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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

| Sponsor Information: |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Project Name: | 180 Jones | Sponsor(s): | Tenderloin Neighborhood <br> Development Corporation |
| Project Address (w/ cross St): | 180 Jones (at Turk) | Ultimate Borrower Entity: | 180 Jones Associates, |

## Project Summary:

180 Jones Associates, L.P., a partnership formed by Tenderloin Neighborhood Development Corporation (TNDC) or (the "Sponsor"), requests a total gap loan commitment in the amount of up to $\$ 13,950,000$, which is less than the preliminary gap amount approved by Loan Committee on August 21, 2020 for purposes of their application for the California Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) Multifamily Housing Program (MHP). The project obtained an MHP award, but ultimately their bond application to the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee was not funded. Due to not receiving a CDLAC award, the project was eligible to submit an application to the California Housing Accelerator Fund ("Accelerator") Tier 1, and was awarded $\$ 23,787,486$ in Accelerator funds on February 3, 2022. Pending approval of this final gap request, the project will be fully funded and will be able to start construction in May 2022. The project must commence construction within 180 days of February 3, or around August 3, 2022.

180 Jones will provide 70 studio units comprised of one unrestricted manager's unit, 35 supportive housing units with LOSP subsidy, and 34 unsubsidized units restricted at $40 \% \mathrm{MOHCD} \mathrm{AMI}$ and $85 \%$ MOHCD AMI. The Project's average MOHCD AMI for the unsubsidized units is $68 \%$. The request includes a Capitalized Operating Subsidy Reserve (COSR) which will be used to lower the asking rents of 19 of $2185 \%$ AMI units to $\sim 60 \%$ MOHCD AMI and 2 of the 21 units to $40 \% \mathrm{AMI}$ to get closer to meeting the affordability goals of the surrounding community, and results in no $85 \%$ AMI units for the 20 year term of the COSR. The proposed nine story building will be built on a small, 4,743 square foot lot, with ground floor service space, office space, and back of the house operations space.

## Project Description:

| Construction Type: | Type I | Project Type: | New Construction |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of Stories: | 9 | Lot Size (acres and sf): | 0.11 acres / 4,743 SF |
| Number of Units: | 70 | Architect: | Van Meter Williams Pollack |
| Total Residential Area: | $38,410 \mathrm{sf}$ | General Contractor: | Cahill Contractors |
| Total Commercial Area: | 0 sf | Property Manager: | Tenderloin Neighborhood <br> Development Corporation |
| Total Building Area: | $39,420 ~ s f$ <br> City and County of San <br> Land Owner: | Supervisor and District: | Sup. Haney - D6 |
| Total Development Cost  <br> (TDC): $\$ 52,130,382$ | Total Acquisition Cost: | $\$ 10,000$ |  |
| TDC/unit: | $\$ 744,720$ | TDC less land cost/unit: | $\$ 744,577$ |

Request Amount / unit:
Parking?
\$199,286
N

## PRINCIPAL DEVELOPMENT ISSUES

1. High Construction and Total Development Cost - Construction costs and Total Development Cost are related to the very small size of the urban infill site with Type I construction. However, the requested MOHCD gap per unit is low given the financing structure, and the sponsor has successfully completed value engineering - see Section 4.5. Construction Representative's Evaluation, and Section 6. Financing Plan.
2. Community's Affordability Goals - The community's affordability goals for 180 Jones are to have at least $40 \%$ of the available units for unsubsidized extremely low-income (ELI) adults currently living in Tenderloin-based Single Room Occupancy (SRO) hotels. The sponsor has been able to deepen affordability with the proposed COSR given the State Accelerator funding with 15 units at 40\% AMI, but the project still does not fully meet these affordability goals. The targeting of non-PSH units to households living in Tenderloin SROs will require careful planning prior to lease up and extensive outreach. See Section 1 and Section 4.3.
3. A Capitalized Operating Subsidy Reserve (COSR) will deepen affordability and ensure financial feasibility for a 20 year period. 15 units will be targeted to households at 40\% AMI (2 units for 20 years, 13 units for 55 years), and 19 units will be targeted to households at 60\% AMI for 20 years through the COSR. After 20 years, the sponsor will need to recapitalize the project to maintain these reduced rents. See Sections 1, 4.3 and 7.5.
4. An Accelerator contingency amount is included in the loan request in the event that HCD amends their award to keep the MOHCD funding commitments at the same level as previously presented in the sponsor's MHP application. See Section 6.4.
5. Rents in the Tenderloin have recovered less quickly from recent lows than in other neighborhoods. There could be possible issues marketing the units at $60 \%$ AMI rents in this location. TNDC will work with agencies that hold vouchers, advertising to the Section 8 voucher list, and conducting early outreach to market and lease up these units. See Sections 1 and 4.3.

## SOURCES AND USES SUMMARY

| Permanent Sources | Amount | Terms | Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MOHCD/OCII | $\$ 12,858,477$ | 55 years @3\% / Res Rec | THIS REQUEST |
| HCD MHP | $\$ 15,395,000$ | 55 years @3\% / Res Rec | Committed |
| HCD Accelerator | $\$ 22,695,963$ | 20 yrs @ 0\% / forgivable | Committed |
| MOHCD - Contingency for <br> Accelerator award | $\$ 1,091,523$ | 55 years @3\% / Res Rec | THIS REQUEST |
| Accrued MOHCD Predev <br> interest | $\$ 89,419$ |  |  |
| Total | $\$ 52, \mathbf{1 3 0 , 3 8 2}$ |  |  |


| Permanent Uses | Amount | Per Unit | Per SF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acquisition | $\$ 10,000$ | $\$ 143$ | $\$ 0.25$ |
| Hard Costs | $\$ 38,532,360$ | $\$ 549,663$ | $\$ 976$ |
| Soft Costs | $\$ 8,496,783$ | $\$ 122,467$ | $\$ 217$ |
| Reserves | $\$ 2,631,820$ | $\$ 37,312$ | $\$ 66$ |
| Developer Costs | $\$ 2,459,419$ | $\$ 33,857$ | $\$ 60$ |
| Total | $\$ 52, \mathbf{1 3 0 , 3 8 2}$ | $\$ 743,442$ | $\$ 1,320$ |

## 1. BACKGROUND

Project History Leading to This Request. In 2017, the City and the developer of 950-974 Market Street reached an agreement in which the 950-974 Market Street project could satisfy its inclusionary housing requirements by transferring the 180 Jones Site ("Site") to MOHCD and paying a total amount of $\$ 13,950,000$ into the 180 Jones Fund. Ordinance No. 49-17 helped establish the 180 Jones Fund to facilitate the construction of an affordable housing project with a minimum 60 efficiency units at the Site, made affordable to low-income households. Of the $\$ 13,950,000, \$ 1,500,000$ was paid to the City with the land transfer in February 2019. The remaining $\$ 12,450,000$ was to be paid upon achievement of Temporary Certificate of Occupancy at 950 Market Street. The Development Agreement has been amended to provide more time for the developer to pay MOHCD, and MOHCD will communicate to the developer 60 days from when funds are required for the closing, i.e. around Mach 12, 2022.

TNDC was selected in June 2019 to develop, manage, and provide services to 180 Jones under their response to the 180 Jones Developer Request for Qualifications (RFQ), issued on March 15, 2019. TNDC received Loan Committee approval for a predevelopment loan on October 4, 2019. The affordable housing development at 180 Jones was born out of the Tenderloin community's effort to negotiate a community benefits agreement with a nearby market rate developer. The community's initial goal was for the Site to
provide "step up housing" for longtime Tenderloin Single Room Occupancy (SRO) residents, many of whom are extremely low-income, have experienced homelessness at some point in their lives, but are now working and ready to transition to living in an apartment with their own bathroom and kitchen. The community's affordability goals for 180 Jones are to have at least $40 \%$ of the available units for unsubsidized extremely low-income (ELI) adults currently living in Tenderloin-based SRO Hotels.

The Project includes 70 total studio units comprised of one unrestricted manager's unit, 35 LOSP units, and 34 unsubsidized units restricted at 40\% MOHCD AMI (13 units) and 85\% MOHCD AMI (21 units). The proposed nine story building will be built on a small, 4,743 square foot lot, with ground floor service space, office space, and back of the house operations space (transformer room, trash room, etc.).

TNDC's current program underwrites 19\% of total unsubsidized units at 40\% Area Median Income, ("MOHCD AMI"), and 30\% of total units at 85\% MOHCD AMI, necessary for the project's long-term financial feasibility. A Capitalized Operating Subsidy Reserve (COSR) will be used to lower the asking rents of 19 of $2185 \%$ AMI units to $\sim 60 \%$ MOHCD AMI and 2 of the 21 units to $40 \%$ AMI, effectively adding two more deeply affordable units and lowering the asking rents for the highest AMI units in order to prioritize community affordability goals. In light of COVID-era challenges with leasing up units with higher AMI rents, the rent reductions made possible by the COSR will also mitigate financial risk to the project. Given the COSR and income targeting and required expenses to operate the building and cash flow through year 20, the Project is unable to leverage any permanent debt. The project also completed a NEPA process so that it has the required approvals should any PBVs or funding sources (like Continuum of Care) become available in the future.

