholds for review by the Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD) for air quality impacts, as it is minor in nature; thus, the project conforms to the State Implementation Plan (SIP).
		The building was constructed in 1912, before the 1978 federal bans on friable asbestos-containing building materials and lead-containing paints. Therefore, project activities could result in a release of these materials.
		Removal of asbestos materials would comply with the National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants and the Bay Area Air Quality Management District Regulation 11, Rule 2.

		The project has the potential to disturb lead-based paint. Construction activities that disturb materials or paints containing any amount of lead are subject to certain requirements of the Cal/OSHA lead standard contained in Title 8, CCR Section 1532.1. Deteriorated paint is defined to be Title 17, CCR, Division 1, Chapter 8, Section 35022 as a presumed lead-based paint that is cracking, chalking, chipping, peeling, non-intact, failed, or otherwise separating from a component. Demolition of a deteriorated lead containing paint component would require waste characterization and appropriate disposal.
		In addition, lead-based paint remediation and stabilization associated with the proposed project will comply with the HUD Lead Safe Housing Rule 24 CFR Part 35, Subpart R – Methods and Standards for Lead-Paint Hazard Evaluation and Hazard Reduction Activities. Subpart R provides standards and methods for evaluation and hazard reduction activities required in subparts B, C, D, and F through M of 24 CFR Part 35.
		Source Documents: Bay Area Air Quality Management District Staff Report, Particulate Matter Implementation Schedule. November 9, 2005.
	Print I	Internet Web Site: http://www.baagmd.gov/—/media/Filec/Planning%2Oand%2oResearch/Particulate%2 0Matter/sb656 staff report ashx Accessed an July 8, 2014.
		Bay Area Air Quality Management District, Metropolitan Transportation Commission and Association of Bay Area Governments. Bay Area 2005 Ozone Strategy. Adopted January 4, 2006.
Farmland Protection Policy Act [7 CFR 658]	A	The project consists of urban land; therefore, the project would not affect farmlands. There are no protected farmlands in the City and County of San Francisco.
		Source Documents: United States Department of Agriculture. 7 CFR Part 658.2(a) Farmland Protection Policy Act.
		United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Services. Web Soil Survey. Internet Web Site: <a href="http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/HomePage.htm">http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/HomePage.htm</a> . Accessed on July 8, 2014.
Environmental Justice [Executive Order 12898]	A	The project would not result in disproportionately adverse environmental effects on minority or low income populations.  Source Documents:  EPA NEPAssist website: <a href="https://nepassist.epa.gov/nationalNEPAtools/NepaCall/analysis.aspx">https://nepassist.epa.gov/nationalNEPAtools/NepaCall/analysis.aspx</a> Site accessed on July 8, 2014.
		HUD Guidance and Technical Advice, Environmental Justice. <a href="http://portal.hud.gov/hudportal/HUD?src=/program_offices/comm_planning/environment/review/justice">http://portal.hud.gov/hudportal/HUD?src=/program_offices/comm_planning/environment/review/justice</a>
HUD ENVIRONMENTAL STANDARDS Noise Abatement and Control [24 CFR 51B]	A	The project would not create new noise sources and would have no noise impacts under HUD guidelines. The project does lie within 15 miles of San Francisco International Airport, but because the project would not significantly expand existing operations, this airport noise would not

		have an effect on the area. The project would create new noise sources only during construction activities.
		Source Documents:
		United States Department of Housing and Urban Development. The Noise Guidebook. Environmental Planning Division, Office of Environment and Energy. September 1991.
4		United States Department of Housing and Urban Development. Environmental Criteria and Standards. 24 CFR Part 51
Explosive and Flammable Operations [24 CFR 51C]	A	The project will not result in an increased number of people being exposed to hazardous operations by increasing residential densities, converting the type of use of a building to habitation, or making a vacant building habitable. The project does not involve explosive or flammable materials or operations
J.		Source Documents:
		United States Department of Housing and Urban Development. Environmental Criteria and Standards. 24 CFR Part 51
		San Francisco Department of Public Health List of Above Ground Storage Tanks in San Francisco,
		United States Department of Housing and Urban Development. Siting of HUD-Assisted Projects Near Hazardous Facilities: Acceptable Separation Distances from Explosive and Flammable Hazards. Office of Community Planning and Development, Office of Environment and Energy. Washington, CD. September 1996.
Hazardous, Toxic or Radioactive Materials & Substances [24 CFR 58.5(i)(2)]	A	The project site and the surrounding area were reviewed for documented use, storage, and/or release of toxic, hazardous and radioactive substances via the California State Water Resources Boar GeoTracker Website, the California Department of Toxic Substances Control Enviostar website and the EPA NEPAssist website.
		Based upon a review of data from the California State Water Board's GeoTracker website and NEPAssist the reviewer has determined that the subject and adjacent properties are free of hazardous materials, contamination, toxic chemicals, gasses and radioactive substances which could affect the health or safety of occupants or conflict with the intended use of the subject property.
		The search of GeoTracker returned 137 LUST sites within a half mile of the project site. Of these three are open and undergoing assessment and remediation; two are eligible for closure; one is inactive; two are undergoing assessment and two are undergoing assessment monitoring. The remaining 127 sites are closed.
Ω Ο 20	i.	A search using NEPAssist returned 7 small quantity RCRA generators within a half mile of the site. All 7 sites are in compliance with applicable regulatory authorities and no violations are listed.
20 20		A search using the California Department of Toxic Substances Control Envirostor website returned one impacted site within a half a mile of the project site, the site was contaminated with gasoline from an UST removal in 1983. The site will not affect the project activity
		Source Document: California State Water Resources Control Board GeoTracker Website; http://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/map/?CMD=runrep ort&myaddress=637+South+Van+Ness+Avenue+San+Franc

	2		isco+CA . Site accessed July 8, 2014.
			EPA NEPAssist website: https://nepassist.epa.gov/nepave/nepamap.aspx?action=s earchloc&wherestr=637%20South%20Van%20Ness%20Av enue%20San%20Francisco%20CA Site accessed on July 8, 2014.
			California Department of Toxic Substances Control Envirostor website:  http://www.envirostor.dtsc.ca.gov/public/mapfull.asp?global id=&x=- 119&y=37&zl=18&ms=640,480&mt=m&findaddress=True&city=637%20South%20Van%20Ness%20Avenue%20San%20Francisco%20CA&zip=&county=&federal superfund=true&state response=true&voluntary cleanup=true&schoolcleanup=true&ca site=true&tiered permit=true&evaluation=true&military evaluation=true&school investigation=true&operating=true&post closure=true&non operating=true Accessed on July 8, 2014
	Airport Clear Zones and Accident Potential Zones [24 CFR 51D]	A	The project does not lie within an Airport Clear Zone or
			Source Document: San Francisco International Airport Master Plan. Adopted 1989.
	This project converts to EXEMPT, per Section compliance with any listed statutes or author been determined in the status column for all a this (now) EXEMPT project; OR This project cannot convert to Exempt status consultation or mitigation. Complete consulta and obtain Authority to Use Grant Funds (I or drawing down funds; OR The unusual circumstances of this project ma requires preparation of an Environmental Asse	58.34( ities, n authori becaus ition/n HUD 70 y resul	IATION: (a)(12), because it does not require any mitigation for or requires any formal permit or license (Status "A" has ities); Funds may be committed and drawn down for se one or more statutes or authorities require formal nitigation protocol requirements, publish NOI/RROF (215.16) per Section 58.70 and 58.71 before committing it in a significant environmental impact. This project ent (EA). Prepare the EA according to 24 CFR Part 58
	Subpart E.  PARER SIGNATURE:	Enviro	DATE: July 8, 2014  nmental Compliance Manager, MOHCD
RES	PONSIBLE ENTITY AGENCY OFFICIAL / SIGNATUR	RE:	ne t

NAME, TITLE: <u>Brian Cheu, Director, Community Development Division, Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development</u>, <u>City and County of San Francisco</u>
DATE: <u>July 9, 2014</u>

[HUD Region IX Recommended Format -Revised 2011 - previous versions are obsolete]

Use this worksheet <u>only</u> for projects that are Categorically Excluded per 24 CFR Section 58.35(a). (Note: Compliance with the laws and statutes listed at 24 CFR §58.6 must also be documented).

## 24 CFR §58.5 STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORDERS & REGULATIONS

PROJECT NAME and DESCRIPTION - Include all contemplated actions that logically are either geographically or functionally part of the project:

Agency:	Donaldina Cameron House
Project Site Address:	920 Sacramento Street San Francisco, CA 94108
APN:	0224/008
Date of Initial Construction:	1908
Project Description:	Replacement of windows and sashes in a multipurpose facility
HUD Program Funding	123433 CDBG
Total Project Cost	
•	

This proposal is determined to be categorically excluded according to 24 CFR 58.35(a)(3)(iii): In the case of non-residential structures, including commercial, industrial, and public buildings:

A. The facilities and improvements are in place and will not be changed in size or capacity by more than 20 percent; and

B. The activity does not involve a change in land use, such as from non-residential to residential, commercial to industrial, or from one industrial use to another.

Historic Preservation [36 CFR Part 800]	В	The building was initially constructed in 1908 and, as such, is more than 50 years old.
		The undertaking consists of tenant improvements which include:
		Replacement of windows and sashes in a multipurpose facility
e y		These activities are listed in Appendix A of the Programmatic Agreement list of activities which require only administrative review by the City and County of San Francisco and not the SHPO.
		The San Francisco Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development has reviewed the project under the 2007 Programmatic Agreement and determined that the undertaking is exempt from review by the SHPO or ACHP per Stipulation IV, Paragraph C, referencing Appendix A.
		No Historic Properties are Adversely Affected.
		Source Documents:
	:	City and County of San Francisco. Programmatic Agreement by and among the City and County of San Francisco, the California State Historic Preservation Officer, and the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation Regarding Historic Properties Affected by Use of Revenue from the Department of Housing and Urban Development Part 58 Programs. January 19, 2007;
	2	City of San Francisco Planning Department Property Information Map , http://ec2-50-17-237-182.compute- l.amazonaws.com/PIM//?dept=planning#BookmarkParcels , site accessed on July 8, 2014;
		United States Advisory Council on Historic Preservation. 36 CFR Part 800 Protection of Historic Properties

Floodplain Management	T	
[24 CFR 55, Executive Order 11988]	A	The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) prepares Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs) that identify areas subject to flood inundation, most often from a flood having a one percent chance of occurrence in a given year (also known as a "base flood" or "100-year flood").
		FEMA refers to the portion of the floodplain or coastal area that is at risk from floods of this magnitude as a Special Flood Hazard Areas (SFHA). No finalized flood hazard zones have been mapped by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) in San Francisco.
		Draft maps indicate that the project site is not within or near a flood zone. The project is neither within a known FEMA floodplain nor within the preliminary Flood Insurance Rate Map prepared for the City and County of San Francisco on September 21, 2007. The project would not involve either direct or indirect support of development in a floodplain.
		Source Documents:
		City and County of San Francisco Interim Floodplain Map. Internet Web Site: <a href="http://sfgsa.org/index.aspx?page=828">http://sfgsa.org/index.aspx?page=828</a> . Accessed on July 8, 2014.
		United States Federal Emergency Management Administration. FEMA Issued Flood Maps, San Francisco County. Internet Web Site: http://msc.fema.gov/webapp/wcs/stores/servlet/MapSearch Result?storeId=10001&catalogId=10001&langId=- 1&userType=G&paneIIDs=&Type=pbp&nonprinted=&un mapped=UNMAPPED_060298 060298 SAN+FRANCISC 0%2CCTY%2FSAN+FRANCISC.
Wetland Protection		Accessed on July 8, 2014.
[Executive Order 11990]	A	The project activities are not located near any coastal, riparian, or bayfront wetlands. Therefore, the Proposed Action would not affect wetland or riparian areas.
		Source Document:
		United States Fish and Wildlife Service, Division of Habitat and Resource Conservation. Wetlands Geodatabase. Internet Web Site: <a href="http://www.fws.gov/wetlands/data/Mapper.html">http://www.fws.gov/wetlands/data/Mapper.html</a> . Accessed on July 8, 2014.
Coastal Zone Management Act [Sections 307(c), (d)]	A	The San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission (BCDC) has permit authority over San Francisco Bay and lands located within 100 feet of the Bay shoreline. BCDC's San Francisco Bay Plan is the Coastal Zone Management Program for the San Francisco Bay Segment of the California Coastal Zone Management Program, pursuant to the Federal Coastal Zone Management Act (CZMA). Under the CZMA, projects requiring federal approval or funding must, to the maximum extent practicable, be consistent with a state's coastal management program if the project would affect the coastal zone.
		The project site is located more than 100 feet from the San Francisco Bay shoreline; therefore, no formal finding of consistency with the San Francisco Bay Plan is required. The project activity does not involve activity within a Coastal Zone Management Area (CZM) area.
-		Source Documents:
		San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission. San Francisco Bay Plan. Adopted 1968. Reprinted in February 2008. <a href="http://www.bcdc.ca.gov/laws_plans/plans/sfbay_plan#5">http://www.bcdc.ca.gov/laws_plans/plans/sfbay_plan#5</a> United States National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. State Coastal Zone Boundaries, California. Internet Web Site:

		http://coastalmanagement.noaa.gov/mystate/docs/StateCZB oundaries.pdf. Accessed on July 8, 2014.
		Google Earth Map
Sole Source Aquifers [40 CFR 149]	A	The project is not served by a US EPA designated sole- source aquifer, is not located within a sole-source aquifer watershed, and would not affect a sole-source aquifer subject to the HUD EPA MOU.
		Source Documents: United States Environmental Protection Agency. Sole Source Aquifers subject to HUD-EPA Memorandum of Understanding, dated April 30, 1990.
		United States Environmental Protection Agency. Sole Source Aquifers in Region 9. Internet Website: <a href="http://www.epa.gov/region9/water/groundwater/ssa-pdfs/ssafact.pdf">http://www.epa.gov/region9/water/groundwater/ssa-pdfs/ssafact.pdf</a> . Accessed on July 8, 2014.
Endangered Species Act [50 CFR 402]	A	The project activity involves a previously developed urban property and thus would have no effect on any natural habitats or federally protected species. The project site is entirely developed and therefore does not support these species' habitat requirements.
		Source Documents:
		City of San Francisco Planning Department Property Information Map, <a href="http://ec2-50-17-237-182.compute-1.amazonaws.com/PIM//?dept=planning">http://ec2-50-17-237-182.compute-1.amazonaws.com/PIM//?dept=planning</a> , site accessed on July 8, 2014.
		City of San Francisco Planning Department. San Francisco General Plan. Internet Web Site: <a href="http://www.sf-planning.org/ftp/General_Plan/index.htm">http://www.sf-planning.org/ftp/General_Plan/index.htm</a> . Accessed on July 8, 2014.
		California Department of Fish and Game. Natural Diversity Database Report, CNDDB Wide Tabular Report, Federally Listed Species for San Francisco County. <a href="http://www.dfg.ca.gov/biogeodata/cnddb/pdfs/spanimals.pd">http://www.dfg.ca.gov/biogeodata/cnddb/pdfs/spanimals.pd</a> f
Wild and Scenic Rivers Act [Sections 7(b), and (c)]	A	No wild and scenic rivers are located within the City and County of San Francisco.
		Source Documents:
		United States National Park Service. Designated Wild and Scenic Rivers by State. California. Internet Web Site: http://www.blm.gov/ca/st/en/prog/blm_special_areas/wildrivers.html . Accessed July 8, 2014.
Clean Air Act - [Sections 176(c), (d), and 40 CFR 6, 51, 93]	В	The project does not involve acquisition of undeveloped land, a change in land use, major rehabilitation that would cost 75% or more of the property value, or new construction. The project does not meet thresholds for review by the Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD) for air quality impacts, as it is minor in nature; thus, the project conforms to the State Implementation Plan (SIP).
		The building was constructed in 1908, before the 1978 federal bans on friable asbestos-containing building materials and lead-containing paints. Therefore, project activities could result in a release of these materials.
		Removal of asbestos materials would comply with the National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants and the Bay Area Air Quality Management District Regulation 11, Rule 2.

