# Citywide Affordable Housing Loan Committee 

# San Francisco Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing Office of Community Investment and Infrastructure Controller's Office of Public Finance 

Prepared By: Anne Romero
Loan Committee Date: September 18, 2020

Sponsor Name:<br>Project Name:<br>Project Address:<br>Number of Units/Beds:<br>Amount of Funds Requested:

Amount of Funds Recommended: up to $\$ 15,243,409$

## 1. SUMMARY AND BACKGROUND

Mercy Housing California 59, a California limited partnership (the "LP"), an affiliate of Mercy Housing California, requests up to $\$ 15,243,409$ in General Funds from the Local Operating Subsidy Program (LOSP) to subsidize operations of 44 studio units of permanent supportive housing plus one $1-\mathrm{BR}$ resident manager unit for seniors over 62 years of age experiencing homelessness, for a period of 15 years.

Casa de la Misíon is located in the heart of the Mission district on the corner of $24^{\text {th }}$ and Harrison Streets, at the location of the former Mission Neighborhood Centers (MNC) Head Start program and Mission Girls. Casa de la Misíon is the result of a longstanding partnership between Mercy Housing California and Mission Neighborhood Centers (MNC), who submitted a joint application for funding under the MOHCD NOFA for Supportive Housing for Seniors and/or Persons with Disabilities, released on May 25, 2011. After being awarded predevelopment funding, MNC needed to resolve a Notice of Federal Interest (NOFI) on the project's site title by the Office of Head Start, which was removed in July 2017, along with other site encumbrances. MNC led the community engagement efforts for the development of the five-story building. MNC decided to not be a part of the ultimate ownership entity, relocated their programs to other sites in the Mission, and sold the building to Mercy Housing California for market value in 2019. Due to the dismantling of the HUD 202 program, there were few viable financing structures for a project of this small size with deep affordability. Mercy worked to maximize the unit
density and pursued a streamlined entitlement approval process through SB 35 in conjunction with the Statewide Density Bonus Program.
In Spring 2018, MOHCD notified MHC that the project was eligible to be the second round $9 \%$ tax credit geographic project in 2019, and Mercy successfully applied. In addition, Mercy received a $\$ 5$ million private donation specifically for the development of low-income senior housing, which they were able to dedicate to this site. This donation meant that they could repay the City $\$ 500,000$ predevelopment loan, and therefore no City funds were needed to fund the capital development. Total development costs are $\$ 30,570,000$, around $\$ 680,000 /$ unit, including site acquisition in the amount of $\$ 3.1$ million. The bulk of funding is provided by $9 \%$ tax credit equity in the amount of $\$ 25.5$ million, and the $\$ 5$ million private donation completed the financing.

Casa de la Misíon is a five-story structure providing 44 studio-type apartments for senior residents and one 1-bedroom apartment for the resident manager, designed by HKIT and YA Studios. The ground floor is a concrete structure with shallow spread foundations on grade. A resident lobby, management offices, utility spaces, meeting room and community room are located on this level for resident use. A separate small "warm shell" commercial space is also provided along the $24^{\text {th }}$ street frontage, which will be utilized by MNC as detailed under the Commercial Space section below. The remaining ground floor area is dedicated to an 820 s.f. on-grade landscaped courtyard for resident use. The upper floors are type V wood construction, and the floor plans stack consistently until the fifth floor, where there is a setback to provide an outdoor roof terrace. A resident laundry room is also provided on the fifth floor.

| Avg Unit SF by type: | 44 Studios with average SF of 300 SF. One 1-BR Manager's <br> unit at 440 SF |
| :--- | :--- |
| Residential SF: | 21,070 |
| Circulation SF: | 5,678 |
| Common Area SF: | 1,454 |
| Building Total SF: | 25,778 (includes commercial space) |
| Gross Retail Area: | $1,227(4.76 \%)$ |