The project successfully obtained an MHP award. However due to the challenging CDLAC scoring criteria for San Francisco, the project did not receive a bond allocation. The team was notified that it was eligible to apply for California Housing Accelerator Tier 1 funds, made available through the Coronavirus State Fiscal Recovery Fund (CSFRF) established by the federal American Rescue Plan Act of 2021 (ARPA). The project was awarded $\$ 23,787,486$ in Accelerator funds on February 3, 2022. Pending approval of this final gap request, the project will be fully funded and will be able to start construction in May 2022.

### 1.1. Applicable NOFA/RFQ/RFP. (See Attachment E for Threshold Eligibility Requirements and Ranking Criteria). TNDC was awarded funding under their response to the 180 Jones Developer Request for Qualifications (RFQ) issued on March 15, 2019.

1.2. Borrower/Grantee Profile. (See Attachment B for Borrower Org Chart; See Attachment C for Developer Resume and Attachment D for Asset Management Analysis)

- Borrower. TNDC created 180 Jones Associates, L.P., with 180 Jones GP LLC, a TNDC affiliate, as General Partner and Manager.
- Joint Venture Partnership. N/A
- Demographics of Board of Directors, Staff and People Served. 85\% of staff, 60\% of the board, and 50\% of executives are BIPOC. TNDC hired a Director of Racial Equity \& Inclusion in March 2021.
- Racial Equity Vision. While TNDC has always centered underserved and underrepresented communities in development, management, and service provision work, TNDC's explicit focus on the racial components of inequity and injustice has come to the forefront since 2019. TNDC is committed to racial equity and becoming a truly antiracist organization.
- In 2004, TNDC launched its Cultural and Linguistic Competency Committee, which has since become the Committee on Equity (COE).
- In 2017, TNDC formed its Racial Justice Police Conduct Task Force to address police harassment issues in the Tenderloin, and to dialogue with the local precinct captain.
- In 2019, TNDC launched its Racial Equity Initiative, an endeavor with representation from all departments. The Racial Equity Initiative has implemented several policies centered on racial equity during the current coronavirus pandemic, including increasing TNDC's minimum wage based on internal research demonstrating that lowest paid TNDC staff were disproportionately Black and Latinx.
- While TNDC has not yet developed a Racial Equity Vision, TNDC hired a Director of Racial Equity and Inclusion in March 2021. In collaboration with TNDC's HR department, his first focus has been to examine pay and hiring equity throughout TNDC's portfolio. This is inclusive of examining TNDC's hiring practices to ensure that they are reaching as broad of an audience as possible through non-traditional channels. He is also leading TNDC's efforts to develop a Race Equity framework.
- Race \& Ethnicity of head of household in TNDC properties in operation:

*Note: The demographic info at 270 Turk and Avery Lane is not available, therefore included in "Not Disclosed".
- Relevant Experience. TNDC has significant experience in new construction projects for low income households, with an historic focus on the Tenderloin neighborhood. TNDC was founded in 1981 with the acquisition of a single property and a commitment to creating permanently affordable homes for low-income San Franciscans. Over its 37 -year history, TNDC has developed, owned, and managed 3,674 units, with another 263 under construction and 1,129 in predevelopment, totaling 5,066 units in total.

TNDC's in-house Property Management, Tenant Services, Asset Management, Accounting, and Community Organizing teams will ensure the Project's transition from development and construction into leasing and stabilized operations.

- Project Management Capacity. Below is a list of TNDC staff members assigned to 180 Jones Street along with the percentage of their total workload that will be dedicated to this project:
- Jacob Goldstein (Project Manager) - 45\%
- Lex Gelb (Assistant Project Manager) - 45\%
- Chris Cummings (Associate Director of Housing Development) 5\%
- Katie Lamont (Senior Director of Housing Development) - 2.5\%

Jacob Goldstein joined TNDC in February 2018. He has worked on both new construction and rehab projects in the Tenderloin, from predevelopment through lease-up. He is currently leading the construction and lease-up of the 555 Larkin project. Prior to transitioning to the affordable housing industry, he held a variety of training and project management roles in the business consulting industry. Jacob holds a BA in Sociology from Occidental College.

Lex Gelb joined TNDC as an Assistant Project Manager in June 2020. She has experience in supporting both acquisition/rehab and new construction projects through financing, entitlement, and design milestones. She holds a Bachelor of Arts in American Studies and a Master's in City and Regional Planning, both from UC Berkeley.

- Past Performance.
1.2..1. City audits/performance plans. TNDC participated in the citywide fiscal and compliance monitoring program in the last couple of years and last year they were monitored by DCYF. There are no known findings or issues with the audit.
1.2..2. Marketing/lease-up/operations. The MOHCD Marketing and Lease Up Report Card Assessment of recent marketing efforts is not complete as the most recent TNDC project is still in its lease up phase. In general, however, the MOHCD marketing manager staff has not found any issues with the marketing or lease-up of TNDC projects with this team. The property management team has a diverse staff, doesn't check credit and criminal background of applicants, and more than meets the requirements of the Fair Chance Ordinance. The typical Resident Selection Criteria of TNDC for recent projects is low-barrier.

2. SITE (See Attachment E for Site map with amenities)

| Site Description |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Zoning: | RC-4 - Residential-Commercial, High-Density and the <br> North of Market Residential Special Use District (SUD) <br> zoning district, with an 80-T-120-T height limit. <br> The Site is located in the newly established Compton's <br> Transgender, Lesbian, Gay and Bisexual (TLGB) <br> District. <br> Block 0343, Lot 014 |
| Maximum units allowed by <br> current zoning (N/A if rehab): | Maximum housing density is not controlled by the size of <br> the lot, but by limitations on the physical envelope <br> allowed by the Planning Code, including height limits, <br> setbacks and open space requirements. Height \& Bulk <br> district zoning was at 80-T-120-T height limit, 120 ft. <br> building height. |
| Number of units added or <br> removed (rehab only, if <br> applicable): | N/A |
| Seismic (if applicable): | Seismic Zone 4; PML SUL N/SA <br> Soil type: <br> Investigation Report for completed a Geotechnical Jones dated April 8, 2020. <br> The report indicates that the site is underlain by 12-15 |


|  | feet of fill, consisting of loose to medium dense sand <br> that may be susceptible to excessive static settlement <br> under new building loads. Because of this, Rockridge <br> Geotechnical recommended building on mat foundation <br> bearing on soil improved with drilled displacement sand- <br> cement (DDSC) columns, which will stiffen the soil and <br> provide increased baring capacity beneath the mat. <br> DDSC column ground improvement systems will be <br> installed at the 180 Jones site under the scope of a <br> design-build ground improvement sub-contractor. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Environmental Review: | AEW Engineering completed a Phase I Environmental <br> Site Assessment (dated March 26, 2020) and a Phase II <br> Environmental Site Assessment (dated July 7, 2020). |
| AEW's Phase I assessment of the site revealed |  |
| potential soil disturbance during construction requiring |  |
| compliance with the SFDPH Article 22A ordinance. |  |
| AEW's Phase II assessment found that subsurface soil |  |
| at the site may be considered as non-hazardous waste |  |
| for offsite disposal if excavated (pending approval from |  |
| landfill facility). Based on soil samples, AEW |  |
| recommended the implementation of a Site Mitigation |  |
| Plan including Passive Vapor Mitigation Design. |  |

$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|}\hline & \begin{array}{l}\text { Shin Yu-Lang Central YMCA, and TNDC's Tenderloin } \\ \text { After-School Program are 0.1 miles away. The San } \\ \text { Francisco Police Department Station and Father Alfred } \\ \text { E. Boeddeker Park are one block away. }\end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Public Transportation within 0.5 } \\ \text { miles: }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Civic Center and Powell Station Bart are both 0.3 miles } \\ \text { away. } \\ \text { Muni lines 0.5 miles away are: 6, 9, 9R, 21, 27, 31, 38, } \\ 38 R, ~ 14, ~ K, ~ L, ~ M, ~ N, ~ T . ~\end{array}\end{array}\left|\begin{array}{ll}\text { Obtained in September 2020 and subsequently } \\ \text { submitted in the project's MHP application. }\end{array}\right| \begin{array}{l}\text { Article 34: } \\ \hline \text { Article 38: } \\ \text { elevated pollutant concentrations. Sensitive use } \\ \text { buildings, as defined in the Applicability section of the } \\ \text { Ordinance, must comply with Health Code Article } \\ 38 . \text { Received Article 38 Enhanced Ventilation System } \\ \text { Approval from SFDPH in July 2021. }\end{array}\right\}$
2.1. Description. The infill site is a roughly rectangular lot with frontage on Jones Street. The site was previously leased to a parking lot operator on a month to month basis, and since June 2020 has been used for a Safe Sleeping Site by the Department of Homelessness \& Supportive Housing (HSH) to provide a safe location for people to stay during the coronavirus pandemic. HSH is demobilizing the site starting February 10, 2022, and plans to have fully demobilized by March 9, 2022. MOHCD will contract with a site security firm to provide 24 hour security until construction loan closing in May 2022.
2.2. Zoning. See above
2.3. Probable Maximum Loss. N/A as new construction
2.4. Local/Federal Environmental Review. Exempt from CEQA Review per the streamlining allowed by SB35. A NEPA review was completed in May 2021 specifically so the project could apply for HUD Continuum of Care (CoC) subsidies should they become available for the units set aside for homeless households.
2.5. Environmental Issues. N/A

- Phase I/II Site Assessment Status and Results. Phase I Environmental Site Assessment by Innovated \& Creative Environmental Solutions dated January 31, 2019 revealed no evidence of adverse environmental conditions associated with the Site and recommends no further investigations for the Site at this time.
- Potential/Known Hazards. No known environmental hazards.
2.6. Adjacent uses and neighborhood amenities. The immediate neighborhood is comprised of mixed-use buildings, with upper floor residential units and ground floor commercial spaces. A number of small businesses including restaurants, grocery stores, non-profit office spaces, and parking garages are near the Site. Specifically for the formerly homeless adults, a nearby food bank, services and open space are in a 3-block radius of the Site: San Francisco City Impact Rescue Mission, Geo Re-Entry Services, Salvation Army Kroc Community Center, Hospitality House, and Father Alfred E. Boeddeker Park.
2.7. Green Building. Green features include an all-electric design to decrease the building's carbon footprint by utilizing the increasingly renewable production of California's electric grid.