		Companyation and data of the Paris I
		Construction activities that disturb materials or paints containing any amount of lead are subject to certain requirements of the Cal/OSHA lead standard contained in Title 8, CCR Section 1532.1. Deteriorated paint is defined to be Title 17, CCR, Division 1, Chapter 8, Section 35022 as a presumed lead-based paint that is cracking, chalking, chipping, peeling, non-intact, failed, or otherwise separating from a component. Demolition of a deteriorated lead containing paint component would require waste characterization and appropriate disposal.  In addition, lead-based paint remediation and stabilization associated with the proposed project will comply with the
		associated with the proposed project will comply with the HUD Lead Safe Housing Rule 24 CFR Part 35, Subpart R – Methods and Standards for Lead-Paint Hazard Evaluation and Hazard Reduction Activities. Subpart R provides standards and methods for evaluation and hazard reduction activities required in subparts B, C, D, and F through M of 24 CFR Part 35.
-		Source Documents: Bay Area Air Quality Management District Staff Report, Particulate Matter Implementation Schedule. November 9, 2005.
		Internet Web Site: http://www.baagmd.gov/—/media/Filec/Planning%2Oand%2oResearch/Particulate%2 OMatter/sb656 staff report ashx Accessed an July 8, 2014.
		Bay Area Air Quality Management District, Metropolitan Transportation Commission and Association of Bay Area Governments. Bay Area 2005 Ozone Strategy. Adopted January 4, 2006.
Farmland Protection Policy Act [7 CFR 658]	A	The project consists of urban land; therefore, the project would not affect farmlands. There are no protected farmlands in the City and County of San Francisco.
		Source Documents: United States Department of Agriculture. 7 CFR Part 658.2(a) Farmland Protection Policy Act.
		United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Services. Web Soil Survey. Internet Web Site: <a href="http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/HomePage.htm">http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/HomePage.htm</a> . Accessed on July 8, 2014.
Environmental Justice [Executive Order 12898]	A	The project would not result in disproportionately adverse environmental effects on minority or low income populations.
		Source Documents:  EPA NEPAssist website:  https://nepassist.epa.gov/nationalNEPAtools/NepaCall/analysis.aspx  Site accessed on July 8, 2014.
		HUD Guidance and Technical Advice, Environmental Justice.  http://portal.hud.gov/hudportal/HUD?src=/program_offices/comm_planning/environment/review/justice
HUD ENVIRONMENTAL STANDARDS Noise Abatement and Control [24 CFR 51B]	A	The project would not create new noise sources and would have no noise impacts under HUD guidelines. The project does lie within 15 miles of San Francisco International Airport, but because the project would not significantly expand existing operations, this airport noise would not have an effect on the area. The project would create new

and the second second		noise sources only during construction activities.
		Source Documents:
		United States Department of Housing and Urban Development. The Noise Guidebook. Environmental Planning Division, Office of Environment and Energy. September 1991.
		United States Department of Housing and Urban Development. Environmental Criteria and Standards. 24 CFR Part 51
Explosive and Flammable Operations [24 CFR 51C]	A	The project will not result in an increased number of people being exposed to hazardous operations by increasing residential densities, converting the type of use of a building to habitation, or making a vacant building habitable. The project does not involve explosive or flammable materials or operations
		Source Documents:
		United States Department of Housing and Urban Development. Environmental Criteria and Standards. 24 CFR Part 51
		San Francisco Department of Public Health List of Above Ground Storage Tanks in San Francisco,
		United States Department of Housing and Urban Development. Siting of HUD-Assisted Projects Near Hazardous Facilities: Acceptable Separation Distances from Explosive and Flammable Hazards. Office of Community Planning and Development, Office of Environment and Energy. Washington, CD. September 1996.
Hazardous, Toxic or Radioactive Materials & Substances [24 CFR 58.5(i)(2)]	A	The project site and the surrounding area were reviewed for documented use, storage, and/or release of toxic, hazardous and radioactive substances via the California State Water Resources Boar GeoTracker Website, the California Department of Toxic Substances Control Enviostar website and the EPA NEPAssist website.
		Based upon a review of data from the California State Water Board's GeoTracker website and NEPAssist the reviewer has determined that the subject and adjacent properties are free of hazardous materials, contamination, toxic chemicals, gasses and radioactive substances which could affect the health or safety of occupants or conflict with the intended use of the subject property.
		The search of GeoTracker returned 172 LUST sites within a half mile of the project site. Of these 172 sites, 169 are closed, one site is open eligible for closure, one is undergoing verification monitoring, one site is open undergoing remediation.
		A search using NEPAssist returned 25 small quantity RCRA generators within a half mile of the site. All 25 sites are in compliance with applicable regulatory authorities and no violations are listed.
		A search using the California Department of Toxic Substances Control Envirostor website returned two sites within a half mile radius, however, these sites will not affect the project activities
		Source Document: California State Water Resources Control Board GeoTracker Website; http://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/map/?CMD=runrep ort&myaddress=920+Sacramento+Street+San+Francisco+C A+ . Site accessed July 8, 2014.
		EPA NEPAssist website:

	https://nepassist.epa.gov/nepave/nepamap.aspx?action=s earchloc&wherestr=920%20sacramento%20Street%20san %20francisco%20ca Site accessed on July 8, 2014.
	California Department of Toxic Substances Control Envirostor website: <a a"="" ha<="" href="http://www.envirostor.dtsc.ca.gov/public/mapfull.asp?globalid=&amp;x=-119&amp;y=37&amp;zl=18&amp;ms=640,480&amp;mt=m&amp;findaddress=True&amp;city=920%20Sacramento%20Street%20San%20Francisco%20CA&amp;zip=&amp;county=&amp;federal superfund=true&amp;state response=true&amp;voluntary cleanup=true&amp;school cleanup=true&lt;/td&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;td&gt;&lt;/td&gt;&lt;td&gt;ue&amp;ca site=true&amp;tiered permit=true&amp;evaluation=true&amp;m ilitary evaluation=true&amp;school investigation=true&amp;operat ing=true&amp;post closure=true&amp;non operating=true Accessed on July 8, 2014&lt;/td&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;td&gt;Airport Clear Zones and Accident&lt;br&gt;Potential Zones [24 CFR 51D]&lt;/td&gt;&lt;td&gt;A The project does not lie within an Airport Clear Zone or Accident Potential Zone.&lt;/td&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;th&gt;&lt;/th&gt;&lt;th&gt;Source Document:&lt;/th&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;td&gt;&lt;/td&gt;&lt;td&gt;San Francisco International Airport Master Plan. Adopted 1989.&lt;/td&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;td&gt;&lt;/td&gt;&lt;td&gt;DETERMINATION:&lt;/td&gt;&lt;/tr&gt;&lt;tr&gt;&lt;td&gt;compliance with any listed statutes&lt;/td&gt;&lt;td&gt;er Section 58.34(a)(12), because it does not require any mitigation for or authorities, nor requires any formal permit or license (Status " td=""></a>
compliance with any listed statutes been determined in the status colum this (now) EXEMPT project; OR This project cannot convert to Exem consultation or mitigation. Complete and obtain Authority to Use Grant	er Section 58.34(a)(12), because it does not require any mitigation for
compliance with any listed statutes been determined in the status colum this (now) EXEMPT project; OR This project cannot convert to Exem consultation or mitigation. Complete and obtain Authority to Use Grant or drawing down funds; OR The unusual circumstances of this page 15.	er Section 58.34(a)(12), because it does not require any mitigation for or authorities, nor requires any formal permit or license (Status "A" han for all authorities); <b>Funds may be committed and drawn down</b> for all status because one or more statutes or authorities require formal e consultation/mitigation protocol requirements, <b>publish NOI/RROF</b>
compliance with any listed statutes been determined in the status colum this (now) EXEMPT project; OR This project cannot convert to Exem consultation or mitigation. Complete and obtain Authority to Use Grant or drawing down funds; OR The unusual circumstances of this prequires preparation of an Environn	er Section 58.34(a)(12), because it does not require any mitigation for or authorities, nor requires any formal permit or license (Status "A" has no for all authorities); <b>Funds may be committed and drawn down</b> for all authorities); <b>Funds may be committed and drawn down</b> for apt status because one or more statutes or authorities require formal e consultation/mitigation protocol requirements, <b>publish NOI/RROF</b> to <b>Funds</b> (HUD 7015.16) per Section 58.70 and 58.71 before committing to project may result in a significant environmental impact. This project

PREPARER NAME, COMPANY: Eugene T. Flannery, Environmental Compliance Manager, MOHCD

RESPONSIBLE ENTITY AGENCY OFFICIAL / SIGNATURE: \_\_

NAME, TITLE: <u>Brian Cheu, Director, Community Development Division, Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development</u>, <u>City and County of San Francisco</u>

DATE: July 9, 2014

[HUD Region IX Recommended Format -Revised 2011 - previous versions are obsolete]

Use this worksheet <u>only</u> for projects that are Categorically Excluded per 24 CFR Section 58.35(a). (Note: Compliance with the laws and statutes listed at 24 CFR §58.6 must also be documented).

# 24 CFR §58.5 STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORDERS & REGULATIONS

**PROJECT NAME and DESCRIPTION** - Include all contemplated actions that logically are either geographically or functionally part of the project:

Mission Economic Development Agency
2301 Mission Street San Francisco, CA 94110
3595/031
1911
Replacement of windows of a facility offering asset development and family support services
99,000 CDBG

This proposal is determined to be categorically excluded according to 24 CFR 58.35(a)(3)(iii): In the case of non-residential structures, including commercial, industrial, and public buildings:

A. The facilities and improvements are in place and will not be changed in size or capacity by more than 20 percent; and

B. The activity does not involve a change in land use, such as from non-residential to residential, commercial to industrial, or from one industrial use to another.

Historic Preservation [36 CFR Part 800]	В	The building was initially constructed in 1911 and, as such, is more than 50 years old.
		The undertaking consists of tenant improvements which include:
(i)		Replacement of windows of a facility offering asset development and family support services
<u>.</u>		These activities are listed in Appendix A of the Programmatic Agreement list of activities which require only administrative review by the City and County of San Francisco and not the SHPO.
e <sup>v</sup>		The San Francisco Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development has reviewed the project under the 2007 Programmatic Agreement and determined that the undertaking is exempt from review by the SHPO or ACHP per Stipulation IV, Paragraph C, referencing Appendix A.
-		No Historic Properties are Adversely Affected.
*		Source Documents:
		City and County of San Francisco. Programmatic Agreement by and among the City and County of San Francisco, the California State Historic Preservation Officer, and the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation Regarding Historic Properties Affected by Use of Revenue from the Department of Housing and Urban Development Part 58 Programs. January 19, 2007;
		City of San Francisco Planning Department Property Information Map , http://ec2-50-17-237-182.compute-1.amazonaws.com/PIM//?dept=planning#BookmarkParcels , site accessed on July 8, 2014;

		United States Advisory Council on Historic Preservation. 36 CFR Part 800 Protection of Historic Properties
Floodplain Management [24 CFR 55, Executive Order 11988]	A	The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) prepares Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs) that identify areas subject to flood inundation, most often from a flood having a one percent chance of occurrence in a given year (also known as a "base flood" or "100-year flood").
		FEMA refers to the portion of the floodplain or coastal area that is at risk from floods of this magnitude as a Special Flood Hazard Areas (SFHA). No finalized flood hazard zones have been mapped by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) in San Francisco.
		Draft maps indicate that the project site is not within or near a flood zone. The project is neither within a known FEMA floodplain nor within the preliminary Flood Insurance Rate Map prepared for the City and County of San Francisco on September 21, 2007. The project would not involve either direct or indirect support of development in a floodplain.
		Source Documents:
		City and County of San Francisco Interim Floodplain Map. Internet Web Site: <a href="http://sfgsa.org/index.aspx?page=828">http://sfgsa.org/index.aspx?page=828</a> . Accessed on July 8, 2014.
		United States Federal Emergency Management Administration. FEMA Issued Flood Maps, San Francisco County. Internet Web Site:
		http://msc.fema.gov/webapp/wcs/stores/servlet/MapSearch Result?storeId=10001&catalogId=10001&langId=- 1&userType=G&paneIIDs=&Type=pbp&nonprinted=&un mapped=UNMAPPED_060298 060298 SAN+FRANCISC O%2CCTY%2FSAN+FRANCISC. Accessed on July 8, 2014.
Wetland Protection	A	The project activities are not located near any coastal,
[Executive Order 11990]		riparian, or bayfront wetlands. Therefore, the Proposed Action would not affect wetland or riparian areas.  Source Document:
		United States Fish and Wildlife Service, Division of Habitat and Resource Conservation. Wetlands Geodatabase. Internet Web Site: <a href="http://www.fws.gov/wetlands/data/Mapper.html">http://www.fws.gov/wetlands/data/Mapper.html</a> . Accessed
Coastal Zone Management Act		on July 8, 2014.
[Sections 307(c), (d)]	A	The San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission (BCDC) has permit authority over San Francisco Bay and lands located within 100 feet of the Bay shoreline. BCDC's San Francisco Bay Plan is the Coastal Zone Management Program for the San Francisco Bay Segment of the California Coastal Zone Management Program, pursuant to the Federal Coastal Zone Management Act (CZMA). Under the CZMA, projects requiring federal approval or funding must, to the maximum extent practicable, be consistent with a state's coastal management program if the project would affect the coastal zone.
		The project site is located more than 100 feet from the San Francisco Bay shoreline; therefore, no formal finding of consistency with the San Francisco Bay Plan is required. The project activity does not involve activity within a Coastal Zone Management Area (CZM) area.
		Source Documents:
Ser.		San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission. San Francisco Bay Plan. Adopted 1968. Reprinted in February 2008.