Commercial Space. The development will have 1,227 SF of ground floor neighborhood serving retail located along the $24^{\text {th }}$ Street façade. In the Option Agreement between the LP and MNC, there was a provision to allow MNC to master lease the commercial space. No income from the commercial space is projected for the residential. The warm shell hard costs are included in the development budget. MNC hasn't finalized the use for the space, but has the goal of supporting a local Mission-based small business, such as a small eatery or café. Mercy has provided a draft Letter of Intent that is under review by Mission Neighborhood Services that outlines the terms for a written lease, which is anticipated to be signed in September 2020. These proposed lease terms include:

- Delivery of premises to Tenant is anticipated to be $3 / 1 / 2021$
- Lease termination date of $3 / 1 / 2037$
- Option to renew for one additional 10- year term
- Triple Net Lease with tenant paying for utilities, CAM, Real Estate Taxes and property insurance payment
- Base rent of $\$ 1$ / year during initial 16 year term

The supportive services spaces include an office for 2 FTE Case Managers (1:22 case management ratio); a community room that opens onto outdoor courtyard and a private meeting room all located on the ground floor. This location of the Services Space off the building lobby/ entrance and allows for visibility to allow case managers to easily residents entering and exiting the building.

Mercy Housing Management Group will be the property manager and Mercy Services will provide supportive services.

The requested LOSP funds for the $100 \%$ senior supportive housing development would be provided through a new 15 -year contract of up to $\$ 15,243,409$, with initial disbursement in May 2021 for CY 2021, and final disbursement in January 2036 for CY 2036, with contract expiration of December 31, 2036. If approved, funds would be disbursed under the contract on a calendar-year basis in accordance with the attached schedule (see Exhibit A), with possible reductions from these amounts based on operating surpluses that may occur in previous years. A total of $\$ 725,807$ in assistance is budgeted for the first year (2021). This equates to an annual per unit subsidy of $\$ 16,495$ and a monthly per unit subsidy of $\$ 1,375$, not including support services for the senior units. Support services will be funded under a separate services contract with HSH. Though all LOSP requested funds would be provided under a single, long-term contract, disbursements thereunder would be subject to annual appropriations by the Board of Supervisors, as is standard for LOSP contracts.

## 2. OPERATING COSTS SUMMARY

The Casa de la Misíon operating budget request was developed based on Mercy Housing Management Group's internal operating budget template and comparable sites, and was tailored to the specifics of the project. The project has a proposed operations staff of 7.4 FTE, including $24 / 7$ desk clerk coverage. The proposed operating expenses before debt and reserve deposits are $\$ 17,843$ per unit per year, which is high compared to the whole LOSP portfolio, yet in line with small supportive projects of this size.

| Operating Proforma |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Underwriting Standard | Meets <br> Standard? <br> (Y/N) | Notes |
| Debt Service Coverage Ratio is between <br> minimum 1.10:1 and maximum 1.15:1 <br> at year 15 | N/A | DSCR is not applicable as the project is a <br> $100 \%$ LOSP project sized to fund the gap in <br> operating costs, and there is no debt. |
| Debt Service Coverage Ratio stays <br> above 1.00:1 for entirety of projected <br> 20-year cash flow | N/A | See above |
| Vacancy meets TCAC Standards | Y | Vacancy is budgeted at 5\% |


| Annual Income Growth is increased at $2.5 \%$ per year | Y | Income escalation factor is 2.5\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Annual Operating Expenses are increased at $3.5 \%$ per year | Y | Expenses escalation factor is 3.5\% |
| Base year operating expenses per unit are reasonable per comparables | Y | Total Operating Expenses are $\$ 17,843$ per unit; while high, the small size of the development at 45 units makes it expensive to operate on a per unit basis. Please see comparable project analysis below. |
| Property Management Fee is at allowable HUD Maximum | Y | Total Property Management Fee is $\$ 35,640$, $\$ 66$ / month which meets HUD's Schedule |
| Property Management staffing level is reasonable per comparables | Y | .28 FTE Senior Property Manager <br> . 28 FTE Property Manager <br> 1 FTE Assistant Manager/Lead Desk Clerk <br> 3.5 FTE Desk Clerks <br> 1 Maintenance <br> 1 Janitor <br> . 33 FTE Housing Support Specialist - This position is shared across three supportive housing properties (alongside 55 and 95 Laguna) |
| Asset Management and Partnership Management Fees meet standards | Y | Annual AM Fee is $\$ 22,670 / \mathrm{yr}$ (2021 start) Annual PM Fee is $\$ 22,670 / \mathrm{yr}$ (2021 start) |
| Replacement Reserve Deposits meet or exceed TCAC minimum standards | Y | Replacement Reserves are $\$ 500$ per unit per year |
| Limited Partnership Asset Management Fee meets standards | N | LP Asset Management Fee is proposed at $\$ 7,000$ / year without escalation. This amount was agreed to by Mercy in the LPA due to the favorable investor pay-in rate, and is warranted. |