The large bay windows provided in most units increase the amount of light and sense of openness within them. Daylighting is also included within the common areas, such as at the ground floor with skylights over the main entrance lobby and mailbox area. This design also helps reduce the need for artificial lighting and provides a sense of the outdoors, natural light, and a healthy living environment as residents enter the building.

The compact, energy-efficient design of 180 Jones also includes highefficiency heat pumps to condition units and common areas. At the same time, all-LED lighting with occupancy sensors further reduce the energy usage. In order to offset the energy that the project does use, solar PV panels on the roof area will provide on-site energy generation. The secondfloor courtyard provides a planting area and a rich respite from the busy city streets of the Tenderloin. This green area provides opportunities for tenants to participate in urban agriculture activities as well as a place for residents to congregate. This courtyard provides a green space for residents while helping to reduce the heat-island effect and promoting a healthy living environment.
3. COMMUNITY OUTREACH AND SUPPORT. TNDC lead a comprehensive community outreach process for 180 Jones to refine the building's programming, final unit count, and affordability levels.
180 Jones was born out of the Tenderloin community's efforts to negotiate a community benefit agreement in order to secure the site for affordable
housing. The community's affordability goals for 180 Jones were to have at least $40 \%$ of the available units as "step up housing" for unsubsidized ELI individuals currently living in Tenderloin SRO Hotels. This goal also informed how MOHCD constructed the Developer RFQ.

Over the course of 2019 and 2020, TNDC met with the Central City SRO Collaborative, Tenderloin People's Congress, 200/300 Block Turk Street Safety Group, District 6 Community Planners, and Compton's Transgender Cultural District. The project also had a Pre-Application Community Meeting that was advertised and open to the public. TNDC designed the majority of these meetings as listening sessions, with development team representatives joining existing community group meetings to present and talk about design, programming, and rent structuring of 180 Jones. The meetings also provided an opportunity for TNDC to explain the balance between providing the desired $40 \%$ MOHCD AMI units and the higher income units necessary for project feasibility.

These meetings were extremely helpful in refining the project design and programming. The community groups were highly in favor of the 180 Jones development and happy that the site was being transformed from a parking lot to affordable housing. The concerns expressed were centered around the affordability of the $85 \%$ AMI units. TNDC has sought to address this concern through the addition of the COSR, which will allow the project to reduce the asking rent of all of these units (including bringing down the rent of 2 of the units to the equivalent of $40 \% \mathrm{AMI}$ ) for a 20 year period.

Looking forward, TNDC has begun reaching out to the groups mentioned above to discuss the project's construction impacts and mitigations. TNDC has met again with the Central City SRO Collaborative to update them on the project schedule. TNDC will address any construction-related concerns with community members and adjacent members. They will also use social media, mailing, and emailing contact lists to share project updates and Frequently Asked Questions (FAQ) about the project.
3.1. Proposition I Notification. Posted on October 4, 2019 for one month.
3.2. General Plan Referral (GPR). MOHCD will submit the General Plan Referral Application to the Planning Department the week of February 21, 2022, to verify that the project is consistent with the General Plan. The Planning Department shall review the project and determine whether the project is in conformity with the General Plan, prior to Board of Supervisors' consideration of the resolution approving the loan and ground lease for the project.

## 4. DEVELOPMENT PLAN

4.1. Site Control. The Site has been owned by the City since February 2019, when it was transferred from the developer of 950 Market Street. The LP has
an option to lease and will ground lease the site from the City at construction loan closing pending Board of Supervisors' approval. The terms outlined in the Option Agreement are for a standard term of 75 years from the date of construction completion of the Project, with an option to extend the term for an additional 24 years.

- Annual rent shall be set at $10 \%$ of the fair market appraised value, redetermined on the 15th anniversary date of ground lease and every 15 years thereafter.
- Payment shall consist of an annual Base Rent of $\$ 15,000$, collected annually regardless of cash flow and considered a project expense.
- Residual Rent of $10 \%$ of the appraised unrestricted value of the Site. Residual Rent will only be collected to the extent that cash flow is available and does not accrue.
4.2. Proposed Design. The design approach for 180 Jones is rooted within the context of site and relationship to open space. Providing a usable open space with access to light on the small site is one of the fundamental principles of this design. An above grade courtyard is located on the second floor against Jones Street and serves as a sun-filled amenity space as well as a break along the dense urban street wall. The traditional base middle and top can be identified through the treatment of the facades. The base includes the common areas and is articulated by expressing the structure of the building within levels 1 and 2 . This area includes some large window walls and a high degree of transparency. The middle area of the building includes bay windows with glazing that alternates from side to side as they move from floor to floor. The top of the building is stepped back from the bays and is articulated with different heights, differentiating the taller mass with a prominent parapet cap. The design features 9 floors of Type I-B concrete construction containing 70 studio units.

The Sponsor submitted 100\% Design Development drawings and cost estimates for MOHCD review in August 2020 for the MOHCD gap request. MOHCD reviewed and approved this set to move forward with value engineering and further refinements of the design for $80 \%$ construction documents (CD) and cost estimates in February 2021. The bid set was completed in September 2021 with cost estimates completed in January 2022. The design is based on the following square footage assumptions:

| Avg. Unit SF by type | Studio: 325 sf |
| :--- | :--- |
| Residential SF | $23,454 \mathrm{sf}$ |
| Circulation SF | $12,662 \mathrm{sf}$ |
| Parking Garage SF | - |
| Common Area SF | $1,121 \mathrm{sf}$ |
| Management offices and back of house SF | $2,183 \mathrm{sf}$ |


| Building Total SF | 39,420 sf |
| :--- | :--- |

4.3. Target Population and Unit Type. One half of the units (35) are supportive, funded through LOSP and with referrals coming through the Coordinated Entry System. The remaining 34 units are general population, with an AMI range of $40 \%-85 \%$ MOHCD AMI. Responding to the community feedback, the project was structured to have 13 units at $40 \%$ MOHCD AMI to provide deeply affordable housing for longtime Tenderloin residents, with targeting to SROs.

The proposed COSR subsidy will allow the project to provide an additional 2 units at $40 \%$ AMI for the 20 -year COSR period only. The COSR will allow the remaining 19 units to be targeted to 60-65\% AMI for the COSR period for 20 years. In summary, the COSR will enable the project to provide 15 total units at $40 \%$ AMI and 19 units at around $60 \%$ AMI for 20 years, and none at $85 \%$ AMI among the 34 general population units. To market the $60 \%$ AMI units in the Tenderloin, TNDC will work with agencies that hold vouchers, advertise to the Section 8 voucher list, and conduct early outreach to market and lease up these units

To reach the intended population for the non-PSH deeply affordable units, the sponsor will need to do targeted marketing and work closely with organizations that serve the Tenderloin SRO community, as outlined in the loan conditions of approval.

### 4.4. Commercial Space. N/A.

4.5. Construction Representative Evaluation. The last time the Loan Committee reviewed this Project was for Preliminary Gap financing in August 2020 when the analysis was based on a $100 \%$ schematic design (SD) set. Since that time the Project team has completed the bid set and put the Project out to bid for final costs and the General Contractor has selected most subs. The Site Permit was issued on September 11, 2020. The prime contract is proposed to be finalized by April 2022, with construction scheduled to start mid-May 2022.

The proposed development is situated on a 4,743 square foot lot at the corner of Jones Street and Turk Street in the Tenderloin neighborhood. The parcel is regular shaped and rectangular with its longest frontage on Jones Street and is slightly sloped downward toward the southeast.

The design features 9 floors of Type I-B concrete construction containing 70 studio units (including one manager's unit). The building's gross square footage of approximately 39,420 square feet includes 4,512 square feet of ground floor space dedicated to resident services, management offices and
other office uses; 450 square feet to utilities and trash; and about 1,800 square feet for resident common space and a ground floor courtyard. The building also includes a second floor courtyard with seating and gardening areas. The building lobby fronts onto Jones Street. There are six studio unit configurations, each with private baths and full kitchens, averaging 325 square feet. The layouts vary by mobility accessibility and with slight differences in living space. The layout repeats on floors 2-9 and stacks in 3 separate blocks to provide some design efficiency. There is no commercial/retail space.