		http://www.bcdc.ca.gov/laws_plans/plans/sfbay_plan#5
		United States National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. State Coastal Zone Boundaries, California. Internet Web Site: <a href="http://coastalmanagement.noaa.gov/mystate/docs/StateCZBoundaries.pdf">http://coastalmanagement.noaa.gov/mystate/docs/StateCZBoundaries.pdf</a> . Accessed on July 8, 2014.
<u> </u>		Google Earth Map
Sole Source Aquifers [40 CFR 149]	A	The project is not served by a US EPA designated sole- source aquifer, is not located within a sole-source aquifer watershed, and would not affect a sole-source aquifer subject to the HUD EPA MOU.
	25	Source Documents:
		United States Environmental Protection Agency. Sole Source Aquifers subject to HUD-EPA Memorandum of Understanding, dated April 30, 1990.
Forders and Court of Aug.		United States Environmental Protection Agency. Sole Source Aquifers in Region 9. Internet Website: <a href="http://www.epa.gov/region9/water/groundwater/ssa-pdfs/ssafact.pdf">http://www.epa.gov/region9/water/groundwater/ssa-pdfs/ssafact.pdf</a> . Accessed on July 8, 2014.
Endangered Species Act [50 CFR 402]	A	The project activity involves a previously developed urban property and thus would have no effect on any natural habitats or federally protected species. The project site is entirely developed and therefore does not support these species' habitat requirements.
		Source Documents:
		City of San Francisco Planning Department Property Information Map, <a href="http://ec2-50-17-237-182.compute-1.amazonaws.com/PIM//?dept=planning">http://ec2-50-17-237-182.compute-1.amazonaws.com/PIM//?dept=planning</a> , site accessed on July 8, 2014.
		City of San Francisco Planning Department. San Francisco General Plan. Internet Web Site: <a href="http://www.sf-planning.org/ftp/General_Plan/index.htm">http://www.sf-planning.org/ftp/General_Plan/index.htm</a> . Accessed on July 8, 2014.
		California Department of Fish and Game. Natural Diversity Database Report, CNDDB Wide Tabular Report, Federally Listed Species for San Francisco County. <a href="http://www.dfg.ca.gov/biogeodata/cnddb/pdfs/spanimals.pdf">http://www.dfg.ca.gov/biogeodata/cnddb/pdfs/spanimals.pdf</a> f
Wild and Scenic Rivers Act [Sections 7(b), and (c)]	A	No wild and scenic rivers are located within the City and County of San Francisco.
		Source Document:  United States National Park Service. Designated Wild and Scenic Rivers by State. California. Internet Web Site: <a href="http://www.blm.gov/ca/st/en/prog/blm_special_areas/wildrivers.html">http://www.blm.gov/ca/st/en/prog/blm_special_areas/wildrivers.html</a> . Accessed July 8, 2014.
Clean Air Act - [Sections 176(c), (d), and 40 CFR 6, 51, 93]	В	The project does not involve acquisition of undeveloped land, a change in land use, major rehabilitation that would cost 75% or more of the property value, or new construction. The project does not meet thresholds for review by the Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD) for air quality impacts, as it is minor in nature; thus, the project conforms to the State Implementation Plan (SIP).
		The building was constructed in 1911, before the 1978 federal bans on friable asbestos-containing building materials and lead-containing paints. Therefore, project activities could result in a release of these materials.
		Removal of asbestos materials would comply with the National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants

>		and the Bay Area Air Quality Management District Regulation 11, Rule 2.
		The project has the potential to disturb lead-based paint. Construction activities that disturb materials or paints containing any amount of lead are subject to certain requirements of the Cal/OSHA lead standard contained in Title 8, CCR Section 1532.1. Deteriorated paint is defined to be Title 17, CCR, Division 1, Chapter 8, Section 35022 as a presumed lead-based paint that is cracking, chalking, chipping, peeling, non-intact, failed, or otherwise separating from a component. Demolition of a deteriorated lead containing paint component would require waste characterization and appropriate disposal.
	(3)	In addition, lead-based paint remediation and stabilization associated with the proposed project will comply with the HUD Lead Safe Housing Rule 24 CFR Part 35, Subpart R – Methods and Standards for Lead-Paint Hazard Evaluation and Hazard Reduction Activities. Subpart R provides standards and methods for evaluation and hazard reduction activities required in subparts B, C, D, and F through M of 24 CFR Part 35.
		Source Documents: Bay Area Air Quality Management District Staff Report, Particulate Matter Implementation Schedule. November 9, 2005.
10		Internet Web Site: http://www.baagmd.gov/—/media/Filec/Planning%2Oand%2oResearch/Particulate%2 0Matter/sb656 staff report ashx Accessed an July 8, 2014.
		Bay Area Air Quality Management District, Metropolitan Transportation Commission and Association of Bay Area Governments. Bay Area 2005 Ozone Strategy. Adopted January 4, 2006.
Farmland Protection Policy Act [7 CFR 658]	A	The project consists of urban land; therefore, the project would not affect farmlands. There are no protected farmlands in the City and County of San Francisco.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Source Documents: United States Department of Agriculture. 7 CFR Part 658.2(a) Farmland Protection Policy Act.
		United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Services. Web Soil Survey. Internet Web Site: <a href="http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/HomePage.htm">http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/HomePage.htm</a> . Accessed on July 8, 2014.
Environmental Justice [Executive Order 12898]	A	The project would not result in disproportionately adverse environmental effects on minority or low income populations.
4		Source Document:  EPA NEPAssist website:  https://nepassist.epa.gov/nationalNEPAtools/NepaCall/analysis.aspx Site accessed on July 8, 2014.
		HUD Guidance and Technical Advice, Environmental Justice.  http://portal.hud.gov/hudportal/HUD?src=/program_offices/comm_planning/environment/review/justice
HUD ENVIRONMENTAL STANDARDS Noise Abatement and Control [24 CFR 51B]	Α .	The project would not create new noise sources and would have no noise impacts under HUD guidelines. The project does lie within 15 miles of San Francisco International

ė.		Airport, but because the project would not significantly expand existing operations, this airport noise would not have an effect on the area. The project would create new noise sources only during construction activities.
	95	Source Documents:
4 0		United States Department of Housing and Urban Development. The Noise Guidebook. Environmental Planning Division, Office of Environment and Energy. September 1991.
		United States Department of Housing and Urban Development. Environmental Criteria and Standards. 24 CFR Part 51
Explosive and Flammable Operations [24 CFR 51C]	A	The project will not result in an increased number of people being exposed to hazardous operations by increasing residential densities, converting the type of use of a building to habitation, or making a vacant building habitable. The project does not involve explosive or flammable materials or operations
		Source Documents:
		United States Department of Housing and Urban Development. Environmental Criteria and Standards. 24 CFR Part 51
		San Francisco Department of Public Health List of Above Ground Storage Tanks in San Francisco,
		United States Department of Housing and Urban Development. Siting of HUD-Assisted Projects Near Hazardous Facilities: Acceptable Separation Distances from Explosive and Flammable Hazards. Office of Community Planning and Development, Office of Environment and Energy. Washington, CD. September 1996.
Hazardous, Toxic or Radioactive Materials & Substances [24 CFR 58.5(i)(2)]	A	The project site and the surrounding area were reviewed for documented use, storage, and/or release of toxic, hazardous and radioactive substances via the California State Water Resources Boar GeoTracker Website, the California Department of Toxic Substances Control Enviostar website and the EPA NEPAssist website.
		Based upon a review of data from the California Department of Toxic Substances Control Envirostor website, the California State Water Board's GeoTracker website and NEPAssist the reviewer has determined that the subject and adjacent properties are free of hazardous materials, contamination, toxic chemicals, gasses and radioactive substances which could affect the health or safety of occupants or conflict with the intended use of the subject property.
		The search of GeoTracker returned 108 LUST sites within a half mile of the project site. Of these 108 sites, 98 are closed, 4 are open for assessment and remedial actions; one is open and eligible for closure; two are open for site assessments; and three are open for verification monitoring.
		A search using NEPAssist returned 15 small quantity RCRA generators within a half mile of the site. All 15 sites are in compliance with applicable regulatory authorities and no violations are listed. The search returned one TRI site within a half mile radius; however, there have been no discharges from the site since 1990.
p)		A search using the California Department of Toxic Substances Control Envirostor website returned one site within a half mile radius; this site has been contaminated

			with gasoline from the removal of an UST and is currently undergoing site assessment by the local authority.
			Source Document:
			California State Water Resources Control Board GeoTracker Website;
			http://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/map/?CMD=runrep
			ort&myaddress=2301+mission+street+san+francisco+ca .
			Site accessed July 8, 2014.
			EPA NEPAssist website:
	-		https://nepassist.epa.gov/nepave/nepamap.aspx?action=s earchloc&wherestr=2301%20Mission%20Street%20San%2
			OFrancisco%20CA%20%20 Site accessed on July 8, 2014.
	•		California Department of Toxic Substances Control Envirostor website:
			http://www.envirostor.dtsc.ca.gov/public/mapfull.asp?glo
			bal id=&x=-
			119&y=37&zl=18&ms=640,480&mt=m&findaddress=True &city=2301%20Mission%20Street%20San%20Francisco%2
			OCA&zip=&county=&federal superfund=true&state respo
			nse=true&voluntary cleanup=true&school cleanup=true&
			ca site=true&tiered permit=true&evaluation=true&milita
			ry evaluation=true&school investigation=true&operating =true&post_closure=true&non_operating=true_Accessed
			on July 8, 2014
	Airman Class 7		
	Airport Clear Zones and Accident Potential Zones [24 CFR 51D]	A	The project does not lie within an Airport Clear Zone or Accident Potential Zone.
			Source Document:
			San Francisco International Airport Master Plan. Adopted 1989.
1.5	P		
П			NATION: (a)(12), because it does not require any mitigation for
	compliance with any listed statutes or author	ities, n	or requires any formal permit or license (Status "A" has
	been determined in the status column for all	author	ities); Funds may be committed and drawn down for
	this (now) EXEMPT project; OR		
$\boxtimes$	This project cannot convert to Exempt status	becaus	se one or more statutes or authorities require formal nitigation protocol requirements, <b>publish NOI/RROF</b>
	and obtain Authority to Use Grant Funds (	HIID 7	ntigation protocol requirements, <b>publish NUI/RROF</b> 015.16) per Section 58.70 and 58.71 before committing
	or drawing down funds; OR		o 13.10) per section 30.70 and 30.71 before committing
	The unusual circumstances of this project ma	ıy resul	t in a significant environmental impact. This project
	requires preparation of an Environmental As Subpart E.	sessme	ent (EA). Prepare the EA according to 24 CFR Part 58
	Ω		
PRE	PARER SIGNATURE:	>	DATE: <u>July 8, 2014</u>
PRE	PARER NAME, COMPANY:Eugene T. Flannery.	Enviro	nmental Compliance Manager MOHCD
		- IIVII O	The state of the s
RESI	PONSIBLE ENTITY AGENCY OFFICIAL / SIGNATU	RE:	1/1/
	,,		

NAME, TITLE: <u>Brian Cheu</u>, <u>Director</u>, <u>Community Development Division</u>, <u>Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development</u>, <u>City and County of San Francisco</u>
DATE: <u>July 9, 2014</u>

[HUD Region IX Recommended Format -Revised 2011 - previous versions are obsolete]

Use this worksheet <u>only</u> for projects that are Categorically Excluded per 24 CFR Section 58.35(a). (Note: Compliance with the laws and statutes listed at 24 CFR §58.6 must also be documented).

# 24 CFR §58.5 STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORDERS & REGULATIONS

**PROJECT NAME and DESCRIPTION** - Include all contemplated actions that logically are either geographically or functionally part of the project:

Agency:	Mission Neighborhood Centers
Project Site Address:	534 Precita Avenue San Francisco, CA 94110
APN:	5515/030
Date of Initial Construction:	1925
Project Description:	Construction of Limited Use/Limited Application (LULA) elevator and electrical upgrades to facility serving youth and families
HUD Program Funding	100,000 CDBG
Total Project Cost	

This proposal is determined to be categorically excluded according to 24 CFR 58.35(a)(3)(iii): In the case of non-residential structures, including commercial, industrial, and public buildings:

A. The facilities and improvements are in place and will not be changed in size or capacity by more than 20 percent; and

B. The activity does not involve a change in land use, such as from non-residential to residential, commercial to industrial, or from one industrial use to another.

Historic Preservation [36 CFR Part 800]	В	The building was initially constructed in 1925 and, as such, is more than 50 years old.
		The undertaking consists of tenant improvements which include:
		Construction of Limited Use/Limited Application (LULA) elevator and electrical upgrades to facility serving youth and families
,		These activities are listed in Appendix A of the Programmatic Agreement list of activities which require only administrative review by the City and County of San Francisco and not the SHPO.
18 T		The San Francisco Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development has reviewed the project under the 2007 Programmatic Agreement and determined that the undertaking is exempt from review by the SHPO or ACHP per Stipulation IV, Paragraph C, referencing Appendix A.
		No Historic Properties are Adversely Affected.
		Source Documents:
	C C	City and County of San Francisco. Programmatic Agreement by and among the City and County of San Francisco, the California State Historic Preservation Officer, and the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation Regarding Historic Properties Affected by Use of Revenue from the Department of Housing and Urban Development Part 58 Programs. January 19, 2007;
45		City of San Francisco Planning Department Property Information Map , http://ec2-50-17-237-182.compute- 1.amazonaws.com/PIM//?dept=planning#BookmarkParcels , site accessed on July 8, 2014;

		United States Advisory Council on Historic Preservation. 36 CFR Part 800 Protection of Historic Properties
Floodplain Management [24 CFR 55, Executive Order 11988]	A	The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) prepares Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs) that identify areas subject to flood inundation, most often from a flood having a one percent chance of occurrence in a given year (also known as a "base flood" or "100-year flood").
		FEMA refers to the portion of the floodplain or coastal area that is at risk from floods of this magnitude as a Special Flood Hazard Areas (SFHA). No finalized flood hazard zones have been mapped by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) in San Francisco.
		Draft maps indicate that the project site is not within or near a flood zone. The project is neither within a known FEMA floodplain nor within the preliminary Flood Insurance Rate Map prepared for the City and County of San Francisco on September 21, 2007. The project would not involve either direct or indirect support of development in a floodplain.
	i.	Source Documents: City and County of San Francisco Interim Floodplain Map.
		Internet Web Site: http://sfgsa.org/index.aspx?page=828 . Accessed on July 8, 2014.
		United States Federal Emergency Management Administration. FEMA Issued Flood Maps, San Francisco County. Internet Web Site:
		http://msc.fema.gov/webapp/wcs/stores/servlet/MapSearch Result?storeId=10001&catalogId=10001&langId=- 1&userType=G&paneIIDs=&Type=pbp&nonprinted=&un mapped=UNMAPPED_060298 060298 SAN+FRANCISC 0%2CCTY%2FSAN+FRANCISC. Accessed on July 8, 2014.
Wetland Protection [Executive Order 11990]	A	The project activities are not located near any coastal, riparian, or bayfront wetlands. Therefore, the Proposed Action would not affect wetland or riparian areas.
		Source Document:  United States Fish and Wildlife Service, Division of Habitat and Resource Conservation. Wetlands Geodatabase.  Internet Web Site: <a href="http://www.fws.gov/wetlands/data/Mapper.html">http://www.fws.gov/wetlands/data/Mapper.html</a> . Accessed on July 8, 2014.
Coastal Zone Management Act [Sections 307(c), (d)]	A	The San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission (BCDC) has permit authority over San Francisco Bay and lands located within 100 feet of the Bay shoreline. BCDC's San Francisco Bay Plan is the Coastal Zone Management Program for the San Francisco Bay Segment of the California Coastal Zone Management Program, pursuant to the Federal Coastal Zone Management Act (CZMA). Under the CZMA, projects requiring federal approval or funding must, to the maximum extent practicable, be consistent with a state's coastal management program if the project would affect the coastal zone.
		The project site is located more than 100 feet from the San Francisco Bay shoreline; therefore, no formal finding of consistency with the San Francisco Bay Plan is required. The project activity does not involve activity within a Coastal Zone Management Area (CZM) area.
		Source Documents:
		San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission. San Francisco Bay Plan. Adopted 1968. Reprinted in February 2008.