## 3. PROPOSED LOSP BUDGET

## Annual Operating Income Evaluation

Tenant Rent: Tenant rents for the 44 senior units are assumed at $\$ 250$ / unit / month, and tenants will pay $30 \%$ of their income in rent per LOSP policy. The units in the project are income-restricted by TCAC only as follows:
$>5$ units at $30 \%$ TCAC AMI
$>9$ units at $45 \%$ TCAC AMI
> 30 units at $50 \%$ TCAC AMI

LOSP Subsidy: The LOSP subsidy in the Year 1 Operating Budget is proposed at \$725,807 (\$16,495 PUPA and \$1,375 PUPM).

Total Residential Revenue Stream: Between tenant rents, the LOSP subsidy, miscellaneous income, total residential rental revenue for Year 1 is $\$ 855,107$.

## Annual Operating Key Expenses Evaluation

Staffing Plan: The project is proposed with 7.4 FTE staffing, including . 28 FTE Senior Property Manager, . 28 FTE Property Manager and a combined 1 FTE Assistant Manager / Lead Desk Clerk, 3.5 desk clerks, 1 maintenance and 1 janitor. Given the small size of the project, Mercy proposes the combined .6 FTE property manager and the Assistant Property Manager doubles as a lead desk clerk for staffing efficiencies.

In addition, Mercy proposes a role of .33 FTE "Housing Support Specialist" based on best practices they have found in supportive housing, which is shared across three supportive housing properties. This position will provide senior level technical support to staff and residents, with a primary objective to keep residents housed. This position will work on housing retention, communication and resident engagement challenges, and will provide focused training and coaching to support services and property management staff to ensure common language and to establish common operation goals and delivery practices. This position would also provide oversight for 55 and 95 Laguna, with percentage of time based on proportional unit count. Currently Mercy utilizes this role at 4 PSH sites, and is recommending it at all PSH sites. For this project, the cost of this . 33 FTE position is \$28,911.

This staffing plan represents a staff to unit/household ratio of 1 to 7.4 . While this is a high staffing ratio, it is due to the small size of the project. With the exception of the new "Housing Support Specialist", which Mercy is trying to implement as a best practice across their supportive housing, the staffing plan is lean.

| Title | FTE |  | Salary |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Housing Support Specialist | 0.33 | $\$$ | 28,900 |  |
| Senior Property Manager | 0.28 | $\$$ | 23,230 |  |
| Property Manager | 0.28 | $\$$ | 16,250 |  |
| Assistant Manager / Lead Desk Clerk | 1 | $\$$ | 42,000 |  |
| Desk Clerks | 3.5 | $\$$ | 123,760 |  |
| Maintenance | 1 | $\$$ | 51,535 |  |
| Janitorial | 1 | $\$$ | 33,105 |  |
| Total FTEs and Expenses | $\mathbf{7 . 3 9}$ | $\$$ | $\mathbf{3 1 8 , 7 8 0}$ |  |

Contracts: - This line item in the amount of $\$ 68,600$ covers exterminating contract and supplies, grounds contract and supplies, security supplies/ repairs, janitor contracts and supplies, maintenance contracts, fire protection contract and elevator services contract.
Total Annual Operating Expenses before debt and reserves: \$802,937 (\$17,843 PUPA)