The total construction cost estimated for the preliminary gap financing proposal of August 2020 was \$38,792,788 based on the $100 \%$ SD drawings. In February 2021 Cahill Contractors provided a hard cost estimate based on the $80 \%$ CD set, and rigorous value engineering (VE), primarily involving reconfiguration and alignment of the bay windows, reduced total construction costs to $\$ 35.75 \mathrm{M}$ (including 5\% owner contingency and $4.5 \%$ escalation of $\$ 1.47$ M, which assumed a construction start date of September 2021).

During the intervening year in which the project stalled, however, prices have continued to escalate. The Engineering News-Record (ENR) which publishes the Construction Cost Index (CCI) History for San Francisco upon which the construction industry relies for cost data, gauges the annual escalation at 8\% across all trades. Escalation, attributed to global supply chain and Covidrelated personnel disruptions, is particularly affecting the costs of all metals, including the reinforcing bars for this all-concrete building (estimated 12\% increase in the past year), cementitious materials, and lumber. This trend is predicted to continue through 2022.

The total construction budget for 180 Jones, based on the bid set, represents a combination of essential scope adds, market escalation, and value engineering, is slightly reduced from the August 2020 budget of the preliminary gap request. The Project team has conducted an extensive review of Cahill's qualifications and exclusions with the draft GMP from November 2021 through January 2022, and developed an extensive add alternate matrix for the final GMP. This final GMP will be presented in late February 2022 and is not expected to vary from the budget of this request. The current total hard costs of $\$ 38,532,360$ ( $\$ 550,462$ /unit and $\$ 977$ /square foot) includes fees, GCs and 5\% owner contingency and came in less than the total construction cost of \$38,792,788 (\$554,183 /unit; \$984 /square foot) from the preliminary gap request.

The current total cost is the result of continuous VE with the Project team and subcontractors as well as market escalation. Staff acknowledges that the per square foot cost is high when compared to the average of those comparable projects of similar type, scale, and target population in the pre-development pipeline and in construction, and those completed (since 2018) (\$977/SF for

180 Jones compared to the \$724/SF average of these comps). However, the per unit cost of $\$ 550,462$ is on par with the average of comparable projects at $\$ 495,855$, diverging $11 \%$. The high per square foot costs are mainly attributable to the very tight site and the relatively less efficient mid-rise nature of the building.

Cahill and the majority of the subs have agreed to hold the current pricing through the start of construction in May 2022. The current budget now includes escalation totaling $\$ 283 \mathrm{~K}$ for a handful of subcontractors based on the unpredictable availability and pricing of specific materials. All contingencies but the owner's hard bid contingency have been removed with this request (except as detailed below for specific line items.)

The budget maintains Covid allowance of $\$ 285 \mathrm{~K}$ for the 19 -month project that had been included in previous estimates (which reverts back to the owner as savings should the pandemic abate and health regulations change). The budget includes a $\$ 600 \mathrm{~K}$ allowance (which reverts back to the owner if not used) to account for the uncertainty related to PG\&E approvals for permanent power and the associated additional joint trenching that may be required depending on whether temp power can share a trench with permanent power and the determination of the location of the tie-in for the source of power to the building; the allowance also anticipates potential upgrades that PG\&E may require. The budget also assumes a significant allowance for a second generator that may be needed in lieu of temporary power that would otherwise be provided through PG\&E (PG\&E has recently significantly extended its design and approvals schedule which has delayed both temp and permanent power approvals). These and several scope items, including earthwork, finishing of interior exposed concrete, and a second heat pump for the all-electric building, along with market escalation (for example, metal stud framing and drywall costs escalated by 20\%/\$400K over the last year), have significantly increased costs. However, VE, including redesign of the bay windows, among other items, has successfully offset these adds and maintained the net costs since the last request.

The Project received the Site Permit on September 11, 2020, and Addenda 1 (Structural and soil improvements) and 2 (Architecture, Landscape Architecture, Mechanical, Electrical, Plumbing, Low Voltage) have also been approved, but await Department of Public Works (DPW) approval. The Street Improvement Plan has been submitted to the DPW with approval pending. The Fire Sprinkler permit has been approved. The PG\&E application has been submitted and deemed complete, and PG\&E's temporary and permanent electrical services designs are underway.
4.6. Service Space. The building design includes two private offices for social workers on the ground floor. In addition to the social worker offices, the
ground floor design also a reception desk/office, and Assistant General Manager office, and a General Manager office.
4.7. Interim Use. The most recent interim use of the site has been as a Safe Sleeping Site for HSH, which will be demobilized in March 2022. MOHCD will fund site security for the period until construction starts in May 2022.
4.8. Infrastructure. N/A
4.9. Communications Wiring and Internet Access. The project will provide Ethernet cable design for data/internet. Service to the building from Public Right of Way to a MPOE and to IDF is designed to adequately accommodate fiber and cabling for multiple service providers, following the minimum specs included in the MOHCD Communication Systems Design Standards.
4.10. Public Art Component. The public art scope was only recently added to this project in January 2022 and is not yet fully developed. TNDC has allocated a budget $\$ 100,000$ for public art, calculated using the formula in the 2017 SFAC MOHCD MOU. The project architect has identified several locations where public art can be incorporated into the building design and is currently working with TNDC to finalize the design intent.
TNDC intends to launch a public RFP in Spring 2022. TNDC will engage in targeted marketing and outreach towards local and BIPOC small businesses, organizations, and artists. TNDC is also exploring how the public art can honor the building's location in the Compton Transgender Cultural District, both through its design and collaboration with the Transgender Cultural District organization.
4.11. Marketing, Occupancy, and Lease-Up. The development will include the four legislated preferences of the City (Certificate of Preference, Neighborhood Resident Housing Preference (projected at 25\% of lottery units or 8 units given HCD funding), Displaced Tenant Housing Preference and San Francisco Live / Work Preference) as permitted by financing for the non-PSH lottery housing units. Referrals to units for adults experiencing homelessness will be provided by the HSH Coordinated Entry System, alongside a LOSP subsidy.
Add something here about extra marketing for the SRO residents and working with groups like SRO Collaborative.
4.12. Relocation. N/A as it has been utilized by a City Department.

## 5. DEVELOPMENT TEAM

| Consultant Type | Name | SBE/LBE | Outstanding <br> Procurement <br> Issues |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Architect | VMWP | SBE | No |
| Landscape Architect | Adrienne Wong Associates | SBE | No |
| Surveyor | KPFF | No | No |
| Window Testing Consultant | TBD | No | No |
| Energy Consultant | Bright Green Strategies | Bi | No |
| General Contractor | Cahill | No | No |
| Owner's Rep/Construction <br> Manager | Waypoint Consulting | No | No |
| Financial Consultant | California Housing Partnership | No | No |
| Legal | Gubb \& Barshay | No | No |

5.1. Procurement Plan. Project meets the SBE/LBE participation goal of $20 \%$ for professional services and for the subcontractor participation as determined by CMD.
5.2. Opportunities for BIPOC-Led Organizations. TNDC frequently partners with other organizations in their affordable housing developments, including development and service provision. However, this project is not structured as a joint venture.
6. FINANCING PLAN (See Attachment F for Cost Comparison of City Investment in Other Housing Developments; See Attachment G and H for Sources and Uses)

### 6.1. Prior MOHCD/OCII Funding:

| Loan <br> Type/ <br> Program | Loan Date | Loan <br> Amount | Interest <br> Rate | Maturity <br> Date | Repayme <br> nt Terms | Outstanding <br> Principal <br> Balance | Accrued <br> Interest <br> (to <br> 05/12/22) | Payoff |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Predev | $11 / 27 / 2019$ | $\$ 2,500,000$ | $3 \%$, <br> simple | 55 yrs from <br> conv. date | Res. <br> Receipts | $\$ 2,500,000$ | $\$ 89,419$ | $\$ 2,589,419$ |

6.2. Disbursement Status. The predevelopment loan has drawn down $\$ 2,010,174$ as of the January 2022, with a remaining loan balance of $\$ 489,825$.
6.3. Fulfillment of Loan Conditions. Most of the predevelopment loan conditions have been met. Below is the status of Loan Conditions from the preliminary gap request that went to Loan Committee on August 21, 2020:

- Sponsor must explore: using a different construction type (such as timber), reducing the buildings size, using the Pueblo Structural System, using
prefabricated technologies that provide cost savings and provide overall analysis to MOHCD for review.

Status: Completed. It was not viable to meet the goal of reducing total development costs to under $\$ 600 \mathrm{~K}$ per unit at this site, given the small lot size and escalation in construction costs. However, since the preliminary gap request in August 2020, TNDC has succeeded in reducing the TDC by close to $\$ 2$ million and lowering the TDC/ unit.

- TNDC to continue and work with MOHCD and SFHA to assess the availability for VASH rental assistance subsidies for the project.

Status: Completed. As of early August 2020, SFHA and Veteran's Affairs (VA) have indicated that Project Based Vouchers (PBVs) are not currently a feasible option for the Project, but may be reconsidered at a later date. The NEPA process was completed should PBVs or other federal operating or rental subsidy become available.