		1 // 1 // 1 / 2
		http://www.bcdc.ca.gov/laws_plans/plans/sfbay_plan#5
		United States National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. State Coastal Zone Boundaries, California. Internet Web Site: <a href="http://coastalmanagement.noaa.gov/mystate/docs/StateCZBoundaries.pdf">http://coastalmanagement.noaa.gov/mystate/docs/StateCZBoundaries.pdf</a> . Accessed on July 8, 2014.
		Google Earth Map
Sole Source Aquifers [40 CFR 149]	A	The project is not served by a US EPA designated sole- source aquifer, is not located within a sole-source aquifer watershed, and would not affect a sole-source aquifer subject to the HUD EPA MOU.
		Source Documents:
		United States Environmental Protection Agency. Sole Source Aquifers subject to HUD-EPA Memorandum of Understanding, dated April 30, 1990.
41 542	10 11 12 12	United States Environmental Protection Agency. Sole Source Aquifers in Region 9. Internet Website: <a href="http://www.epa.gov/region9/water/groundwater/ssa-pdfs/ssafact.pdf">http://www.epa.gov/region9/water/groundwater/ssa-pdfs/ssafact.pdf</a> . Accessed on July 8, 2014.
Endangered Species Act [50 CFR 402]	Α	The project activity involves a previously developed urban property and thus would have no effect on any natural habitats or federally protected species. The project site is entirely developed and therefore does not support these species' habitat requirements.
		Source Documents:
e e e		City of San Francisco Planning Department Property Information Map, <a href="http://ec2-50-17-237-182.compute-1.amazonaws.com/PIM//?dept=planning">http://ec2-50-17-237-182.compute-1.amazonaws.com/PIM//?dept=planning</a> , site accessed on July 8, 2014.
		City of San Francisco Planning Department. San Francisco General Plan. Internet Web Site: <a href="http://www.sf-planning.org/ftp/General_Plan/index.htm">http://www.sf-planning.org/ftp/General_Plan/index.htm</a> . Accessed on July 8, 2014.
		California Department of Fish and Game. Natural Diversity Database Report, CNDDB Wide Tabular Report, Federally Listed Species for San Francisco County. http://www.dfg.ca.gov/biogeodata/cnddb/pdfs/spanimals.pdf
Wild and Scenic Rivers Act [Sections 7(b), and (c)]	Α	No wild and scenic rivers are located within the City and County of San Francisco.
	()	Source Documents:
		United States National Park Service. Designated Wild and Scenic Rivers by State. California. Internet Web Site: http://www.blm.gov/ca/st/en/prog/blm_special_areas/wildrivers.html . Accessed July 8, 2014.
Clean Air Act - [Sections 176(c), (d), and 40 CFR 6, 51, 93]	В	The project does not involve acquisition of undeveloped land, a change in land use, major rehabilitation that would cost 75% or more of the property value, or new construction. The project does not meet thresholds for review by the Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD) for air quality impacts, as it is minor in nature; thus, the project conforms to the State Implementation Plan (SIP).
		The building was constructed in 1925, before the 1978 federal bans on friable asbestos-containing building materials and lead-containing paints. Therefore, project activities could result in a release of these materials. Removal of asbestos materials would comply with the

		National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants and the Bay Area Air Quality Management District Regulation 11, Rule 2.
		The project has the potential to disturb lead-based paint. Construction activities that disturb materials or paints containing any amount of lead are subject to certain requirements of the Cal/OSHA lead standard contained in Title 8, CCR Section 1532.1. Deteriorated paint is defined to be Title 17, CCR, Division 1, Chapter 8, Section 35022 as a presumed lead-based paint that is cracking, chalking, chipping, peeling, non-intact, failed, or otherwise separating from a component. Demolition of a deteriorated lead containing paint component would require waste characterization and appropriate disposal.
2 E		In addition, lead-based paint remediation and stabilization associated with the proposed project will comply with the HUD Lead Safe Housing Rule 24 CFR Part 35, Subpart R – Methods and Standards for Lead-Paint Hazard Evaluation and Hazard Reduction Activities. Subpart R provides standards and methods for evaluation and hazard reduction activities required in subparts B, C, D, and F through M of 24 CFR Part 35.
		Source Documents: Bay Area Air Quality Management District Staff Report, Particulate Matter Implementation Schedule. November 9, 2005.
		Internet Web Site: http://www.baagmd.gov/— /media/Filec/Planning%2Oand%2oResearch/Particulate%2 0Matter/sb656 staff report ashx
B		Bay Area Air Quality Management District, Metropolitan Transportation Commission and Association of Bay Area Governments. Bay Area 2005 Ozone Strategy. Adopted January 4, 2006.
Farmland Protection Policy Act [7 CFR 658]	A	The project consists of urban land; therefore, the project would not affect farmlands. There are no protected farmlands in the City and County of San Francisco.  Source Documents:
		United States Department of Agriculture. 7 CFR Part 658.2(a) Farmland Protection Policy Act.
		United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Services. Web Soil Survey. Internet Web Site: <a href="http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/HomePage.htm">http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/HomePage.htm</a> . Accessed on July 8, 2014.
Environmental Justice [Executive Order 12898]	A	The project would not result in disproportionately adverse environmental effects on minority or low income populations.
		Source Documents:  EPA NEPAssist website: <a href="https://nepassist.epa.gov/nationalNEPAtools/NepaCall/analysis.aspx">https://nepassist.epa.gov/nationalNEPAtools/NepaCall/analysis.aspx</a> Site accessed on July 8, 2014.
		HUD Guidance and Technical Advice, Environmental Justice.  http://portal.hud.gov/hudportal/HUD?src=/program_offices
HUD ENVIRONMENTAL STANDARDS		/comm_planning/environment/review/justice

[24 CFR 51B]		have no noise impacts under HUD guidelines. The project does lie within 15 miles of San Francisco International Airport, but because the project would not significantly expand existing operations, this airport noise would not have an effect on the area. The project would create new noise sources only during construction activities.  Source Documents: United States Department of Housing and Urban Development. The Noise Guidebook. Environmental Planning Division, Office of Environment and Energy. September 1991.  United States Department of Housing and Urban Development. Environmental Criteria and Standards. 24
Explosive and Flammable Operations [24 CFR 51C]	A	CFR Part 51  The project will not result in an increased number of people being exposed to hazardous operations by increasing residential densities, converting the type of use of a building to habitation, or making a vacant building habitable. The project does not involve explosive or flammable materials or operations  Source Documents:
		The project will not result in an increased number of people being exposed to hazardous operations by increasing residential densities, converting the type of use of a building to habitation, or making a vacant building habitable. The project does not involve explosive or flammable materials or operations
		United States Department of Housing and Urban Development. Environmental Criteria and Standards. 24 CFR Part 51
		San Francisco Department of Public Health List of Above Ground Storage Tanks in San Francisco,  United States Department of Housing and Urban Development. Siting of HUD-Assisted Projects Near Hazardous Facilities: Acceptable Separation Distances from Explosive and Flammable Hazards. Office of Community Planning and Development, Office of Environment and Energy. Washington, CD. September 1996.
Hazardous, Toxic or Radioactive Materials & Substances [24 CFR 58.5(i)(2)]	A	The project site and the surrounding area were reviewed for documented use, storage, and/or release of toxic, hazardous and radioactive substances via the California State Water Resources Boar GeoTracker Website, the California Department of Toxic Substances Control Enviostar website and the EPA NEPAssist website.
	2	Based upon a review of data from these websites the reviewer has determined that the subject and adjacent properties are free of hazardous materials, contamination, toxic chemicals, gasses and radioactive substances which could affect the health or safety of occupants or conflict with the intended use of the subject property.
# E	2.	The search of GeoTracker returned 50 LUST sites within a half mile of the project site. Of these one site is open and eligible for closure and a second is open undergoing remediation, the remaining 48 sites are closed.
		A search using NEPAssist returned 13 small quantity RCRA generators within a half mile of the site. All 13 sites are in compliance with applicable regulatory authorities and no violations are listed. One TRI site is located within the radius of the project area, however, there have been no emissions since 1990.
		A search using the California Department of Toxic

			Substances Control Envirostor website returned three sites within a half mile radius of the project site. These sites will not affect the project activities as one has been closed as requiring no further action, the other two are at lower elevations from the project site. they are downslope from the no results.
			Source Document:  California State Water Resources Control Board GeoTracker Website; http://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/map/?CMD=runrep ort&myaddress=534+precita+avenue+san+francisco+ca Site accessed July 8, 2014.
			EPA NEPAssist website: https://nepassist.epa.gov/nepave/nepamap.aspx?action=s earchloc&wherestr=534%20precita%20avenue%20san%20 francisco%20ca Site accessed on July 8, 2014.
			California Department of Toxic Substances Control Envirostor website: http://www.envirostor.dtsc.ca.gov/public/mapfull.asp?glo bal id=&x=- 119&y=37&z =18&ms=640,480&mt=m&findaddress=True &city=534%20Precita%20avenue%20san%20francisco%20 ca&zip=&county=&federal superfund=true&state respon se=true&voluntary cleanup=true&school cleanup=true&c a site=true&tiered permit=true&evaluation=true&militar y evaluation=true&school investigation=true&operating= true&post closure=true&non operating=true Accessed
	Airport Clear Zones and Accident Potential Zones [24 CFR 51D]	A	on July 8, 2014  The project does not lie within an Airport Clear Zone or Accident Potential Zone.
			Source Document: San Francisco International Airport Master Plan. Adopted 1989.
	This project converts to EXEMPT, per Section compliance with any listed statutes or author been determined in the status column for all a	58.34 ities, n	NATION:  (a)(12), because it does not require any mitigation for our requires any formal permit or license (Status "A" has ities); Funds may be committed and drawn down for
	consultation or mitigation. Complete consulta	ition/n	se one or more statutes or authorities require formal nitigation protocol requirements, <b>publish NOI/RROF</b> 015.16) per Section 58.70 and 58.71 before committing
	The unusual circumstances of this project ma	y resul sessme	t in a significant environmental impact. This project ent (EA). Prepare the EA according to 24 CFR Part 58
	PARER SIGNATURE:		DATE: <u>July 8, 2014</u>
PRE	PARER NAME, COMPANY:Eugene T. Flannery, ]	<u>Enviro</u>	nmental Compliance Manager, MOHCD

NAME, TITLE: <u>Brian Cheu. Director. Community Development Division. Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development</u>. <u>City and County of San Francisco</u> DATE: <u>July 9, 2014</u>

RESPONSIBLE ENTITY AGENCY OFFICIAL / SIGNATURE:

[HUD Region IX Recommended Format -Revised 2011 - previous versions are obsolete]

Use this worksheet <u>only</u> for projects that are Categorically Excluded per 24 CFR Section 58.35(a). (Note: Compliance with the laws and statutes listed at 24 CFR §58.6 must also be documented).

## 24 CFR §58.5 STATUTES. EXECUTIVE ORDERS & REGULATIONS

**PROJECT NAME and DESCRIPTION** - Include all contemplated actions that logically are either geographically or functionally part of the project:

Agency:	Nihonmachi Legal Outreach
Project Site Address:	1121 Mission Street San Francisco, CA 94103
APN:	3727/130
Date of Initial Construction:	1907
Project Description:	Renovate the HVAC system in a facility offering legal services
HUD Program Funding	41,000 CDBG
Total Project Cost	

This proposal is determined to be categorically excluded according to 24 CFR 58.35(a)(3)(iii): In the case of non-residential structures, including commercial, industrial, and public buildings:

A. The facilities and improvements are in place and will not be changed in size or capacity by more than 20 percent; and

B. The activity does not involve a change in land use, such as from non-residential to residential, commercial to industrial, or from one industrial use to another.

Historic Preservation [36 CFR Part 800]	В	The building was initially constructed in 1907 and, as such, is more than 50 years old.
		The undertaking consists of tenant improvements which include:
		Renovate the HVAC system in a facility offering legal services
		These activities are listed in Appendix A of the Programmatic Agreement list of activities which require only administrative review by the City and County of San Francisco and not the SHPO.
		The San Francisco Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development has reviewed the project under the 2007 Programmatic Agreement and determined that the undertaking is exempt from review by the SHPO or ACHP per Stipulation IV, Paragraph C, referencing Appendix A.
		No Historic Properties are Adversely Affected.
	ŀ	Source Documents:
		City and County of San Francisco. Programmatic Agreement by and among the City and County of San Francisco, the California State Historic Preservation Officer, and the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation Regarding Historic Properties Affected by Use of Revenue from the Department of Housing and Urban Development Part 58 Programs. January 19, 2007;
	,	City of San Francisco Planning Department Property Information Map , http://ec2-50-17-237-182.compute-l.amazonaws.com/PIM//?dept=planning#BookmarkParcels , site accessed on July 8, 2014;
		United States Advisory Council on Historic Preservation.