## 20-Year Cash Flow

- The LOSP subsidy funds a break-even budget, thus no cash flow is generated
- Tenant rental income is escalated at $1 \%$ for senior households given fixed incomes on public benefits and historic rate of increase
- Resident vacancy rate is $5 \%$
- All operating expenses are escalated at $3.5 \%$
- Partnership management fee is escalated $3.5 \%$ and ceases after Year 15
- Investor services fee is proposed at $\$ 7,000$ / year in Year 1 and it does not escalate; this higher than typical fee was an important deal point for the investor, who provided high $\$ 1.00$ tax credit pricing


## 4. OPERATING COSTS COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS

To evaluate the proposed budget for Casa de la Misíon under a new LOSP contract and its relative cost to other small and senior supportive housing projects of similar size, MOHCD staff compared the project's proposed operating expenses to the operating expenses of other supportive housing projects in MOHCD's portfolio.

## Comparison with Other Supportive Housing

In 2018, MOHCD's portfolio had a total of 32 supportive housing LOSP projects. When trended up to 2021, average total operating expenses (before replacement reserve deposits and hard debt service) averaged $\$ 15,100$ per unit per annum (PUPA), with a range from $\$ 8,900$ to $\$ 25,700$ PUPA. One of the greatest determinants of PUPA operating expenses is project size, with PUPA operating expenses higher for smaller and scattered site buildings and lower for larger buildings. With proposed per unit annual operating expenses of $\$ 17,800$, Casa de la Misíon is higher than the average for LOSP supportive housing projects of $\$ 15,100$ per unit annual operating cost, yet is in line with other similarly size small supportive housing projects.


## Comparison to Other Projects with Similar \% of LOSP Units

Within the portfolio of projects supported by LOSP, the percentage of units in each project that are set aside for homeless households varies from $20 \%$ to $100 \%$. MOHCD staff analyzed the average PUPA operating costs within three subsets of the LOSP portfolio, based on the percentage of LOSP units within a project. Buildings with a higher percentage of LOSP units have higher operating costs on a per unit basis. With $100 \%$ supportive housing units, the proposed CDLM operating costs of $\$ 17,800$ PUPA are lower than supportive housing projects with $80 \%$ or more of supportive housing units, at $\$ 19,800$ PUPA.

Average 2021 Operating Expenses Per Unit Per Annum by \% of LOSP Units, Extrapolated from 2018 Data*

|  |  | Average <br> PUPA |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| \% of LOSP Units | $\#$ <br> Projects | Operating <br> Expenses |
| $80 \%$ or more LOSP Units | 5 | $\$ 19,800$ |
| $31 \%-79 \%$ LOSP Units | 13 | $\$ 14,200$ |
| $30 \%$ or less LOSP Units | 8 | $\$ 13,000$ |
| All | $\mathbf{2 6}$ | $\$ 14,900$ |

*Operating Expenses inflated annually by 3.5\%.

## Comparison to Other Projects of Similar Size

Project size is a key metric for determining per unit cost, as property management staffing involves fixed costs that are spread out amongst the number of units. At the small size of 45 units, proposed operating costs at Casa de la Misíon are in the mid-range of comparable under small supportive housing projects. At $\$ 17,800$ per unit, operating expenses are just under the average of $\$ 18,400$ PUPA for comparable very small supportive projects between the size of 24 to 52 units. Zygmunt Arendt House is a perfect comparable project at 47 units of $100 \%$ senior supportive housing that has been in operation for over nine years; it's operating cost escalated to 2021 is $\$ 18,900$ PUPA compared to the proposed $\$ 17,800$ PUPA cost of Casa de la Misíon.


|  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | PUPA <br> Operating | Average of |
|  | Expenses, | Comparable |
| Project Name | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | LOSP Projects |
| Casa Quezada (52 units) | $\$ 14,500$ | $\$ 18,400$ |
| J Burton Advocates for Youth Housing (50 units) | $\$ 14,500$ | $\$ 18,400$ |
| Casa de La Misíon (45 units) | $\$ 17,800$ | $\$ 18,400$ |
| Zygmunt Arendt House (47 units) | $\$ 18,900$ | $\$ 18,400$ |
| Edward II (24 units) | $\$ 25,700$ | $\$ 18,400$ |

## Subcategories of Operating Expenses

Compared to other supportive housing LOSP projects, the cost of salaries and benefits are higher than comparable projects adjusted to 2021. This higher cost is less acute when compared to the very small supportive housing comparable projects listed in the chart above. The higher cost for Casa de la Misíon reflects the higher staffing level that Mercy is proposing, including the .33 FTE of the Housing Support Specialist position. All other categories are in line with the comparable projects, and the maintenance and repair budget category on a per unit per annum basis is under that of comparable projects.