## By December 31, 2019:

- Sponsor must provide a community outreach plan for the period of June 2019 through construction completion. The plan should identify key community stakeholders that will be outreached to, describe the team's community outreach strategy and overall timeline.

Status: Completed.

- Sponsor must provide the construction manager RFQ for MOHCD review and approval.

Status: Completed.

- Sponsor must provide the general contractor RFQ for MOHCD review and approval.

Status: Completed.

- Sponsor must provide the contract for Construction Management for MOHCD review and approval.

Status: Completed.

- Sponsor must submit a preliminary services plan and budget covering the LOSP households for HSH and MOHCD review and approval.

Status: Completed.
By March 31, 2020:

- Sponsor must submit application to the Planning Department for SB35 and Site Permit review.

Status: Completed.

- Sponsor must research additional funding sources to MHP, specifically HCD's Infill Infrastructure Grant (IIG) and provide analysis to MOHCD for review.

Status: Completed. Sponsor provided financing analysis of HCD Transit Oriented Development in May 2020.

- Sponsor must research alternate funding sources to MHP, such as Housing for Healthy California (HHC) or No Place Like Home (NPLH) and provide analysis to MOHCD for review.

Status: Completed. Sponsor provided financing analysis of HCD HHC and NPLH in May 2020.

- Sponsor must assess ways to meet the community's project affordability goals of 40\% of total units for ELI households through ways like potential operational efficiencies (i.e. reducing front desk clerk to evenings, overnight, and weekends),
maximizing revenue (Continuum of Care rental subsidy, mix of 40-50\% MOHCD AMI units), commercial income, and leveraging debt.

Status: Completed. TNDC has directly addressed this community goal by leveraging state funds to add a Capitalized Operating Subsidy Reserve to the project. By reducing asking rents, the COSR will allow the project to add the equivalent of 2 additional $40 \%$ AMI units while simultaneously lowering the asking rent on the remaining $85 \%$ AMI units to $\sim 65 \% \mathrm{MOH}$. In addition to the COSR, the project reduced front desk clerks coverage to bring down operating costs and completed the NEPA process so the project could receive Continuum of Care rental subsidy in the future if it becomes available.

- Sponsor must provide analysis of whether micro-commercial space or office for neighborhood service provider is viable.


## Status: Completed.

By June 30, 2020:

- Sponsor must provide MOHCD with financial analysis that result in a competitive MHP application and tiebreaker analysis for the second to next available round of funding.

Status: Completed.

- Sponsor must provide an updated services plan and budget covering the LOSP households for HSH and MOHCD review prior to MOHCD's preliminary gap loan commitment, both items required for the MHP competitive application.

Status: Completed.

- Sponsor must provide a marketing and lease up plan demonstrating the $80 \%$ MOHCD AMI units are 20\% below market and how the Sponsor will mitigate potential COVID-19 impacts on the lease up of these units.

Status: Completed. TNDC has directly addressed this community goal by leveraging state funds to add a Capitalized Operating Subsidy Reserve to the project. By reducing asking rents, the COSR will allow the project to add the equivalent of 2 additional $40 \%$ AMI units while simultaneously lowering the asking rent on the remaining $85 \%$ AMI units to $\sim 65 \% \mathrm{MOH}$. In addition the COSR, the project did reduce front desk clerks coverage to bring down operating costs and completed the NEPA process so the project could receive Continuum of Care rental subsidy in the future.

## Prior to Gap Loan Request:

- Sponsor must identify and implement cost containment strategies for construction and present them at the various cost estimates with narrative analysis of the pricing from the general contractor.

Status: Completed. The Sponsor provided the Project team's Cost Containment Strategies narrative and the Project's Value Engineering and Add Alternates Matrix to MOHCD.

- Sponsor must work with MOHCD staff to reduce Operating Expenses to be further in line with LOSP comparable and underwriting guidelines.

Status: Completed. TNDC has significantly cut operating expenses to make the project pencil. TNDC cut desk clerk coverage to evenings, overnight, and weekends and will have the GM/Assistant GM cover the front desk during the
remaining periods. TNDC also reduced the Services Budget by ~60K in order to bring costs in-line with HSH's funding amount.

- Sponsor must submit an updated services plan and budget for HSH and MOHCD Review and approval, prior to final gap request.

Status: Completed. The Services Plan and budget have been reviewed by MOHCD and HSH.

- Should the project have a commercial space, Sponsor must provide a commercial analysis on the viability, final lease terms, commercial development proforma, and tenant improvement plan at least 6 months before gap request.

Status: N/A. TNDC determined a micro-commercial space is not feasible for the ground floor due to the small footprint of the parcel.

- Sponsor must provide the equity investor Request for Proposal (RFP) for MOHCD review and approval before finalizing and releasing the RFP.
Status: N/A. Per conversations with Joyce Slen in July 2021, MOHCD determined that TNDC did not need to do a formal RFP and could reach out directly to lenders for construction financing. TNDC reached ultimately reached out to 5 lenders and received LOIs from 3. Now that the project has obtained an Accelerator award, equity investment is no longer required.
- Sponsor must provide all lender and investor RFP responses prior to selections for MOHCD review and approval.

Status: Completed. The project does not have tax credits and therefore no investor responses. The debt responses have been shared with MOHCD.

- Sponsor must notify MOHCD of the developer's lender and investor selection for MOHCD review and approval.

Status: Completed. TNDC received LOIs from Silicon Valley Bank, Wells Fargo, and Chase. SVB offered outstanding terms - most notably a fixed rate loan at a low rate ( $2.75 \%$ ). TNDC informed MOHCD in January 2022 about our intent to move forward with SVB.

- Sponsor must provide raw financial data from the developer or financial consultant prior to the selected lender and investors for MOHCD review and approval.

Status: Completed. Sponsor provided a matrix comparing debt responses that led to their selection.

- Sponsor must provide all Letters of Intents for MOHCD review and approval. Status: Completed. Sponsor has shared a comparison matrix of their debt offers
- Sponsor must provide True Debt and capital accounts test analysis before returning to MOHCD for final gap request.

Status: N/A given no tax credit financing

- Sponsor must work with community members to seek a tenant-based rental subsidy from programs like the Q Foundation to help meet the community's affordability goals for 180 Jones.

Status: Ongoing. TNDC met with the Q Foundation in May 2020. The Q Foundation is unable to provide advance commitments for tenant-based rental subsidies but encouraged the sponsor to talk to them again once the project is near construction completion. TNDC will do so. The COSR has allowed TNDC to directly meet the community's affordability goals.
6.4. Permanent Sources Evaluation Narrative: The Borrower proposes to use the following sources to permanently finance the project, totaling \$52,040,963:

- MOHCD Loan (\$12,858,477): 55 year, $3 \%$, residual receipts; this loan is the amount included by TNDC in the Accelerator Application and is presumably the gap needed. However, this MOHCD contribution is less than MOHCD committed for TNDC's MHP Application, and it is possible that HCD will revise their award to maintain the City's original gap commitment.
- MOHCD Contingency for Accelerator financing (\$1,091,523): 55 year, $3 \%$, residual receipts; this amount is an "up to" amount that would bring the MOHCD contribution to the amount committed for TNDC's MHP application, and is included in the budget as a potential need if HCD requires the full prior committed MOHCD amount;
- HCD MHP: $(\$ 15,395,000)$ : MHP commitment; the MHP will include a .42\% hard debt payment every year;
- HCD Accelerator Funding (\$22,695,963): on February 3, 2022, HCD awarded the project $\$ 23,787,486$ in California Housing Accelerator Tier 1 funds. The amount shown in the budget is less, assuming a full MOHCD commitment as may be required by HCD. The terms are 20 years at $0 \%$ interest, forgivable, with no residual receipts nor periodic payment required during the life of the loan.
- Permanent Uses Evaluation:

| Development Budget |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Underwriting Standard | $\begin{gathered} \text { Meets } \\ \text { Standard? } \\ (\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}) \end{gathered}$ | Notes |
| Hard Cost per unit is within standards | Y | $\$ 550 \mathrm{~K} /$ unit (\$56K over the average for similar sites), yet acceptable given the small site, density of studio units and Type I construction; see Construction Supervisor evaluation |
| Construction Hard Cost Contingency is at least 5\% (new construction) | Y | Hard Cost Contingency is 5\% |
| Architecture and Engineering Fees are within standards | Y | Total Architectural \& Design fees is $\$ 1,649,943$, which is within UG, and reflects $4 \%$ of construction costs excluding contingency |
| Construction Management Fees are within standards | Y | CM is sized at $\$ 200,000$ <br> ( 24 months for predev and 24 months for construction) and meets UG standard for predev and construction period. |


| Developer Fee is within standards, <br> see also disbursement chart below | Y | Developer fee complies with MOHCD <br> and Accelerator guidelines at $\$ 2.2$ <br> million |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Consultant and legal fees are <br> reasonable | Y | Project management fee: $\$ 1,100,000$ <br> At risk fee: $\$ 1,100,000$ <br> Deferred fee: $\$ 0$ <br> Total fee: $\$ 2,200,000$ |
| Entitlement fees are accurately <br> estimated | Y |  |
| Construction Loan interest is <br> appropriately sized | Y | Construction loan is sized at 28 month <br> term with 4.250\% interest |
| Soft Cost Contingency is 10\% per <br> standards | Y | 10\% of soft costs |
| Capitalized Operating Reserves are <br> a minimum of 3 months | Y | Capitalized operating reserve is <br> $\$ 266,557 ~ f o r ~ 3 ~ m o n t h s ~ o p e r a t i n g ~$ <br> expenses plus ground lease payment <br> and debt |
| Capitalized Operating Supplemental <br> Reserve (COSR) | N | A COSR in amount of \$1,995,327 is <br> sized for 20 years to achieve feasible <br> operations given the deeper income <br> targeting of 2 units at MOHCD 40\% AMI <br> and 19 units at around MOHCD 60\% <br> AMI. This COSR was included in the <br> Accelerator application and accepted by <br> HCD, and ultimately provides deeper <br> affordability than what was proposed <br> before. It will be controlled by the L.P. <br> with approval rights by MOHCD. |