		36 CFR Part 800 Protection of Historic Properties
		2 1
		,
		9
		l l
		.1
		N = -
Floodplain Management	Α	The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA)
[24 CFR 55, Executive Order 11988]		prepares Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs) that identify
		areas subject to flood inundation, most often from a flood
		having a one percent chance of occurrence in a given year (also known as a "base flood" or "100-year flood").
		FEMA refers to the portion of the floodplain or coastal area
		that is at risk from floods of this magnitude as a Special
A .		Flood Hazard Areas (SFHA). No finalized flood hazard
10		zones have been mapped by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) in San Francisco.
		Draft maps indicate that the project site is not within or
		near a flood zone. The project is neither within a known
		FEMA floodplain nor within the preliminary Flood
		Insurance Rate Map prepared for the City and County of San Francisco on September 21, 2007. The project would
		not involve either direct or indirect support of development
		in a floodplain.
		Source Documents:
<u> </u>		City and County of San Francisco Interim Floodplain Map.
		Internet Web Site: <a href="http://sfgsa.org/index.aspx?page=828">http://sfgsa.org/index.aspx?page=828</a> .  Accessed on July 8, 2014.
		United States Federal Emergency Management
		Administration. FEMA Issued Flood Maps, San Francisco County. Internet Web Site:
		http://msc.fema.gov/webapp/wcs/stores/servlet/MapSearch
1)		Result?storeId=10001&catalogId=10001&langId=- 1&userType=G&panelIDs=&Type=pbp&nonprinted=&un
*		mapped=UNMAPPED_060298 060298 SAN+FRANCISC
		O%2CCTY%2FSAN+FRANCISC.
		Accessed on July 8, 2014.

Wetland Protection [Executive Order 11990]	A	The project activities are not located near any coastal, riparian, or bayfront wetlands. Therefore, the Proposed Action would not affect wetland or riparian areas.  Source Documents:  United States Fish and Wildlife Service, Division of Habitat and Resource Conservation. Wetlands Geodatabase. Internet Web Site:  http://www.fws.gov/wetlands/data/Mapper.html. Accessed on July 8, 2014.
Coastal Zone Management Act [Sections 307(c), (d)]	A	The San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission (BCDC) has permit authority over San Francisco Bay and lands located within 100 feet of the Bay shoreline. BCDC's San Francisco Bay Plan is the Coastal Zone Management Program for the San Francisco Bay Segment of the California Coastal Zone Management Program, pursuant to the Federal Coastal Zone Management Act (CZMA). Under the CZMA, projects requiring federal approval or funding must, to the maximum extent practicable, be consistent with a state's coastal management program if the project would affect the coastal zone.
		The project site is located more than 100 feet from the San Francisco Bay shoreline; therefore, no formal finding of consistency with the San Francisco Bay Plan is required. The project activity does not involve activity within a Coastal Zone Management Area (CZM) area.
		Source Documents:  San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission. San Francisco Bay Plan. Adopted 1968. Reprinted in February 2008.  http://www.bcdc.ca.gov/laws_plans/plans/sfbay_plan#5
		United States National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. State Coastal Zone Boundaries, California. Internet Web Site: http://coastalmanagement.noaa.gov/mystate/docs/StateCZBoundaries.pdf. Accessed on July 8, 2014.  Google Earth Map
Sole Source Aquifers [40 CFR 149]	A	The project is not served by a US EPA designated sole- source aquifer, is not located within a sole-source aquifer watershed, and would not affect a sole-source aquifer subject to the HUD EPA MOU.
		Source Documents:  United States Environmental Protection Agency. Sole Source Aquifers subject to HUD-EPA Memorandum of Understanding, dated April 30, 1990.  United States Environmental Protection Agency. Sole Source Aquifers in Region 9. Internet Website:
		http://www.epa.gov/region9/water/groundwater/ssa- pdfs/ssafact.pdf. Accessed on July 8, 2014.
Endangered Species Act [50 CFR 402]	A	The project activity involves a previously developed urban property and thus would have no effect on any natural habitats or federally protected species. The project site is entirely developed and therefore does not support these species' habitat requirements.
		Source Documents:  City of San Francisco Planning Department Property Information Map, <a href="http://ec2-50-17-237-182.compute-1.amazonaws.com/PIM//?dept=planning">http://ec2-50-17-237-182.compute-1.amazonaws.com/PIM//?dept=planning</a> , site accessed on July 8, 2014.

		City of San Francisco Planning Department. San Francisco
		General Plan. Internet Web Site: http://www.sf- planning.org/ftp/General_Plan/index.htm. Accessed on July 8, 2014.
		California Department of Fish and Game. Natural Diversity Database Report, CNDDB Wide Tabular Report, Federally Listed Species for San Francisco County. <a href="http://www.dfg.ca.gov/biogeodata/cnddb/pdfs/spanimals.pdf">http://www.dfg.ca.gov/biogeodata/cnddb/pdfs/spanimals.pdf</a>
Wild and Scenic Rivers Act [Sections 7(b), and (c)]	A	No wild and scenic rivers are located within the City and County of San Francisco.
2		Source Documents:
		United States National Park Service. Designated Wild and Scenic Rivers by State. California. Internet Web Site: http://www.blm.gov/ca/st/en/prog/blm_special_areas/wildrivers.html . Accessed July 8, 2014.
Clean Air Act - [Sections 176(c), (d), and 40 CFR 6, 51, 93]	В	The project does not involve acquisition of undeveloped land, a change in land use, major rehabilitation that would cost 75% or more of the property value, or new construction. The project does not meet thresholds for review by the Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD) for air quality impacts, as it is minor in nature; thus, the project conforms to the State Implementation Plan (SIP).
		The building was constructed in 1907, before the 1978 federal bans on friable asbestos-containing building materials and lead-containing paints. Therefore, project activities could result in a release of these materials.
		Removal of asbestos materials would comply with the National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants and the Bay Area Air Quality Management District Regulation 11, Rule 2.
		The project has the potential to disturb lead-based paint. Construction activities that disturb materials or paints containing any amount of lead are subject to certain requirements of the Cal/OSHA lead standard contained in Title 8, CCR Section 1532.1. Deteriorated paint is defined to be Title 17, CCR, Division 1, Chapter 8, Section 35022 as a presumed lead-based paint that is cracking, chalking, chipping, peeling, non-intact, failed, or otherwise separating from a component. Demolition of a deteriorated lead containing paint component would require waste characterization and appropriate disposal.
		In addition, lead-based paint remediation and stabilization associated with the proposed project will comply with the HUD Lead Safe Housing Rule 24 CFR Part 35, Subpart R – Methods and Standards for Lead-Paint Hazard Evaluation and Hazard Reduction Activities. Subpart R provides standards and methods for evaluation and hazard reduction activities required in subparts B, C, D, and F through M of 24 CFR Part 35.
		Source Documents: Bay Area Air Quality Management District Staff Report, Particulate Matter Implementation Schedule. November 9, 2005.
		Internet Web Site: http://www.baagmd.gov/— /media/Filec/Planning%2Oand%2oResearch/Particulate%2 0Matter/sb656 staff report ashx Accessed an July 8, 2014.
		Bay Area Air Quality Management District, Metropolitan

		Transportation Commission and Association of Bay Area Governments. Bay Area 2005 Ozone Strategy. Adopted January 4, 2006.
Farmland Protection Policy Act [7 CFR 658]	A	The project consists of urban land; therefore, the project would not affect farmlands. There are no protected farmlands in the City and County of San Francisco.
		Source Documents:
		United States Department of Agriculture. 7 CFR Part 658.2(a) Farmland Protection Policy Act.
		United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Services. Web Soil Survey. Internet Web Site: <a href="http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/HomePage.htm">http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/HomePage.htm</a> . Accessed on July 8, 2014.
Environmental Justice [Executive Order 12898]	A	The project would not result in disproportionately adverse environmental effects on minority or low income populations.
		Source Documents: EPA NEPAssist website:
		https://nepassist.epa.gov/nationalNEPAtools/NepaCall/analysis.aspx Site accessed on July 8, 2014.
		HUD Guidance and Technical Advice, Environmental Justice.  http://portal.hud.gov/hudportal/HUD?src=/program_offices/comm_planning/environment/review/justice
HUD ENVIRONMENTAL STANDARDS Noise Abatement and Control [24 CFR 51B]	A	The project would not create new noise sources and would have no noise impacts under HUD guidelines. The project does lie within 15 miles of San Francisco International Airport, but because the project would not significantly expand existing operations, this airport noise would not have an effect on the area. The project would create new noise sources only during construction activities.
		Source Documents:
		United States Department of Housing and Urban Development. The Noise Guidebook. Environmental Planning Division, Office of Environment and Energy. September 1991.
.+		United States Department of Housing and Urban Development. Environmental Criteria and Standards. 24 CFR Part 51

Explosive and Flammable Operations	A	The project will not result in an increased number of people
[24 CFR 51C]		being exposed to hazardous operations by increasing residential densities, converting the type of use of a building to habitation, or making a vacant building habitable. The project does not involve explosive or flammable materials or operations
		Source Documents:
N -		United States Department of Housing and Urban Development. Environmental Criteria and Standards. 24 CFR Part 51
		San Francisco Department of Public Health List of Above Ground Storage Tanks in San Francisco,
		United States Department of Housing and Urban Development. Siting of HUD-Assisted Projects Near Hazardous Facilities: Acceptable Separation Distances from Explosive and Flammable Hazards. Office of Community Planning and Development, Office of Environment and Energy. Washington, CD. September 1996.
Hazardous, Toxic or Radioactive Materials & Substances [24 CFR 58.5(i)(2)]	A	The project site and the surrounding area were reviewed for documented use, storage, and/or release of toxic, hazardous and radioactive substances via the California State Water Resources Boar GeoTracker Website, and the California Department of Toxic Substances Control Enviostar website and the EPA NEPAssist website.
		Based upon a review of data from these sources the reviewer has determined that the subject and adjacent properties are free of hazardous materials, contamination, toxic chemicals, gasses and radioactive substances which could affect the health or safety of occupants or conflict with the intended use of the subject property.
		The search of GeoTracker returned 138 LUST sites within a half mile of the project site. Of these one site is open and inactive, one is open undergoing remediation and a third is open – eligible for closure.
		A search using NEPAssist returned 14 small quantity RCRA generators within a half mile of the site. All 14 sites are in compliance with applicable regulatory authorities and no violations are listed.
		A search using the California Department of Toxic Substances Control Envirostor website returned 11 sites within a half mile radius. These sites will not affect the project activities as no ground disturbing activities are included in the project activities
		Source Document:
		California State Water Resources Control Board GeoTracker Website; http://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/map/?CMD=runreport&myaddress=1121+mission+street+san+francisco+ca. Site accessed July 8, 2014.
		EPA NEPAssist website: https://nepassist.epa.gov/nepave/nepamap.aspx?action=s earchloc&wherestr=1121%20Mission%20Street%20San%2 OFrancisco%20CA Site accessed on July 8, 2014.
		California Department of Toxic Substances Control Envirostor website:  http://www.envirostor.dtsc.ca.gov/public/mapfull.asp?global id=&x=- 119&y=37&zl=18&ms=640,480&mt=m&findaddress=True&city=1121%20mission%20street%20san%20francisco%20ca&zip=&county=&federal superfund=true&state respon

		se=true&voluntary cleanup=true&school cleanup=true&c a site=true&tiered permit=true&evaluation=true&militar y evaluation=true&school investigation=true&operating= true&post closure=true&non operating=true on July 8, 2014
Airport Clear Zones and Accident Potential Zones [24 CFR 51D]	A	The project does not lie within an Airport Clear Zone or Accident Potential Zone.
		Source Document: San Francisco International Airport Master Plan. Adopted 1989.
This project converts to EXEMPT, per Section compliance with any listed statutes or author been determined in the status column for all a this (now) EXEMPT project; OR  This project cannot convert to Exempt status consultation or mitigation. Complete consulta and obtain Authority to Use Grant Funds (Nor drawing down funds; OR  The unusual circumstances of this project may	58.34 ities, n author becaus ition/n HUD 70	NATION:  (a) (12), because it does not require any mitigation for or requires any formal permit or license (Status "A" has ities); Funds may be committed and drawn down for se one or more statutes or authorities require formal nitigation protocol requirements, publish NOI/RROF (215.16) per Section 58.70 and 58.71 before committing it in a significant environmental impact. This project ent (EA). Prepare the EA according to 24 CFR Part 58
PREPARER SIGNATURE:		DATE: <u>July 8, 2014</u>
PREPARER NAME, COMPANY: Eugene T. Flannery, I	<u>Enviro</u>	nmental Compliance Manager, MOHCD
RESPONSIBLE ENTITY AGENCY OFFICIAL / SIGNATUR	RE:	026
NAME, TITLE: Brian Cheu, Director, Community Devel	opmer	nt Division, Mayor's Office of Housing and Community

NAN Development , City and County of San Francisco DATE: <u>July 9, 2014</u>

[HUD Region IX Recommended Format -Revised 2011 - previous versions are obsolete]

Use this worksheet only for projects that are Categorically Excluded per 24 CFR Section 58.35(a). (Note: Compliance with the laws and statutes listed at 24 CFR §58.6 must also be documented).

# 24 CFR §58.5 STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORDERS & REGULATIONS

**PROJECT NAME and DESCRIPTION** - Include all contemplated actions that logically are either geographically or functionally part of the project:

Agency:	Pomeroy Recreation and Rehabilitation Center
Project Site Address:	207 Sklyline Boulevard San Francisco, CA 94132
APN:	R3146/005
Date of Initial Construction:	1972
Project Description:	Roof renovation and gutter replacement at a recreation facility serving developmentally and physically challenged persons
HUD Program Funding Total Project Cost	100,000 CDBG
,	

This proposal is determined to be categorically excluded according to 24 CFR 58.35(a)(3)(iii): In the case of non-residential structures, including commercial, industrial, and public buildings:

A. The facilities and improvements are in place and will not be changed in size or capacity by more than 20 percent; and

B. The activity does not involve a change in land use, such as from non-residential to residential, commercial to industrial, or from one industrial use to another.