## 5. SUPPORT SERVICES EVALUATION

Through a direct contract with HSH, Mercy Services will provide supportive services to the 44 senior households. The Services Plan includes 2 FTE Case Managers to provide onsite wrap around case management services. The goal of the services program at Casa de La Misíon is to assist the seniors in maintaining independence, stability and functioning within a safe and affordable housing community, resulting in improved health and wellbeing while establishing and maintaining long-term housing.

## Programming Staffing:

Mercy Housing California will staff 2 FTE on-site Case Managers, and 0.6 FTE Resident Service Coordinator II.

## Supervision:

Mercy Housing shall ensure that on-site Support Services staff has access to bimonthly case conferencing and ongoing supervision by Resident Services Manager.

## Service Delivery Model:

Mercy Housing's Resident Services program will provide opportunities for wrap-around case management, one-on-one direct services, on-site group education classes, and resources and referral for residents to access community-based programs and services. These programs will be offered Monday to Friday and according to the hours needed to engage resident participation. HSH referred residents may have special needs including co-occurring mental illness, substance use challenges, physical disabilities, developmental disabilities, HIV/AIDs, and/or other chronic health conditions. Many may also have limited experience living independently.

In addition to the 2FTE Case Managers, there will be a designated Resident Services Coordinator to help with ongoing activities and events. Resident engagement efforts are a known indicator of long-term housing success, and therefore Mercy has required, as part of its commitment to implementing best practices, that all PSH sites have a Resident Services Coordinator (RSC) onsite.

Service Budget. Current proposed services budget for 2 FTE Case Managers is $\$ 240,000$ / year. This results in a per unit annual cost of $\$ 5,450$ and a case manager to household ratio of 1:22 (and total services staff to household ratio of 1:17). All services are being paid from the separate services contract with HSH. HSH has reviewed the services plan and budget and has opined that it is appropriate for the building and target population.

## 6. CONCLUSION

The sponsor is in good financial health per their latest MOHCD fiscal review dated June 4,2019 , and there are no findings with their contract monitoring. MOHCD staff recommend approval of the request in full. The proposed operating budget has appropriate staffing and meets the operating cost standards of comparable projects.

## 7. RECOMMENDED CONDITIONS

None

## 8. LOAN COMMITTEE MODIFICATIONS

## Chavez, Rosanna (MYR)

From:
Sent:
To:
Subject:

Shaw, Eric (MYR)
Friday, September 18, 2020 2:59 PM
Chavez, Rosanna (MYR)
Casa De La Mision - Loan Committee

I approve

Eric D. Shaw
Director

Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development
City and County of San Francisco
1 South Van Ness Avenue, 5th Floor

| From: | Menjivar, Salvador (HOM) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Wednesday, September 23, 2020 3:55 PM |
| To: | Chavez, Rosanna (MYR) |
| Cc: | Shaw, Eric (MYR) |
| Subject: | Approval of loan to Casa del La Mission |

I approve the LOSP CONTRACT FUNDING FOR CASA DE LA MISION: 3001-3021 24th STREET
Mercy Housing California 59, a California limited partnership, an affiliate of Mercy Housing California, requests up to $\$ 15,243,409$ in General Funds from the Local Operating Subsidy Program (LOSP) to subsidize operations of 44 studio units of permanent supportive housing plus one 1-BR resident manager unit for seniors over 62 years of age experiencing homelessness, for a period of 15 years.