6.5. Developer Fee Evaluation: The milestones for the payment of the developer fee to the sponsor are specified below.

| Total Developer Fee: | $\mathbf{\$ 2 , 2 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Project Management Fee Paid to Date: | $\$ 550,000$ |  |
| Amount of Remaining Project Management <br> Fee: | $\$ 550,000$ |  |
| Amount of Fee at Risk (the "At Risk Fee"): | $\$ 1,100,000$ |  |
| Amount of Commercial Space Developer Fee <br> (the "Commercial Fee"): | $\$ 0$ |  |
| Amount of Fee Deferred (the "Deferred Fee"): | $\$ 0$ |  |
| Amount of General Partner Equity <br> Contribution (the "GP Equity"): | $\$ 0$ |  |


| Milestones for Disbursement of that portion of <br> Developer Fee remaining and payable for <br> Project Management | Amount Paid <br> at Milestone | Percentage <br> Project <br> Management <br> Fee |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Project Management: at closing of <br> preconstruction financing | $\$ 550,000$ | $50 \%$ |
| Project Management: through end of <br> predevelopment - Funded | $\$ 225,000$ | $20 \%$ |
| Construction close | $\$ 225,000$ | $20 \%$ |
| At 50\% completion | $\$ 50,000$ | $5 \%$ |
| At Project Completion (CFO) | $\$ 50,000$ | $5 \%$ |
| Project close-out |  | Percentage <br> At Risk Fee |
| Milestones for Disbursement of that <br> portion of Developer Fee defined as At <br> Risk Fee |  | $50 \%$ |
| 100\% lease up and draft cost certification | $\$ 550,000$ | $30 \%$ |
| Permanent conversion | $\$ 330,000$ | $20 \%$ |
| Project close-out | $\$ 220,000$ |  |

7. PROJECT OPERATIONS (See Attachment I and J for Operating Budget and Proforma)
7.1. Annual Operating Budget. TNDC has reduced the operating budget by restructuring the desk clerk coverage, and splits the operating costs pro rata between the LOSP and non-LOSP units. Total operating expenses before debt and reserves is $\$ 949,066$, or $\$ 13,558$ PUPA.
7.2. Annual Operating Expenses Evaluation.

| Operating Proforma |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Underwriting Standard | Meets <br> Standard? <br> (Y/N) | Notes |
| Debt Service Coverage Ratio is <br> minimum 1.1:1 in Year 1 and stays <br> above 1:1 through Year 17 | N/A | There is no permanent debt |
| For TCAC projects: Vacancy rate <br> meets TCAC Standards | Y | Vacancy rate is 5\% |
| Annual Income Growth is increased <br> at 2.5\% per year for nonPSH units, <br> and 1\% for LOSP tenant rents | Y |  |
| Annual Operating Expenses are <br> increased at 3.5\% per year | Y |  |


| Base year operating expenses per unit are reasonable per comparables | Y | Total Operating Expenses are $\$ 13,558$ PUPA, which is reasonable especially when compared to similar sized projects. The Operating budget is reasonable. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property Management Fee is at allowable HUD Maximum | Y | Total Property Management Fee is $\$ 49,140$ or $\$ 65$ PUPM which does not exceed HUD's maximum fee. |
| Property Management staffing level is reasonable per comparables | Y | Refer to chart below. |
| Asset Management and Partnership Management Fees meet standards | Y | Annual AM Fee is $\$ 25,130 / \mathrm{yr}$ in compliance with UG <br> There is no Annual PM Fee as there is no tax credit investor |
| Replacement Reserve Deposits meet or exceed TCAC minimum standards | Y | Replacement Reserves are $\$ 500$ per unit per year |
| Limited Partnership Asset Management Fee meets standards | N/A | There is no tax credit investor |

7.3. Staffing Summary. Staffing is lean and reasonable for a project of this size at 7.62 FTE for property management.

1 FTE General Manager
0.6 FTE Assistant General Manager
3.6 FTE Desk Clerks

Note: Assistant General Manager will provide daytime front desk coverage. Combined with desk clerk staffing, this provides $24 / 7$ desk coverage.
1 FTE Custodian
1 FTE Maintenance III
0.02 FTE Assistant Facilities Manager
0.4 FTE Night Manager
7.4. Income Restrictions for All Sources. MOHCD and HCD are the only restrictions on the project and proposed AHP restrictions would be sized at $50 \%$ and $65 \%$ MOHCD AMI.

*with the requested COSR, the sponsor can provide these 19 of these $85 \%$ units at around $60 \%$ AMI and 2 at $40 \%$ AMI, for 20 years; however, the higher underlying restrictions remain in place for project feasibility when the COSR period ends
7.5. MOHCD Restrictions.

| Unit <br> Size | No. of <br> Units | Maximum Income <br> Level | LOSP Subsidy - <br> 15 year initial <br> contract | COSR Subsidy - <br> $\mathbf{2 0}$ years |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Studio | 35 | $25 \%$ of Median Income | 35 |  |
| Studio | 13 | $40 \%$ of Median Income |  | 19 at around $60 \%$ <br> AMI; 2 at $40 \%$ <br> AMI |
| Studio | 21 | $85 \%$ of Median Income |  |  |
| BR | 1 | Manager's Unit |  |  |

Note that the COSR provides increased affordability of the $2185 \%$ AMI units for 20 years, however, they are not formally restricted at these levels.

## 8. SUPPORT SERVICES

8.1. Services Plan. TNDC will be the sole service provider for tenants at 180 Jones. Supportive services will be provided primarily for the 35 permanent supportive housing households, funded by HSH. The project does not have sufficient cashflow to fund services for the 34 general population units. Community activities that service staff organize will be for all residents in the building (for example community meetings, groups, coffee hours, food bank, etc.) If there is additional staff capacity, these positions may assist with services linkages and referrals for the general population households as needed. If there is a gap in services for the general population units, TNDC will work to make sure that services are provided from their own service staffing capacity and funding.

Permanent supportive housing supportive services for the 32 LOSP units include: Intakes and Assessments, Case Management, Supportive Counselling, Individualized Service Planning, Crisis Intervention, Mediation, Housing Stabilization and Eviction Prevention. The project will have two (2) FTE Social Workers who will primarily support the 35 LOSP supportive housing units. Given HSH's 1:20 case management ratio, there is potentially additional social worker capacity to handle the general population minor support needs as necessary if approved by HSH in the contract's scope of work.

The Social Workers work on-site to provide free comprehensive, culturally and age appropriate, voluntary and confidential services to $100 \%$ of the tenants living at the project. Each social worker has their own private office, located on the ground floor of the building.

The goal of TNDC's Support Services is to assist tenants in stabilizing in and retaining permanent housing, improving health and self-sufficiency, maintaining self-sufficiency and independent living and fostering the growth and development of a supportive community. Services are intended to assist tenants in breaking the cycle of homelessness and addressing their mental health, substance use and medical needs. These services are always available to all tenants and include; Outreach and Engagement, Intake, Individualized Care Planning, Case Management, Housing Stabilization and Retention Services, Crisis Intervention, Community Building Activities and After-Care Services. The on-site support service staff work with tenants to explore available resources related to building financial freedom and wealth. This includes making referrals to and following-up with community agencies that focus on opening bank accounts, budgeting, saving for emergencies and the future, and reducing debt.
8.2. Services Budget. The services budget is $\$ 188,405$ annually, supported fully by HSH's services grant. The services plan was formally approved by HSH on 8/20/20 (as part of the project's MHP application). HSH provided a
commitment letter 8/28/20 for $\$ 188,405$ in annual funding for supportive services.
8.3. HSH Assessment of Service Plan and Budget. HSH has recently reviewed the Supportive Services plan and budget, and confirmed that it meets the general expectations for the HSH scope of services.
9. STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS

### 9.1. Proposed Loan Terms

| Financial Description of Proposed Loan |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Loan Amount: | $\$ 12,858,477$ City Sources |
| Loan Term: | 55 years |
| Loan Maturity Date: | 2079 |
| Loan Repayment Type: | Residual Receipts |
| Loan Interest Rate: | $3 \%$ |
| Date Loan Committee approves prior <br> expenses can be paid: | October 4, 2019 (original predev loan <br> approval) |


| Financial Description of Contingency Amount |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Loan Amount: | $\$ 1,091,523$ |
| Loan Term: | 55 years |
| Loan Maturity Date: | 2079 |
| Loan Repayment Type: | Residual Receipts |
| Loan Interest Rate: | $3 \%$ |
| Date Loan Committee approves prior <br> expenses can be paid: | October 4, 2019 (original predev loan <br> approval) |

### 9.2. Recommended Loan Conditions

1. Sponsor must submit an updated $1^{\text {st }}$ year operating budget and 20-year cash flow - if any changes have occurred - by November $1^{\text {st }}$ before the fiscal year the project will achieve TCO so that MOHCD may request the LOSP subsidy approval and budget accordingly (anticipated to be needed by November 2022).
2. Sponsor must provide initial draft Marketing Plan within 16 months of anticipated TCO, outlining the affirmative steps they will take to market the project to the City's preference program participants, including COP Holders, Displaced Tenants, and Neighborhood Residents, as well as how the marketing is consistent with the Mayor's Racial Equity statement and
promotion of positive outcomes for African American San Franciscans.
Marketing Plan to include SRO targeting and outreach for the deeply affordable non-PSH units, including collaboration with organizations that serve this community.