Historic Preservation [36 CFR Part 800]	В	The building was initially constructed in 1972 and, as such, is more than 50 years old.
		The undertaking consists of tenant improvements which include:
**************************************		Roof renovation and gutter replacement at a recreation facility serving developmentally and physically challenged persons
		These activities are listed in Appendix A of the Programmatic Agreement list of activities which require only administrative review by the City and County of San Francisco and not the SHPO.
		The San Francisco Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development has reviewed the project under the 2007 Programmatic Agreement and determined that the undertaking is exempt from review by the SHPO or ACHP per Stipulation IV, Paragraph C, referencing Appendix A.
v.		No Historic Properties are Adversely Affected.
		Source Documents:
		City and County of San Francisco. Programmatic Agreement by and among the City and County of San Francisco, the California State Historic Preservation Officer, and the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation Regarding Historic Properties Affected by Use of Revenue from the Department of Housing and Urban Development Part 58 Programs. January 19, 2007;
	ų,	City of San Francisco Planning Department Property Information Map , http://ec2-50-17-237-182.compute-1.amazonaws.com/PIM//?dept=planning#BookmarkParcels , site accessed on July 8, 2014;

	United States Advisory Council on Historic Preservation. 36 CFR Part 800 Protection of Historic Properties
	The second of Mistoric Properties
	×
	l.
	8
	10
A	The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA)
	prepares Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs) that identify
	areas subject to flood inundation, most often from a flood having a one percent chance of occurrence in a given year (also known as a "base flood" or "100-year flood").
7	FEMA refers to the portion of the floodplain or coastal area
	that is at risk from floods of this magnitude as a Special Flood Hazard Areas (SFHA). No finalized flood hazard
	zones have been mapped by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) in San Francisco.
	Draft maps indicate that the project site is not within or
	near a flood zone. The project is neither within a known FEMA floodplain nor within the preliminary Flood
	Insurance Rate Map prepared for the City and County of San Francisco on September 21, 2007. The project would
	not involve either direct or indirect support of development in a floodplain.
	Source Documents:
	City and County of San Francisco Interim Floodplain Map.
	Internet Web Site: <a href="http://sfgsa.org/index.aspx?page=828">http://sfgsa.org/index.aspx?page=828</a> . Accessed on July 8, 2014.
	United States Federal Emergency Management
	Administration. FEMA Issued Flood Maps, San Francisco
	County. Internet Web Site: http://msc.fema.gov/webapp/wcs/stores/servlet/MapSearch
	Result?storeId=10001&catalogId=10001&langId=-
	1&userType=G&panelIDs=&Type=pbp&nonprinted=&unmapped=UNMAPPED_060298 060298 SAN+FRANCISC
	O%2CCTY%2FSAN+FRANCISC.
	A

Wetland Protection [Executive Order 11990]	A	The project activities are not located near any coastal, riparian, or bayfront wetlands. Therefore, the Proposed Action would not affect wetland or riparian areas.
		Source Document:
-		United States Fish and Wildlife Service, Division of Habitat and Resource Conservation. Wetlands Geodatabase.
		Internet Web Site: http://www.fws.gov/wetlands/data/Mapper.html. Accessed
Coastal Zara Managarant A.d		on July 8, 2014.
Coastal Zone Management Act [Sections 307(c), (d)]	A	The San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission (BCDC) has permit authority over San Francisco Bay and lands located within 100 feet of the Bay shoreline. BCDC's San Francisco Bay Plan is the Coastal Zone Management Program for the San Francisco Bay Segment of the California Coastal Zone Management Program, pursuant to the Federal Coastal Zone Management Act (CZMA). Under the CZMA, projects requiring federal approval or funding must, to the maximum extent practicable, be consistent with a state's coastal management program if the project would affect the coastal zone.
		The project site is located more than 100 feet from the San Francisco Bay shoreline; therefore, no formal finding of consistency with the San Francisco Bay Plan is required. The project activity does not involve activity within a Coastal Zone Management Area (CZM) area.
		Source Documents:
		San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission. San Francisco Bay Plan. Adopted 1968. Reprinted in February 2008. http://www.bcdc.ca.gov/laws_plans/plans/sfbay_plan#5
		United States National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. State Coastal Zone Boundaries, California. Internet Web Site: <a href="http://coastalmanagement.noaa.gov/mystate/docs/StateCZBoundaries.pdf">http://coastalmanagement.noaa.gov/mystate/docs/StateCZBoundaries.pdf</a> . Accessed on July 8, 2014.
		Google Earth Map
Sole Source Aquifers [40 CFR 149]	A	The project is not served by a US EPA designated sole- source aquifer, is not located within a sole-source aquifer watershed, and would not affect a sole-source aquifer subject to the HUD EPA MOU.
		Source Documents:
		United States Environmental Protection Agency. Sole Source Aquifers subject to HUD-EPA Memorandum of Understanding, dated April 30, 1990.
		United States Environmental Protection Agency. Sole Source Aquifers in Region 9. Internet Website: <a href="http://www.epa.gov/region9/water/groundwater/ssa-pdfs/ssafact.pdf">http://www.epa.gov/region9/water/groundwater/ssa-pdfs/ssafact.pdf</a> . Accessed on July 8, 2014.
Endangered Species Act [50 CFR 402]	A	The project activity involves a previously developed urban property and thus would have no effect on any natural habitats or federally protected species. The project site is entirely developed and therefore does not support these species' habitat requirements.
	-	Source Documents:
		City of San Francisco Planning Department Property Information Map, <a href="http://ec2-50-17-237-182.compute-1.amazonaws.com/PIM//?dept=planning">http://ec2-50-17-237-182.compute-1.amazonaws.com/PIM//?dept=planning</a> , site accessed on July 8, 2014.

		City of San Francisco Planning Department. San Francisco General Plan. Internet Web Site: <a href="http://www.sf-planning.org/ftp/General_Plan/index.htm">http://www.sf-planning.org/ftp/General_Plan/index.htm</a> . Accessed on July 8, 2014.  California Department of Fish and Game. Natural Diversity Database Report, CNDDB Wide Tabular Report, Federally Listed Species for San Francisco County. <a href="http://www.dfg.ca.gov/biogeodata/cnddb/pdfs/spanimals.pd">http://www.dfg.ca.gov/biogeodata/cnddb/pdfs/spanimals.pd</a>
Wild and Scenic Rivers Act	A	I No wild and a serie shows a base of the first of the fi
[Sections 7(b), and (c)]		No wild and scenic rivers are located within the City and County of San Francisco.
		Source Documents:  United States National Park Service. Designated Wild and Scenic Rivers by State. California. Internet Web Site: <a href="http://www.blm.gov/ca/st/en/prog/blm_special_areas/wildrivers.html">http://www.blm.gov/ca/st/en/prog/blm_special_areas/wildrivers.html</a> Accessed July 8, 2014.
Clean Air Act - [Sections 176(c), (d), and 40 CFR 6, 51, 93]	В	The project does not involve acquisition of undeveloped land, a change in land use, major rehabilitation that would cost 75% or more of the property value, or new construction. The project does not meet thresholds for review by the Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD) for air quality impacts, as it is minor in nature; thus, the project conforms to the State Implementation Plan (SIP).
		The building was constructed in 1972, before the 1978 federal bans on friable asbestos-containing building materials and lead-containing paints. Therefore, project activities could result in a release of these materials.
		Removal of asbestos materials would comply with the National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants and the Bay Area Air Quality Management District Regulation 11, Rule 2.
		The project has the potential to disturb lead-based paint. Construction activities that disturb materials or paints containing any amount of lead are subject to certain requirements of the Cal/OSHA lead standard contained in Title 8, CCR Section 1532.1. Deteriorated paint is defined to be Title 17, CCR, Division 1, Chapter 8, Section 35022 as a presumed lead-based paint that is cracking, chalking, chipping, peeling, non-intact, failed, or otherwise separating from a component. Demolition of a deteriorated lead containing paint component would require waste characterization and appropriate disposal.
		In addition, lead-based paint remediation and stabilization associated with the proposed project will comply with the HUD Lead Safe Housing Rule 24 CFR Part 35, Subpart R – Methods and Standards for Lead-Paint Hazard Evaluation and Hazard Reduction Activities. Subpart R provides standards and methods for evaluation and hazard reduction activities required in subparts B, C, D, and F through M of 24 CFR Part 35.
		Source Documents: Bay Area Air Quality Management District Staff Report, Particulate Matter Implementation Schedule. November 9, 2005.
		Internet Web Site: http://www.baagmd.gov/—/media/Filec/Planning%2Oand%2oResearch/Particulate%20Matter/sb656 staff report ashx Accessed on July 8, 2014.
		Bay Area Air Quality Management District, Metropolitan

		Transportation Commission and Association of Bay Area Governments. Bay Area 2005 Ozone Strategy. Adopted January 4, 2006.
Farmland Protection Policy Act [7 CFR 658]	A	The project consists of urban land; therefore, the project would not affect farmlands. There are no protected farmlands in the City and County of San Francisco.
		Source Documents:
	0	United States Department of Agriculture. 7 CFR Part 658.2(a) Farmland Protection Policy Act.
e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e		United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Services. Web Soil Survey. Internet Web Site: <a href="http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/HomePage.htm">http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/HomePage.htm</a> . Accessed on July 8, 2014.
Environmental Justice [Executive Order 12898]	A	The project would not result in disproportionately adverse environmental effects on minority or low income populations.
		Source Documents:  EPA NEPAssist website:  https://nepassist.epa.gov/nationalNEPAtools/NepaCall/analysis.aspx Site accessed on July 8, 2014.
		HUD Guidance and Technical Advice, Environmental Justice.  http://portal.hud.gov/hudportal/HUD?src=/program_offices/comm_planning/environment/review/justice
HUD ENVIRONMENTAL STANDARDS Noise Abatement and Control [24 CFR 51B]	A	The project would not create new noise sources and would have no noise impacts under HUD guidelines. The project does lie within 15 miles of San Francisco International Airport, but because the project would not significantly expand existing operations, this airport noise would not have an effect on the area. The project would create new noise sources only during construction activities.
		Source Documents:
		United States Department of Housing and Urban Development. The Noise Guidebook. Environmental Planning Division, Office of Environment and Energy. September 1991.
		United States Department of Housing and Urban Development. Environmental Criteria and Standards. 24 CFR Part 51

Explosive and Flammable Operations	A	
[24 CFR 51C]		The project will not result in an increased number of people being exposed to hazardous operations by increasing residential densities, converting the type of use of a building to habitation, or making a vacant building habitable. The project does not involve explosive or flammable materials or operations
		Source Documents:
		United States Department of Housing and Urban Development. Environmental Criteria and Standards. 24 CFR Part 51
		San Francisco Department of Public Health List of Above Ground Storage Tanks in San Francisco,
		United States Department of Housing and Urban Development. Siting of HUD-Assisted Projects Near Hazardous Facilities: Acceptable Separation Distances from Explosive and Flammable Hazards. Office of Community Planning and Development, Office of Environment and Energy. Washington, CD. September 1996.
Hazardous, Toxic or Radioactive Materials & Substances [24 CFR 58.5(i)(2)]	A	The project site and the surrounding area were reviewed for documented use, storage, and/or release of toxic, hazardous and radioactive substances via the California State Water Resources Boar GeoTracker Website, the California Department of Toxic Substances Control Enviostar website and the EPA NEPAssist website.
		Based upon a review of data from these sources the reviewer has determined that the subject and adjacent properties are free of hazardous materials, contamination, toxic chemicals, gasses and radioactive substances which could affect the health or safety of occupants or conflict with the intended use of the subject property.
		The search of GeoTracker returned 6 LUST sites within a half mile of the project site. Of these one site is open and undergoing remediation; the remaining five are closed.
		A search using NEPAssist returned 3 small quantity RCRA generators within a half mile of the site. All 3 sites are in compliance with applicable regulatory authorities and no violations are listed. One PCS/ICIS site with reported CWA violations is within the half mile radius; however, these violations will not affect the project activities.
	,	A search using the California Department of Toxic Substances Control Envirostor website returned one site within a half mile radius, however, the site has been cleared and no further action is required.
		Source Document: California State Water Resources Control Board GeoTracker Website; <a href="http://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/map/?CMD=runreport&amp;myaddress=207+skyline+boulevard+san+francisco+ca">http://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/map/?CMD=runreport&amp;myaddress=207+skyline+boulevard+san+francisco+ca</a> . Site accessed July 8, 2014.
		EPA NEPAssist website: https://nepassist.epa.gov/nepave/nepamap.aspx?action=s earchloc&wherestr=207%20skyline%20boulevard%20san% 20francisco%20ca Site accessed on July 8, 2014.
		California Department of Toxic Substances Control Envirostor website:  http://www.envirostor.dtsc.ca.gov/public/mapfull.asp?glo bal_id=&x=- 119&y=37&zl=18&ms=640,480&mt=m&findaddress=True
		&city=207%20skyline%20boulevard%20san%20francisco% 20ca&zip=&county=&federal_superfund=true&state_resp

\$\$			onse=true&voluntary cleanup=true&school cleanup=true &ca site=true&tiered permit=true&evaluation=true&milit ary evaluation=true&school investigation=true&operatin g=true&post closure=true&non operating=true Accessed on July 8, 2014	
e#	Airport Clear Zones and Accident Potential Zones [24 CFR 51D]	A	The project does not lie within an Airport Clear Zone or Accident Potential Zone.	
			Source Document:	
			San Francisco International Airport Master Plan. Adopted 1989.	
	DETERMINATION:  This project converts to EXEMPT, per Section 58.34(a)(12), because it does not require any mitigation for compliance with any listed statutes or authorities, nor requires any formal permit or license (Status "A" has been determined in the status column for all authorities); Funds may be committed and drawn down for this (now) EXEMPT project; OR			
$\boxtimes$	This project cannot convert to Exempt status because one or more statutes or authorities require formal consultation or mitigation. Complete consultation/mitigation protocol requirements, <b>publish NOI/RROF</b> and obtain Authority to Use Grant Funds (HUD 7015.16) per Section 58.70 and 58.71 before committing or drawing down funds; OR			
	The unusual circumstances of this project may result in a significant environmental impact. This project requires preparation of an Environmental Assessment (EA). Prepare the EA according to 24 CFR Part 58 Subpart E.			
PRE	PARER SIGNATURE:	1	DATE: <u>July 8, 2014</u>	
PRE	PARER NAME, COMPANY: Eugene T. Flannery,	Enviro	nmental Compliance Manager, MOHCD	
RES	PONSIBLE ENTITY AGENCY OFFICIAL / SIGNATUI	RE:	02/	

NAME, TITLE: <u>Brian Cheu, Director. Community Development Division. Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development.</u> City and County of San Francisco DATE: <u>July 9, 2014</u>

[HUD Region IX Recommended Format -Revised 2011 - previous versions are obsolete]

Use this worksheet <u>only</u> for projects that are Categorically Excluded per 24 CFR Section 58.35(a). (Note: Compliance with the laws and statutes listed at 24 CFR §58.6 must also be documented).

#### 24 CFR §58.5 STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORDERS & REGULATIONS

**PROJECT NAME and DESCRIPTION** - Include all contemplated actions that logically are either geographically or functionally part of the project:

Agency:	San Francisco AIDS Foundation
Project Site Address:	474 Castro Street San Francisco, CA 94114
APN:	2647/012
Date of Initial Construction:	1904
Project Description:	Replacement of an elevator in a facility serving persons with HIV/AIDS
HUD Program Funding	65,244 CDBG
Total Project Cost	4

This proposal is determined to be categorically excluded according to 24 CFR 58.35(a)(3)(iii): In the case of non-residential structures, including commercial, industrial, and public buildings:

A. The facilities and improvements are in place and will not be changed in size or capacity by more than 20 percent; and

B. The activity does not involve a change in land use, such as from non-residential to residential, commercial to industrial, or from one industrial use to another.

Historic Preservation [36 CFR Part 800]	84	В	The building was initially constructed in 1904 and, as such, is more than 50 years old.
			The undertaking consists of tenant improvements which include:
	·	0	Replacement of an elevator in a facility serving persons with HIV/AIDS
			These activities are listed in Appendix A of the Programmatic Agreement list of activities which require only administrative review by the City and County of San Francisco and not the SHPO.
e e		į	The San Francisco Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development has reviewed the project under the 2007 Programmatic Agreement and determined that the undertaking is exempt from review by the SHPO or ACHP per Stipulation IV, Paragraph C, referencing Appendix A.
			No Historic Properties are Adversely Affected.
			Source Documents:
			City and County of San Francisco. Programmatic Agreement by and among the City and County of San Francisco, the California State Historic Preservation Officer, and the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation Regarding Historic Properties Affected by Use of Revenue from the Department of Housing and Urban Development Part 58 Programs. January 19, 2007;
			City of San Francisco Planning Department Property Information Map , http://ec2-50-17-237-182.compute-1.amazonaws.com/PIM//?dept=planning#BookmarkParcels , site accessed on July 8, 2014;
			United States Advisory Council on Historic Preservation.