Salvador Menjivar
Director of Housing
Pronouns: He/Him
San Francisco Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing salvador.menjivar1@sfgov.org | 415-308-2843

Learn: hsh.sfgov.org | Follow: @SF_HSH | Like: @SanFranciscoHSH

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail is intended for the recipient only. If you receive this e-mail in error, notify the sender and destroy the e-mail immediately. Disclosure of the Personal Health Information (PHI) contained herein may subject the discloser to civil or criminal penalties under state and federal privacy laws.

## Chavez, Rosanna (MYR)

| From: | Oerth, Sally (CII) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Friday, September 18, 2020 11:32 AM |
| To: | Chavez, Rosanna (MYR) |
| Cc: | Shaw, Eric (MYR); Romero, Anne (MYR) |
| Subject: | 9/18/20 Loan Committee: Casa de la Misíon |

On behalf of Nadia Sesay, I approve the LOSP request for the Casa de la Misíon project that was presented at the 9/18/20 Loan Committee.

## Sally Oerth

Deputy Director

office of
COMMUNITY INVESTMENT
OCII

## Chavez, Rosanna (MYR)

| From: | Van Degna, Anna (CON) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Friday, September 18, 2020 11:32 AM |
| To: | Chavez, Rosanna (MYR) |
| Cc: | Shaw, Eric (MYR) |
| Subject: | Casa de la Mision |

Approved

## Anna Van Degna

Director, Controller's Office of Public Finance
City \& County of San Francisco
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
City Hall, Room 336
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone: (415) 554-5956
Email: anna.vandegna@sfgov.org

## LOAN COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

Approval indicates approval with modifications, when so determined by the Committee.
[ ] APPROVE. [ ] DISAPPROVE. [ ] TAKE NO ACTION.

Eric D. Shaw, Director
Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development
[ ] APPROVE. [ ] DISAPPROVE. [ ] TAKE NO ACTION.

Salvador Menjivar, Director for Housing
Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing
[ ] APPROVE. [ ] DISAPPROVE. [ ] TAKE NO ACTION.

Nadia Sesay, Director
Office of Community Investment and Infrastructure
[ ] APPROVE. [ ] DISAPPROVE. [ ] TAKE NO ACTION.

Anna Van Degna, Director
Controller's Office of Public Finance

Attachments: A. LOSP Program Description
B. $1^{\text {st }}$ Year Operating Budget
C. 20-Year Operating Pro Forma
D. LOSP Funding and Disbursement Schedule A

## Attachment A: LOSP Program Description

As part of the City and County of San Francisco's effort to address the needs of the growing homeless population, the City has prioritized the development of non-profit owned and operated permanent supportive housing for formerly homeless individuals and families. While capital financing can be leveraged for this population, stakeholders realized these units cannot be feasibly operated at the scale needed if they rely solely on scarce federal or state operating subsidies.

In June 2004, the City launched its Ten Year Plan to Abolish Chronic Homelessness (the 2004 10-Year Plan), a multifaceted approach that included a locally funded operating subsidy as a key element and established the Local Operating Subsidy Program (LOSP) in 2006 to support the creation of permanent supportive housing at a large scale. The operating subsidy leverages capital financing by integrating homeless units into Low Income Housing Tax Credit projects without burdening them with operating deficits. LOSP was created by the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development (MOHCD) in partnership with the Department of Public Health (DPH) and the Human Services Agency (HSA).

On July 1, 2016, the City's diverse programs addressing homelessness were brought under the new Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing (HSH), which combines key homeless-serving programs and contracts previously located across several City departments. The new department consolidates the functions of DPH Direct Access to Housing (DAH) and HSA Housing \& Homeless programs. San Francisco is developing a Coordinated Entry System (CES) for all homeless populations to best match households to the appropriate intervention and ensure those with the highest needs are prioritized.

Through grant agreements with MOHCD, which are subject to annual appropriations by the Board of Supervisors, LOSP pays the difference between the cost of operating housing for homeless persons and all other sources of operating revenue for a given project, such as tenant rental payments, commercial space lease payments, or other operating subsidies. HSH refers homeless applicants to the housing units as well as provides services funding to the projects under a separate contract.