Sponsor to work with MOHCD and HSH to plan the lease up process for the referrals from Coordinated Entry concurrently with the execution of the Marketing Plan for the lottery units.

## 10. LOAN COMMITTEE MODIFICATIONS

## LOAN COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

Approval indicates approval with modifications, when so determined by the Committee.
[ ] APPROVE. [ ] DISAPPROVE. [ ] TAKE NO ACTION.
Eric D. Shaw, Director
Mayor's Office of Housing
[ ] APPROVE. [ ] DISAPPROVE. [ ] TAKE NO ACTION.

Salvador Menjivar, Director of Housing
Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing
[ ] APPROVE. [ ] DISAPPROVE. [ ] TAKE NO ACTION.

James B. Morales, Interim Executive Director
Office of Community Investment and Infrastructure
[ ] APPROVE. [ ] DISAPPROVE. [ ] TAKE NO ACTION.

Anna Van Degna, Director
Controller's Office of Public Finance

Attachments: A. Project Milestones/Schedule
B. Borrower Org Chart
C. Developer Resumes
D. Asset Management Analysis of Sponsor
E. Threshold Eligibility Requirements and Ranking Criteria
F. Site Map with amenities
G. Elevations and Floor Plans, if available
H. Comparison of City Investment in Other Housing Developments
I. Development Budget
J. $1^{\text {st }}$ Year Operating Budget
K. 20-year Operating Pro Forma

## Chavez, Rosanna (MYR)

From:
Sent:
To:
Subject:

Shaw, Eric (MYR)
Friday, March 4, 2022 11:39 AM
Chavez, Rosanna (MYR)
FINAL GAP FINANCING FOR 180 JONES
approve

Eric D. Shaw
Director/ Interim Director HopeSF

Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development
City and County of San Francisco
1 South Van Ness Avenue, 5th Floor

## Chavez, Rosanna (MYR)

| From: | Menjivar, Salvador (HOM) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Monday, March 7, 2022 4:03 PM |
| To: | Chavez, Rosanna (MYR) |
| Cc: | Shaw, Eric (MYR) |
| Subject: | GAP FINANCING FOR $\mathbf{1 8 0}$ JONES |

I approve TNDC request for a total gap loan in the amount of up to $\$ 13,950,000$ for 180 Jones, 70 units of affordable housing with 35 supportive housing units.

Best,
salvador


Salvador Menjivar
Director of Housing
Pronouns: He/Him
San Francisco Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing
salvador.menjivar1@sfgov.org | 415-308-2843
Learn: hsh.sfgov.org | Follow: @SF_HSH | Like: @SanFranciscoHSH
CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail is intended for the recipient only. If you receive this e-mail in error, notify the sender and destroy the e-mail immediately. Disclosure of the Personal Health Information (PHI) contained herein may subject the discloser to civil or criminal penalties under state and federal privacy laws.

## Chavez, Rosanna (MYR)

| From: | Colomello, Elizabeth (CII) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Friday, March 4, 2022 11:39 AM |
| To: | Chavez, Rosanna (MYR) |
| Cc: | Shaw, Eric (MYR) |
| Subject: | Final Gap Financing for 180 Jones |

Hi Rosie,
On behalf of OCII, I approve the subject request.
Thanks!
E


Elizabeth Colomello
Housing Program Manager
P One South Van Ness Avenue, 5th Floor San Francisco, CA 94103
2 415.701-5518, Cell 415.407-1908
f www.sfocii.org

## Chavez, Rosanna (MYR)

| From: | Pereira Tully, Marisa (CON) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Friday, March 4, 2022 11:38 AM |
| To: | Chavez, Rosanna (MYR) |
| Cc: | Shaw, Eric (MYR) |
| Subject: | Final Gap Financing for 180 Jones |

Approve
Marisa Pereira Tully (she/her)
Controller's Office of Public Finance
City and County of San Francisco

Attachment A: Project Milestones and Schedule

| No. |  | Performance Milestone | Estimated or Actual Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. |  | Prop I Noticing (if applicable) | Oct 2019 |
| 1 |  | Acquisition/Predev Financing Commitment | Nov 2019 |
| 2 |  | Site Acquisition | Feb 2019 |
| 3 |  | Development Team Selection |  |
|  | a. | Architect | Aug 2019 |
|  | b. | General Contractor | Jan 2020 |
|  | c. | Owner's Representative | Dec 2019 |
|  | d. | Property Manager | June 2019 |
|  | e. | Service Provider | June 2019 |
| 4 |  | Design |  |
|  | a. | Submittal of Schematic Design \& Cost Estimate | May 2020 |
|  | b. | Submittal of Design Development \& Cost Estimate | Sept 2020 |
|  | c. | Submittal of 50\% CD Set \& Cost Estimate | Nov 2020 |
|  | d. | Submittal of Pre-Bid Set \& Cost Estimate (75\%-80\% CDs) | Mar 2021 |
| 5 |  | Commercial Space |  |
|  | a. | Commercial Space Plan Submission | N/A |
|  | b. | LOI/s Executed | N/A |
| 6 |  | Environ Review/Land-Use Entitlements |  |
|  | a. | SB 35 Application Submission | March 2020 |
|  | b. | CEQA Environ Review Submission | N/A |
|  | c. | NEPA Environ Review Submission | Authority to Use Grant funds received May 2021 |
|  | d. | CUP/PUD/Variances Submission | March 2020 |
| 7 |  | PUC/PG\&E |  |
|  | a. | Temp Power Application Submission | March 2020 |
|  | b. | Perm Power Application Submission | March 2020 |
| 8 |  | Permits |  |
|  | a. | Building / Site Permit Application Submitted | March 2020 |
|  | b. | Addendum \#1 Submitted | Nov 2020 |
|  | c. | Addendum \#2 Submitted | Dec 2020 |
| 9 |  | Request for Bids Issued | Sept 2021 |
| 10 |  | Service Plan Submission |  |
|  | a. | Preliminary | Sept 2020 |
|  | b. | Final | Mar 2022 |


| 17 |  | Additional City Financing |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | a. | Preliminary Gap Financing Application | Aug 2020 |
|  | b. | Gap Financing Application | Mar 2020 |
| 12 |  | Other Financing | Sept 2020 |
|  | a. | HCD Application | Oct 2021 |
|  | b. | Construction Financing RFP | March 2023 |
|  | c. | AHP Application | N/A |
|  | d. | CDLAC Application | N/A |
|  | e. | TCAC Application | October 2021 |
|  | f. | Other Financing Application | Sept 2020 |
|  | g. | LOSP Funding Request |  |
| 13 |  | Closing | Mar-May 2022 |
|  | a. | Construction Loan Closing | Jan 2025 |
| 14 | b. | Conversion of Construction Loan to Permanent | Construction |
|  | a. | Notice to Proceed | Temporary Certificate of Occupancy/Cert of <br> 15 |
|  | a. | Marketing/Rent-up | Marketing Plan Submission |
|  | b. | Commence Marketing | Dec 2023 |
|  | c. | 95\% Occupancy | Jan 2024 |
| 16 |  | Cost Certification/8609 | October 2022 |
| 17 |  | Close Out MOH/OCII Loan(s) | May 2023 |

## Attachment B: Borrower Org Chart

## 180 Jones <br> Ownership Structure



[^0]- 180 Jones Associates, L.P., a California Limited Partnership. EIN: 84-3757644
- 180 Jones GP LLC, a California Limited Liability Company
- Taylor Family Housing, Inc., a California nonprofit public-benefit corporation. EIN: 94-3403318
- Turk Street, Inc., a California nonprofit public benefit corporation. EIN: 94-3297381
- Tenderloin Neighborhood Development Corporation, a California nonprofit public benefit corporation. EIN: 94-2761808


## Attachment C: Development Staff Resumes

TNDC staff members assigned to 180 Jones Street along with the percentage of their total workload that will be dedicated to this project:

- Jacob Goldstein (Project Manager) - 45\%
- Lex Gelb (Assistant Project Manager) - 45\%
- Chris Cummings (Associate Director of Housing Development) 5\%
- Katie Lamont (Senior Director of Housing Development) - 2.5\%

Jacob Goldstein joined TNDC in February 2018. He has worked on both new construction and rehab projects in San Francisco's Tenderloin neighborhood, from predevelopment through lease-up. He is currently leading the construction and lease-up of the 555 Larkin project. Prior to transitioning to the affordable housing industry, he held a variety of training and project management roles in the business consulting industry. Jacob holds a BA in Sociology from Occidental College.