		36 CFR Part 800 Protection of Historic Properties
		₹
ty		9
		- A
	k	
		5
		¥
		18
Floodplain Management	A	The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA)
[24 CFR 55, Executive Order 11988]		prepares Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs) that identify
		areas subject to flood inundation, most often from a flood having a one percent chance of occurrence in a given year
		(also known as a "base flood" or "100-year flood").
		FEMA refers to the portion of the floodplain or coastal area
		that is at risk from floods of this magnitude as a Special
		Flood Hazard Areas (SFHA). No finalized flood hazard zones have been mapped by the Federal Emergency
		Management Agency (FEMA) in San Francisco.
		Draft maps indicate that the project site is not within or
*		near a flood zone. The project is neither within a known
		FEMA floodplain nor within the preliminary Flood Insurance Rate Map prepared for the City and County of
		San Francisco on September 21, 2007. The project would
		not involve either direct or indirect support of development
		in a floodplain.
		Source Documents:
		City and County of San Francisco Interim Floodplain Map. Internet Web Site: <a href="http://sfgsa.org/index.aspx?page=828">http://sfgsa.org/index.aspx?page=828</a> .
		Accessed on July 8, 2014.
		United States Federal Emerges Management
		United States Federal Emergency Management Administration. FEMA Issued Flood Maps, San Francisco
		County. Internet Web Site:
		http://msc.fema.gov/webapp/wcs/stores/servlet/MapSearch Result?storeId=10001&catalogId=10001&langId=-
		1&userType=G&panelIDs=&Type=pbp&nonprinted=&un
		mapped=UNMAPPED 060298 060298 SAN+FRANCISC
		<u>O%2CCTY%2FSAN+FRANCISC.</u> Accessed on July 8, 2014.
		Accessed on only 0, 2017.

Wetland Protection [Executive Order 11990]	A	The project activities are not located near any coastal, riparian, or bayfront wetlands. Therefore, the Proposed
		Action would not affect wetland or riparian areas.  Source Document:  United States Fish and Wildlife Service, Division of Habitat and Resource Conservation. Wetlands Geodatabase. Internet Web Site: <a href="http://www.fws.gov/wetlands/data/Mapper.html">http://www.fws.gov/wetlands/data/Mapper.html</a> . Accessed on July 8, 2014.
Coastal Zone Management Act [Sections 307(c), (d)]	A	The San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission (BCDC) has permit authority over San Francisco Bay and lands located within 100 feet of the Bay shoreline. BCDC's San Francisco Bay Plan is the Coastal Zone Management Program for the San Francisco Bay Segment of the California Coastal Zone Management Program, pursuant to the Federal Coastal Zone Management Act (CZMA). Under the CZMA, projects requiring federal approval or funding must, to the maximum extent practicable, be consistent with a state's coastal management program if the project would affect the coastal zone.
		The project site is located more than 100 feet from the San Francisco Bay shoreline; therefore, no formal finding of consistency with the San Francisco Bay Plan is required. The project activity does not involve activity within a Coastal Zone Management Area (CZM) area.
		Source Documents:  San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission. San Francisco Bay Plan. Adopted 1968. Reprinted in February 2008. <a href="http://www.bcdc.ca.gov/laws_plans/plans/sfbay_plan#5">http://www.bcdc.ca.gov/laws_plans/plans/sfbay_plan#5</a>
		United States National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. State Coastal Zone Boundaries, California. Internet Web Site: http://coastalmanagement.noaa.gov/mystate/docs/StateCZBoundaries.pdf. Accessed on July 8, 2014.  Google Earth Map
Sole Source Aquifers [40 CFR 149]	A	The project is not served by a US EPA designated sole-source aquifer, is not located within a sole-source aquifer watershed, and would not affect a sole-source aquifer subject to the HUD EPA MOU.  Source Documents:  United States Environmental Protection Agency. Sole Source Aquifers subject to HUD-EPA Memorandum of Understanding, dated April 30, 1990.  United States Environmental Protection Agency. Sole
Endangared Species Act		Source Aquifers in Region 9. Internet Website: http://www.epa.gov/region9/water/groundwater/ssa- pdfs/ssafact.pdf. Accessed on July 8, 2014.
Endangered Species Act [50 CFR 402]	A	The project activity involves a previously developed urban property and thus would have no effect on any natural habitats or federally protected species. The project site is entirely developed and therefore does not support these species' habitat requirements.
		Source Documents:  City of San Francisco Planning Department Property Information Map, <a href="http://ec2-50-17-237-182.compute-1.amazonaws.com/PIM//?dept=planning">http://ec2-50-17-237-182.compute-1.amazonaws.com/PIM//?dept=planning</a> , site accessed on July 8, 2014.

	T-	
		City of San Francisco Planning Department. San Francisco General Plan. Internet Web Site: <a href="http://www.sf-planning.org/ftp/General_Plan/index.htm">http://www.sf-planning.org/ftp/General_Plan/index.htm</a> . Accessed on July
		8, 2014.  California Department of Fish and Game. Natural Diversity Database Report, CNDDB Wide Tabular Report, Federally Listed Species for San Francisco County. <a href="http://www.dfg.ca.gov/biogeodata/cnddb/pdfs/spanimals.pd">http://www.dfg.ca.gov/biogeodata/cnddb/pdfs/spanimals.pd</a> f
Wild and Scenic Rivers Act [Sections 7(b), and (c)]	А	No wild and scenic rivers are located within the City and County of San Francisco.
		Source Documents:
		United States National Park Service. Designated Wild and Scenic Rivers by State. California. Internet Web Site: <a href="http://www.blm.gov/ca/st/en/prog/blm_special_areas/wildrivers.html">http://www.blm.gov/ca/st/en/prog/blm_special_areas/wildrivers.html</a> . Accessed July 8, 2014.
Clean Air Act - [Sections 176(c), (d), and 40 CFR 6, 51, 93]	В	The project does not involve acquisition of undeveloped land, a change in land use, major rehabilitation that would cost 75% or more of the property value, or new construction. The project does not meet thresholds for review by the Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD) for air quality impacts, as it is minor in nature; thus, the project conforms to the State Implementation Plan (SIP).
		The building was constructed in 1904, before the 1978 federal bans on friable asbestos-containing building materials and lead-containing paints. Therefore, project activities could result in a release of these materials.
		Removal of asbestos materials would comply with the National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants and the Bay Area Air Quality Management District Regulation 11, Rule 2.
		The project has the potential to disturb lead-based paint. Construction activities that disturb materials or paints containing any amount of lead are subject to certain requirements of the Cal/OSHA lead standard contained in Title 8, CCR Section 1532.1. Deteriorated paint is defined to be Title 17, CCR, Division 1, Chapter 8, Section 35022 as a presumed lead-based paint that is cracking, chalking, chipping, peeling, non-intact, failed, or otherwise separating from a component. Demolition of a deteriorated lead containing paint component would require waste characterization and appropriate disposal.
		In addition, lead-based paint remediation and stabilization associated with the proposed project will comply with the HUD Lead Safe Housing Rule 24 CFR Part 35, Subpart R – Methods and Standards for Lead-Paint Hazard Evaluation and Hazard Reduction Activities. Subpart R provides standards and methods for evaluation and hazard reduction activities required in subparts B, C, D, and F through M of 24 CFR Part 35.
		Source Documents: Bay Area Air Quality Management District Staff Report, Particulate Matter Implementation Schedule. November 9, 2005.
		Internet Web Site: http://www.baagmd.gov/— /media/Filec/Planning%2Oand%2oResearch/Particulate%2 0Matter/sb656 staff report ashx Accessed an July 8, 2014.
	19	Bay Area Air Quality Management District, Metropolitan

		Transportation Commission and Association of Bay Area Governments. Bay Area 2005 Ozone Strategy. Adopted January 4, 2006.
Farmland Protection Policy Act [7 CFR 658]	A	The project consists of urban land; therefore, the project would not affect farmlands. There are no protected farmlands in the City and County of San Francisco.
	0.	Source Documents:
		United States Department of Agriculture. 7 CFR Part 658.2(a) Farmland Protection Policy Act.
		United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Services. Web Soil Survey. Internet Web Site: <a href="http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/HomePage.htm">http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/HomePage.htm</a> . Accessed on July 8, 2014.
Environmental Justice [Executive Order 12898]	A	The project would not result in disproportionately adverse environmental effects on minority or low income populations.
		Source Documents:
		EPA NEPAssist website: https://nepassist.epa.gov/nationalNEPAtools/NepaCall/analysis.aspx
		Site accessed on July 8, 2014.
*		HUD Guidance and Technical Advice, Environmental Justice. <a href="http://portal.hud.gov/hudportal/HUD?src=/program_offices/comm_planning/environment/review/justice">http://portal.hud.gov/hudportal/HUD?src=/program_offices/comm_planning/environment/review/justice</a>
HUD ENVIRONMENTAL STANDARDS Noise Abatement and Control [24 CFR 51B]	A	The project would not create new noise sources and would have no noise impacts under HUD guidelines. The project does lie within 15 miles of San Francisco International Airport, but because the project would not significantly expand existing operations, this airport noise would not have an effect on the area. The project would create new noise sources only during construction activities.
		Source Documents:
		United States Department of Housing and Urban Development. The Noise Guidebook. Environmental Planning Division, Office of Environment and Energy. September 1991.
	iii	United States Department of Housing and Urban Development. Environmental Criteria and Standards. 24 CFR Part 51

Facilities I.E. III Control	т	
Explosive and Flammable Operations [24 CFR 51C]	A	The project will not result in an increased number of people being exposed to hazardous operations by increasing residential densities, converting the type of use of a building to habitation, or making a vacant building habitable. The project does not involve explosive or flammable materials or operations
1		Source Documents:
		United States Department of Housing and Urban Development. Environmental Criteria and Standards. 24 CFR Part 51
		San Francisco Department of Public Health List of Above Ground Storage Tanks in San Francisco,
		United States Department of Housing and Urban Development. Siting of HUD-Assisted Projects Near Hazardous Facilities: Acceptable Separation Distances from Explosive and Flammable Hazards. Office of Community Planning and Development, Office of Environment and Energy. Washington, CD. September 1996.
Hazardous, Toxic or Radioactive Materials & Substances [24 CFR 58.5(i)(2)]	A	The project site and the surrounding area were reviewed for documented use, storage, and/or release of toxic, hazardous and radioactive substances via the California State Water Resources Boar GeoTracker Website, the California Department of Toxic Substances Control Enviostar website and the EPA NEPAssist website.
		Based upon a review of data from the California State Water Board's GeoTracker website and NEPAssist the reviewer has determined that the subject and adjacent properties are free of hazardous materials, contamination, toxic chemicals, gasses and radioactive substances which could affect the health or safety of occupants or conflict with the intended use of the subject property.
		The search of GeoTracker returned 32 LUST sites within a half mile of the project site. Of these one site is open and undergoing site assessment.
e sa		A search using NEPAssist returned 6 small quantity RCRA generators within a half mile of the site. All 6 sites are in compliance with applicable regulatory authorities and no violations are listed.
		A search using the California Department of Toxic Substances Control Envirostor website returned one site within the radius area: a former ARCO LOP site, closed. Sampling has detected residential TPH and metal below levels of concern. no results. Site is currently undergoing assessment by the LOP.
		Source Document:
		California State Water Resources Control Board GeoTracker Website; http://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/map/?CMD=runrep ort&myaddress=474+Castro+Street+San+Francisco+CA Site accessed July 8, 2014.
Si Si		EPA NEPAssist website: https://nepassist.epa.gov/nepave/nepamap.aspx?action=s earchloc&wherestr=474%20Castro%20Street%20San%20F rancisco%20CA%20 Site accessed on July 8, 2014.
		California Department of Toxic Substances Control Envirostor website:  http://www.envirostor.dtsc.ca.gov/public/mapfull.asp?global id=&x=-  119&y=37&zl=18&ms=640,480&mt=m&findaddress=True
		&city=474%20castro%20street%20san%20francisco%20ca

			&zip=&county=&federal superfund=true&state response =true&voluntary cleanup=true&school cleanup=true&ca site=true&tiered permit=true&evaluation=true&military evaluation=true&school investigation=true&operating=tr ue&post closure=true&non operating=true Accessed on July 8, 2014
	Airport Clear Zones and Accident Potential Zones [24 CFR 51D]	A	The project does not lie within an Airport Clear Zone or Accident Potential Zone.
			Source Document:
			San Francisco International Airport Master Plan. Adopted 1989.
	compliance with any listed statutes or author been determined in the status column for all this (now) EXEMPT project; OR This project cannot convert to Exempt status consultation or mitigation. Complete consulta and obtain Authority to Use Grant Funds (or drawing down funds; OR The unusual circumstances of this project ma	rities, n author becaus ation/n HUD 70	(a)(12), because it does not require any mitigation for or requires any formal permit or license (Status "A" has ities); <b>Funds may be committed and drawn down</b> for se one or more statutes or authorities require formal nitigation protocol requirements, <b>publish NOI/RROF</b> 015.16) per Section 58.70 and 58.71 before committing at in a significant environmental impact. This project ent (EA). Prepare the EA according to 24 CFR Part 58
PRE	PARER SIGNATURE:		DATE: <u>July 8, 2014</u>
PRE	PARER NAME, COMPANY <u>Eugene T. Flannery.</u>	Enviro	nmental Compliance Manager, MOHCD
RES	PONSIBLE ENTITY AGENCY OFFICIAL / SIGNATU	RE:	9//
	•		nt Division, Mayor's Office of Housing and Community
TATIA	il, ililb, bilali ciicu, bil cuul, cullillillilli Deve	monmer	IL DIVISION, MAYOR'S UTHCE OF HOUSING AND L'AMMINITY

NAN Development, City and County of San Francisco
DATE: July 9, 2014

[HUD Region IX Recommended Format -Revised 2011 - previous versions are obsolete]

Use this worksheet <u>only</u> for projects that are Categorically Excluded per 24 CFR Section 58.35(a). (Note: Compliance with the laws and statutes listed at 24 CFR §58.6 must also be documented).

#### 24 CFR §58.5 STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORDERS & REGULATIONS

**PROJECT NAME and DESCRIPTION** - Include all contemplated actions that logically are either geographically or functionally part of the project:

Agency: Telegraph Hill Neighborhood Association

Project Site Address: 660 Lombard Street San Francisco, CA 94133

APN: 0064/014

Date of Initial Construction: 1954

Project Description: Replace the boiler, heating and ventilation system, and hot water heater; and upgrades to the mechanical control system in a multipurpose facility

HUD Program Funding 85,800 CDBG

Total Project Cost

This proposal is determined to be categorically excluded according to 24 CFR 58.35(a)(3)(iii): In the case of non-residential structures, including commercial, industrial, and public buildings:

A. The facilities and improvements are in place and will not be changed in size or capacity by more than 20 percent; and

B. The activity does not involve a change in land use, such as from non-residential to residential, commercial to industrial, or from one industrial use to another.