## Attachment B: $1^{\text {st }}$ Year Operating Budget




## Attachment C: 20-year Operating Proforma



|  Total \# Units: <br>  45 <br> INCOME  | Losp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 45 <br> 100.00\% |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Year 4 } \\ 2024 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Year } 5 \\ 2025 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Year } 6 \\ 2026 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
|  | \% annual <br> inc LOSP | \% annual increase | $\underset{\text { Comments }}{\text { (related to annual inc assumptions) }}$ | LOSP | non-LOSP | Total | LOSP | non-LOSP | Total | LOSP | non-LOSP | Total |
| Residential - Tenant Rents | 1.0\% | 2.5\% |  | 136,000 |  | 136,000 | 137,360 |  | 137,360 | 138,733 |  | 138,733 |
| Residential - Tenant Assistance Payments (Non-LOSP) | n/a | n/a |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential - LOSP Tenant Assistance Payments | n/a | n/a |  | 811,104 |  | 811,104 | 841,608 |  | 841,608 | 873.210 |  | 873,210 |
| Commercial Space | n/a | 2.5\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential Parking | 2.5\% | 2.5\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Miscellaneous Rent Income | 2.5\% | 2.5\% |  | 1,292 |  | 1,292 | ${ }_{1,325}$ |  | ${ }_{1,325}$ | 1,358 |  | ${ }^{1,358}$ |
| Supportive Services Income | 2.5\% | 2.5\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest Income - Project Operations | 2.5\% | 2.5\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Laundry and Vending | 2.5\% | 2.5\% |  | 2,907 |  | 2.907 | 2.980 |  | 2.980 | 3,055 |  | 3,055 |
| Tenant Charges | 2.5\% | 2.5\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Miscellaneous Residential Income | 2.5\% | 2.5\% |  |  |  | . |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Commercial Income | n/a | 2.5\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Withrawal from Capitalized Reserve (deposit to operating account) | n/a | n/a | applicable |  | . |  |  | . |  |  |  |  |
| Gross Potential Income |  |  |  | 951,303 | - | 951,303 | 983,272 | . | 983,272 | 1,016,355 | . | 1,016,355 |
| Vacancy Loss - Residential - Tenant Rents | n/a | n/a | Enter formulas manualy per reievant MOH | (6,800) | . | (6,800) | (6,868) |  | (6,868) | (6,937) | - | (6,937) |
| Vacancy Loss - Residential - Tenant Assistance Payments Vacancy Loss-Commercal | n/a | n/a | policy; annual incrementing usually not appropriate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EFFECTIVE GROSS INCOME |  |  |  | 944,503 |  | 944,503 | 976,404 |  | 976,404 | 1,009,419 |  | 1,009,419 |

## operating expense

| Management Fee | 3.5\% | 3.5\% |  | 39.515 |  | 39.515 | 40.898 |  | 40.898 | 42,329 |  | 42,329 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Asset Management Fee | 3.5\% | 3.5\% | per MOHCD policy | 25,135 | . | 25,135 | 26,014 |  | 26,014 | 26,925 |  | 26,925 |
| Salaries/Benefits 3 Sub-total Management Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Salaries | 3.5\% | 3.5\% |  | 78,608 | . | 78,608 | 81,359 |  | ${ }^{81,359}$ | 84,207 |  | 84,207 |
| Manager's Salary | 3.5\% | 3.5\% |  | ${ }^{43,772}$ | . | 43,772 | 45,304 |  | 45,304 | 46,890 |  | 46,890 |
| Heatth Insurance and Other Benefitis | 3.5\% | 3.5\% |  | 125,037 | . | 125,037 | 129.413 |  | 129,413 | 133,943 |  | 133,943 |
| Other Salaries/Benefitis | 3.5\% | 3.5\% |  | 137,215 | . | 137,215 | 142.017 |  | 142,017 | 146,988 |  | 146,988 |
| Administrative Rent-Free Unit | 3.5\% | 3.5\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sub-total Salari |  |  |  | 384, |  | 384,632 | 8,094 |  | 8,094 | 2,027 |  | 412,027 |