Lex Gelb joined TNDC as an Assistant Project Manager in June 2020. She has experience in supporting both acquisition rehab and new construction projects through financing, entitlement, and design milestones. She holds a Bachelor of Arts in American Studies and a Master's in City and Regional Planning, both from UC Berkeley.

## Attachment D: Asset Management Evaluation of Project Sponsor

TNDC has an adequately staffed asset management division that works closely with property management and development.

- \# of projects and avg. \# of units/project currently in sponsor's asset management portfolio: Two Asset Managers manage a portfolio of 21-23 projects, with an average number of units per project of 94 .
- sponsor's current asset management staffing - job titles, FTEs, avg \# units assigned to each FTE, org chart and status of each position (filled/vacant): TNDC's Asset Management Department is closely entwined with the in-house property management team, and is staffed with 3.4 FTE's including a Director of Asset Management and three Asset Managers with the titles Asset Manager I and Asset Manager II. All asset management positions are currently filled.
- description of scope and range of duties of sponsor's asset management team: Two Asset Managers manage a portfolio of 21-23 projects, with an average number of units per project of 94 . The third Asset Manager works on high priority special projects across the portfolio. In addition, TNDC contracts on an on-going basis with a consultant to complete property tax exemptions. The department is overseen by TNDC's Chief Financial Officer, Ron Lathouwers. TNDC projects the addition of 1 new project to its portfolio each year through 2024.
- description of sponsor's coordination between asset management and other functional teams, including property management, accounting, compliance, facilities management: To affect physical standards, the Department approves individual capital improvement proposals in the stabilized portfolio, approves annual capital budgets, and prepares long-term capital needs projections and reinvestment plans. With Housing Development, the Department participates in an inter-departmental Re-Capitalization Group, consisting of CFO, CEO, Senior Director of Property Operations, and Senior Director of Housing Development, which makes portfolio reinvestment decisions.


## Attachment E: Threshold Eligibility Requirements and Ranking Criteria

Tenderloin Neighborhood Development Corporation met the minimum threshold eligibility requirements and was selected as the highest scoring team from the competitive RFQ process. The table below demonstrates the scoring from the selection panel and ranking criteria for selection:

| Category | Possible <br> Points | TNDC |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Developer | $\mathbf{5 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 . 5}$ |
| Owner | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | 15 |
| Property Manager | 15 | 4.5 |
| Service Provider/s VISION (subtotal): | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | 15 |
| Program Concept | $\mathbf{5 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 2}$ |
| Community Engagement Strategy | 15 | 12 |
| Services Delivery Strategy | 15 | 12 |
| Finance and Cost Containment <br> Approach | 10 | 8 |
| TOTAL POSSIBLE POINTS | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | 10 |

## Attachment F: Site Map with amenities

## Locational Amenities Map

The Subject will be located in close proximity to many amenities and services. The following map and table below display the distances and location of various services from the site.


LOCATIONAL AMENITIES

| Map \# | Service or Amenity | Distance from Subject (Crow) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Bus Stop | 0.1 miles |
| 2 | Police Station | 0.1 miles |
| 3 | Father Alfred E. Boeddeker Park | 0.1 miles |
| 4 | United States Postal Service | 0.1 miles |
| 5 | Shih Yu-Lang Central YMCA | 0.1 miles |
| 6 | CVS Pharmacy | 0.2 miles |
| 7 | Civic Center Subway Station | 0.2 miles |
| 8 | Bank of America | 0.3 miles |
| 9 | San Francisco Public Library | 0.3 miles |
| 10 | Tenderloin Elementary School | 0.4 miles |
| 11 | Trader Joe's | 0.4 miles |
| 12 | Fire Station | 0.5 miles |
| 13 | Target | 0.5 miles |
| 14 | Saint Francis Memorial Hospital | 0.5 miles |
| 15 | Shell Gas Station | 0.5 miles |

## Attachment G: Elevations and Floor Plans








NORTH ELEVATION
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## Attachment H: Comparison of City Investment in Other Housing

 DevelopmentsAffordable Multfifamily Housing New Construction Cost Comparison

| Updated |  | Acquisisition by Unit/Bed/SF |  |  | Construction by Unit/Bed/SF |  |  | Soft Costs By Unit/Bed/SF |  |  | Total Development Cost (Incl. Land) |  |  | Subsidy |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Acq/unit | Acq/Br | Acqlot sq.ft | Constunit | Const/BR | Const sq.tit | Softunit | Sottibr | Sott/ sq.tt ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Gross TDC/unit | Gross TDC/BR | Gross TDC/ sq.ft ${ }^{6}$ | Subsidy / unit | Leveraging ${ }^{7}$ |
| Delta of Subject and Comparable Projects |  | \$ (18,314) | \$ (17,787) | \$ (159) | \$ 54,607 | \$ 68,764 | \$ 253 | \$ 25,956 | \$ 30,756 | 99 | 62,249 | \$ 81,733 | \$ 326 | \$ $(1,064)$ |  |
|  | Delta Percentage | -99\% | -99\% | -99\% | 11\% | 14\% | 35\% | 15\% | 19\% | 40\% | 9\% | 12\% | 33\% | -1\% |  |
| SUBJECT PROJECT | 180 Jones | 143 | 143 | \$ | 550,462 | \$ 550,462 | \$ 977 | 194,115 | \$ 194,115 | 345 | 744,720 | 744,720 | \$ 1,322 | \$ 183,693 | 75,3\% |
| Comparable Projects | Average: | \$ 18,457 | \$ 17,930 | \$ 161.46 | \$ 495,855 | \$ 481,699 | \$ 724 | \$ 168,159 | \$ 163,358 | 246 | 682,471 | S 662,987 | 997 | \$ 184,756 | 72.9\% |
| Costs lower thancomparable average | Costs higher than comparable average | Costs similar to comparable average |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Building Square Footage |  |  | Total Project Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Lot sq.ft | Completion/ start date | \# of Units | \# of $\mathrm{BR}^{1}$ | Res. ${ }^{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Non-Res. } \\ & \text { Sq. ft. } \end{aligned}$ | Total sg. ft. | Acq. cost $^{3}$ | Constr. Cost ${ }^{4}$ | Soft Cost | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total Dev. Cost } \\ & \text { w/land } \end{aligned}$ | Local Subsidy | Total Dev. Cost wol land | $\begin{array}{\|l} \begin{array}{l} \text { Notes on } \\ \text { Financing } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Comparable Projects Completed (filtered) | Average: | 11,033 |  | 69 | 71 | 53,105 | 7,318 | 60,424 | \$ 2,291,525 | \$ 39,198,721 | \$ 8,293,708 | \$ 49,783,954 | \$ 19,149,567 | \$ 47,492,429 |  |
| Comparable Projects Under Construction (filtered) | Average: | 7,780 |  | 96 | 96 | 47,969 | 0 | 47,969 | \$ 171,697 | \$ 34,895,639 | \$ 16,721,274 | \$ 51,788,610 | \$ 2,750,000 | \$ 51,616,913 |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Comparable Projects in } \\ \text { Predevelopment (filtered) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Average: | 10,360 |  | 90 | 96 | 62,975 | 3,410 | 66,385 | \$ 2,246,966 | \$ 52,447,848 | \$ 17,899,196 | \$ 72,594,010 | \$ 25,250,292 | \$ 70,347,044 |  |
| Total Comparable Projects | Average: | 9,724 |  | 85 | 88 | 54,683 | 3,576 | 58,259 | \$ 1,570,062 | \$ 42,180,736 | \$ 14,304,726 | \$ 58,055,524 | \$ 15,716,620 | \$ 56,485,462 |  |
| SUBJECT PRoJect | 180 Jones | 4,853 | May-22 | 70 | 70 | 36,116 | 3,304 | 39,420 | 10,000 | \$ 38,532,360 | 13,588,022 | \$ 52, 130,382 | \$ 12,858,477 | \$ 52,120,382 | 4\% LHTC + MHP |
| Delta of Subject and Comp <br> Project Averages |  | -4,871 |  | -15 | -18 | -18,567 | -272 | -18,839 | (\$1,560,062) | ( $\$ 3,648,376)$ | (\$716,704) | ( $55,925,142$ ) | ( $52,858,143$ ) | ( $54,365,080$ ) |  |
| Delta Percentage |  | -50\% |  | -18\% | -20\% | -34\% | -8\% | -32\% | -99\% | -9\% | -5\% | -10\% | -18\% | -8\% |  |




## Attachment I: Predevelopment Budget

## Attachment J: Development Budget



## Attachment K: $1^{\text {st }}$ Year Operating Budget




## Attachment L: 20-year Operating Proforma
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[^0]:    * Upon development commencement 180 Jones Associates, L.P. will become the leaseholder of the land and owner of improvements