Historic Preservation [36 CFR Part 800]	В	The building was initially constructed in 1954 and, as such, is more than 50 years old.
ų a		The undertaking consists of tenant improvements which include:
a)		Replace the boiler, heating and ventilation system, and hot water heater; and upgrades to the mechanical control system in a multipurpose facility
-	9	These activities are listed in Appendix A of the Programmatic Agreement list of activities which require only administrative review by the City and County of San Francisco and not the SHPO.
	2	The San Francisco Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development has reviewed the project under the 2007 Programmatic Agreement and determined that the undertaking is exempt from review by the SHPO or ACHP per Stipulation IV, Paragraph C, referencing Appendix A.
		No Historic Properties are Adversely Affected.
1.		Source Documents:
		City and County of San Francisco. Programmatic Agreement by and among the City and County of San Francisco, the California State Historic Preservation Officer, and the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation Regarding Historic Properties Affected by Use of Revenue from the Department of Housing and Urban Development Part 58 Programs. January 19, 2007;
		City of San Francisco Planning Department Property Information Map , http://ec2-50-17-237-182.compute-1.amazonaws.com/PIM//?dept=planning#BookmarkParcels , site accessed on July 8, 2014;

	l.	United States Advisory Council on Historic Preservation. 36 CFR Part 800 Protection of Historic Properties
		G.
		-
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		5
Floodplain Management [24 CFR 55, Executive Order 11988]	A	The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) prepares Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs) that identify areas subject to flood inundation, most often from a flood having a one percent chance of occurrence in a given year (also known as a "base flood" or "100-year flood").
	e ::	FEMA refers to the portion of the floodplain or coastal area that is at risk from floods of this magnitude as a Special Flood Hazard Areas (SFHA). No finalized flood hazard zones have been mapped by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) in San Francisco.
		Draft maps indicate that the project site is not within or near a flood zone. The project is neither within a known FEMA floodplain nor within the preliminary Flood Insurance Rate Map prepared for the City and County of San Francisco on September 21, 2007. The project would not involve either direct or indirect support of development in a floodplain.
		Source Documents:  City and County of San Francisco Interim Floodplain Map. Internet Web Site: <a href="http://sfgsa.org/index.aspx?page=828">http://sfgsa.org/index.aspx?page=828</a> .  Accessed on July 8, 2014.
		United States Federal Emergency Management Administration. FEMA Issued Flood Maps, San Francisco County. Internet Web Site: http://msc.fema.gov/webapp/wcs/stores/servlet/MapSearch Result?storeId=10001&catalogId=10001&langId=- 1&userType=G&panelIDs=&Type=pbp&nonprinted=&un mapped=UNMAPPED_060298 060298 SAN+FRANCISC 0%2CCTY%2FSAN+FRANCISC. Accessed on July 8, 2014.

Wetland Protection [Executive Order 11990]	A	The project activities are not located near any coastal, riparian, or bayfront wetlands. Therefore, the Proposed Action would not affect wetland or riparian areas.  Source Documents:  United States Fish and Wildlife Service, Division of Habitat and Resource Conservation. Wetlands Geodatabase. Internet Web Site: <a href="http://www.fws.gov/wetlands/data/Mapper.html">http://www.fws.gov/wetlands/data/Mapper.html</a> . Accessed on July 8, 2014.
Coastal Zone Management Act [Sections 307(c), (d)]	A	The San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission (BCDC) has permit authority over San Francisco Bay and lands located within 100 feet of the Bay shoreline. BCDC's San Francisco Bay Plan is the Coastal Zone Management Program for the San Francisco Bay Segment of the California Coastal Zone Management Program, pursuant to the Federal Coastal Zone Management Act (CZMA). Under the CZMA, projects requiring federal approval or funding must, to the maximum extent practicable, be consistent with a state's coastal management program if the project would affect the coastal zone.
		The project site is located more than 100 feet from the San Francisco Bay shoreline; therefore, no formal finding of consistency with the San Francisco Bay Plan is required. The project activity does not involve activity within a Coastal Zone Management Area (CZM) area.  Source Documents:
		San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission. San Francisco Bay Plan. Adopted 1968. Reprinted in February 2008. http://www.bcdc.ca.gov/laws_plans/plans/sfbay_plan#5
		United States National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. State Coastal Zone Boundaries, California. Internet Web Site: <a href="http://coastalmanagement.noaa.gov/mystate/docs/StateCZBoundaries.pdf">http://coastalmanagement.noaa.gov/mystate/docs/StateCZBoundaries.pdf</a> . Accessed on July 8, 2014.  Google Earth Map
Sole Source Aquifers [40 CFR 149]	A	The project is not served by a US EPA designated sole-source aquifer, is not located within a sole-source aquifer watershed, and would not affect a sole-source aquifer subject to the HUD EPA MOU.  Source Documents:
a		United States Environmental Protection Agency. Sole Source Aquifers subject to HUD-EPA Memorandum of Understanding, dated April 30, 1990.
	ë	United States Environmental Protection Agency. Sole Source Aquifers in Region 9. Internet Website: <a href="http://www.epa.gov/region9/water/groundwater/ssa-pdfs/ssafact.pdf">http://www.epa.gov/region9/water/groundwater/ssa-pdfs/ssafact.pdf</a> . Accessed on July 8, 2014.
Endangered Species Act [50 CFR 402]	A	The project activity involves a previously developed urban property and thus would have no effect on any natural habitats or federally protected species. The project site is entirely developed and therefore does not support these species' habitat requirements.
	j.	Source Documents:  City of San Francisco Planning Department Property Information Map, <a href="http://ec2-50-17-237-182.compute-1.amazonaws.com/PIM//?dept=planning">http://ec2-50-17-237-182.compute-1.amazonaws.com/PIM//?dept=planning</a> , site accessed on July 8, 2014.

		City of San Francisco Planning Department. San Francisco General Plan. Internet Web Site: <a href="http://www.sf-planning.org/ftp/General Plan/index.htm">http://www.sf-planning.org/ftp/General Plan/index.htm</a> . Accessed on July 8, 2014.  California Department of Fish and Game. Natural Diversity Database Report, CNDDB Wide Tabular Report, Federally Listed Species for San Francisco County. <a href="http://www.dfg.ca.gov/biogeodata/cnddb/pdfs/spanimals.pd">http://www.dfg.ca.gov/biogeodata/cnddb/pdfs/spanimals.pd</a>
		f
Wild and Scenic Rivers Act [Sections 7(b), and (c)]	A	No wild and scenic rivers are located within the City and County of San Francisco.
		Source Document:  United States National Park Service. Designated Wild and Scenic Rivers by State. California. Internet Web Site: http://www.blm.gov/ca/st/en/prog/blm_special_areas/wildri
Clean Air Act - [Sections 176(c), (d), and 40 CFR 6, 51, 93]	В	vers.html . Accessed July 8, 2014.  The project does not involve acquisition of undeveloped land, a change in land use, major rehabilitation that would cost 75% or more of the property value, or new construction. The project does not meet thresholds for review by the Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD) for air quality impacts, as it is minor in nature; thus, the project conforms to the State Implementation Plan (SIP).
		The building was constructed in 1954, before the 1978 federal bans on friable asbestos-containing building materials and lead-containing paints. Therefore, project activities could result in a release of these materials.
		Removal of asbestos materials would comply with the National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants and the Bay Area Air Quality Management District Regulation 11, Rule 2.
		The project has the potential to disturb lead-based paint. Construction activities that disturb materials or paints containing any amount of lead are subject to certain requirements of the Cal/OSHA lead standard contained in Title 8, CCR Section 1532.1. Deteriorated paint is defined to be Title 17, CCR, Division 1, Chapter 8, Section 35022 as a presumed lead-based paint that is cracking, chalking, chipping, peeling, non-intact, failed, or otherwise separating from a component. Demolition of a deteriorated lead containing paint component would require waste characterization and appropriate disposal.
		In addition, lead-based paint remediation and stabilization associated with the proposed project will comply with the HUD Lead Safe Housing Rule 24 CFR Part 35, Subpart R – Methods and Standards for Lead-Paint Hazard Evaluation and Hazard Reduction Activities. Subpart R provides standards and methods for evaluation and hazard reduction activities required in subparts B, C, D, and F through M of 24 CFR Part 35.
		Source Documents: Bay Area Air Quality Management District Staff Report, Particulate Matter Implementation Schedule. November 9, 2005.
		Internet Web Site: http://www.baagmd.gov/—/media/Filec/Planning%2Oand%2oResearch/Particulate%20Matter/sb656 staff report ashx Accessed an July 8, 2014.
		Bay Area Air Quality Management District, Metropolitan

		Transportation Commission and Association of Bay Area Governments. Bay Area 2005 Ozone Strategy. Adopted January 4, 2006.
Farmland Protection Policy Act [7 CFR 658]	A	The project consists of urban land; therefore, the project would not affect farmlands. There are no protected farmlands in the City and County of San Francisco.
		Source Documents: United States Department of Agriculture. 7 CFR Part 658.2(a) Farmland Protection Policy Act.
		United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Services. Web Soil Survey. Internet Web Site: <a href="http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/HomePage.htm">http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/HomePage.htm</a> . Accessed on July 8, 2014.
Environmental Justice [Executive Order 12898]	A	The project would not result in disproportionately adverse environmental effects on minority or low income populations.
		Source Documents:
		EPA NEPAssist website:  https://nepassist.epa.gov/nationalNEPAtools/NepaCall/analysis.aspx Site accessed on July 8, 2014.
) 		HUD Guidance and Technical Advice, Environmental Justice. <a href="http://portal.hud.gov/hudportal/HUD?src=/program_offices/comm_planning/environment/review/justice">http://portal.hud.gov/hudportal/HUD?src=/program_offices/comm_planning/environment/review/justice</a>
HUD ENVIRONMENTAL STANDARDS Noise Abatement and Control [24 CFR 51B]	A	The project would not create new noise sources and would have no noise impacts under HUD guidelines. The project does lie within 15 miles of San Francisco International Airport, but because the project would not significantly expand existing operations, this airport noise would not have an effect on the area. The project would create new noise sources only during construction activities.
		Source Documents:
		United States Department of Housing and Urban Development. The Noise Guidebook. Environmental Planning Division, Office of Environment and Energy. September 1991.
		United States Department of Housing and Urban Development. Environmental Criteria and Standards. 24 CFR Part 51

L FOALOND STOL		I THE Drotect will not recult in an increased number of manual -
[24 CFR 51C]		The project will not result in an increased number of people being exposed to hazardous operations by increasing residential densities, converting the type of use of a building to habitation, or making a vacant building habitable. The project does not involve explosive or flammable materials or operations
-1 12		Source Documents:
		United States Department of Housing and Urban Development. Environmental Criteria and Standards. 24 CFR Part 51
		San Francisco Department of Public Health List of Above Ground Storage Tanks in San Francisco,
		United States Department of Housing and Urban Development. Siting of HUD-Assisted Projects Near Hazardous Facilities: Acceptable Separation Distances from Explosive and Flammable Hazards. Office of Community Planning and Development, Office of Environment and Energy. Washington, CD. September 1996.
Hazardous, Toxic or Radioactive Materials & Substances [24 CFR 58.5(i)(2)]	A	The project site and the surrounding area were reviewed for documented use, storage, and/or release of toxic, hazardous and radioactive substances via the California State Water Resources Boar GeoTracker Website, the California Department of Toxic Substances Control Enviostar website and the EPA NEPAssist website.
		Based upon a review of data from the California State Water Board's GeoTracker website and NEPAssist the reviewer has determined that the subject and adjacent properties are free of hazardous materials, contamination, toxic chemicals, gasses and radioactive substances which could affect the health or safety of occupants or conflict with the intended use of the subject property.
		The search of GeoTracker returned 68 LUST sites within a half mile of the project site. Of these two sites are open and inactive; three are open for remediation and one is open for site assessment.
		A search using NEPAssist returned 11 small quantity RCRA generators within a half mile of the site. All 11 sites are in compliance with applicable regulatory authorities and no violations are listed.
		A search using the California Department of Toxic Substances Control Envirostor website returned two results within the half mile search radius. No further action is required on either site.
		Source Document:  California State Water Resources Control Board GeoTracker Website; http://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/map/?CMD=runrep ort&myaddress=660+lombard+street+san+francisco+ca Site accessed July 8, 2014.
		EPA NEPAssist website: https://nepassist.epa.gov/nepave/nepamap.aspx?action=s earchloc&wherestr=660%20Lombard%20street%20san%2 Ofrancisco%20CA Site accessed on July 8, 2014.
		California Department of Toxic Substances Control Envirostor website:  http://www.envirostor.dtsc.ca.gov/public/mapfull.asp?glo bal id=&x=- 119&y=37&zl=18&ms=640,480&mt=m&findaddress=True &city=660%20lombard%20street%20san%20francisco%20 ca&zip=&county=&federal superfund=true&state respon

	· ·	se=true&voluntary cleanup=true&school cleanup=true&c a site=true&tiered permit=true&evaluation=true&militar y evaluation=true&school investigation=true&operating= true&post closure=true&non operating=true on July 8, 2014		
Airport Clear Zones and Accident Potential Zones [24 CFR 51D]	A	The project does not lie within an Airport Clear Zone or Accident Potential Zone.		
		Source Document:		
		San Francisco International Airport Master Plan. Adopted 1989.		
This project converts to EXEMPT, per Section 58.34(a)(12), because it does not require any mitigation for compliance with any listed statutes or authorities, nor requires any formal permit or license (Status "A" has been determined in the status column for all authorities); Funds may be committed and drawn down for this (now) EXEMPT project; OR  This project cannot convert to Exempt status because one or more statutes or authorities require formal consultation or mitigation. Complete consultation/mitigation protocol requirements, publish NOI/RROF and obtain Authority to Use Grant Funds (HUD 7015.16) per Section 58.70 and 58.71 before committing or drawing down funds; OR  The unusual circumstances of this project may result in a significant environmental impact. This project requires preparation of an Environmental Assessment (EA). Prepare the EA according to 24 CFR Part 58 Subpart E.				
PREPARER SIGNATURE:		DATE: <u>July 8, 2014</u>		
PREPARER NAME, COMPANY: Eugene T. Flannery.	Enviro	nmental Compliance Manager, MOHCD		
RESPONSIBLE ENTITY AGENCY OFFICIAL / SIGNATU	RE:	M		

NAME, TITLE: <u>Brian Cheu, Director, Community Development Division, Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development</u>, <u>City and County of San Francisco</u>
DATE: <u>July 9, 2014</u>