## USES OF CASH FLOW BELOW (This row also shows DSCR.) USES THAT PRECEDE MOHCD DEBT SERVICE

| "Below-the-line" Asset Mgt fee (uncommon in new projects, see policy) | 3.5\% | 3.5\% | per MOHCD poicy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Partnership Management Fee (see policy for limits) | 3.5\% | 3.5\% | per MOHCD policy | 24,772 |  | 24,772 | 25.515 |  | 25.515 | 281 |  | ${ }^{26,281}$ |
| Investor Service Fee (aka "LP Asset Mgt Fee") (see policy for linits) |  |  | per MOHCD poily n a anual increase | 7,000 |  | 7,000 | 7,000 |  | 7,000 | 7,000 |  | 7,000 |
| Other Payments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-amorizing Loan Pmnt - Lender 1 |  |  | Enter comments se: anuua increase, elc. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-amotizing Loan Pmnt-Lender 2 |  |  | Enter comments se: anual increase, elc. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deferred Developer Fee (Enter amt <= Max Fee from row 131) |  |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |  |
| TOTAL PAYMENTS PRECEDING MOHCD |  |  |  | 31,772 |  | 31,772 | 32,515 |  | 32,515 | 33,281 | - | 33,281 |

Does Project have a MOHCD Residual Receipt Obligation?
Will Project Defer Developer Fee?
Residual Receipts split tor all years. - Lender/Owner

MOHCD RESIDUAL RECEIPTS DEBT SERVICE

| MOHCD Residual Receipts Amount Due |
| :--- |
| Proposed MOHCD Residual Receipts Amount to Loan Repayment |
| Proposed MOCCD Residual Receipits Amount to Residual Iround |
| Lease |

Propose
Lease


| Operating Reserve Starting Balance |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| Operating Reserve WithdrawalsOoerating Reserve Interest |  |
|  |  |

## OTHER REQUIRED RESERVE 1 - RUNNING BALANC

| Other Reserve 1 Deposits |
| :--- |
| Other Reserve 1 Withdrawal |

Other Required Reserve 1 Running Balance
OTHER RESERVE 2 - RUNNING BALANCE

| Other Reserve 2 Starting Balance |
| :--- | :--- |
| Other Reserve 22 Deposits |

Other Resesve 2 W Withdrawals
Other Required Reserve 2 Running Balance
$\square$



| Total \# Units: <br> 45 <br> INCOME | Losp | Units 0 0.00\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 45 \\ 100.00 \% \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Year } 10 \\ 2030 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Year } 11 \\ 2031 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year 12 } \\ & 2032 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  | \% annual | \% annual increase |  | LOSP | non-LOSP | Total | LOSP | non-LOSP | Total | LOSP | non-LOSP | Total |
| Residential - Tenant Rents | 1.0\% | 2.5\% |  | 144,366 |  | 144,366 | 145,810 |  | 145,810 | 147,268 |  | 147,268 |
| Residential - Tenant Assistance Payments (Non-LOSP) | n/a | n/a |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential - LoSP Tenant Assistance Payments | n/a | n/a |  | 1,011,382 |  | 1,011,382 | 1.049,078 |  | 1,049,078 | 1.088,123 |  | 1.088,123 |
| Commercial Space | n/a | 2.5\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential Parking | 2.5\% | 2.5\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Miscellaneous Rent Income | 2.5\% | 2.5\% |  | 1,499 |  | ${ }^{1,499}$ | 1,536 |  | ${ }^{1.536}$ | 1.575 |  | 575 |
| Supportive Services Income | 2.5\% | 2.5\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest Income - Project Operations | 2.5\% | 2.5\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Laundry and Vending | 2.5\% | 2.5\% |  | 3,372 |  | 3,372 | 3,456 | . | 3,456 | 3.542 |  | 3,542 |
| Tenant Charges | 2.5\% | 2.5\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Miscellaneous Residential Income | 2.5\% | 2.5\% |  | , |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Commercial Income | n/a | 2.5\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Withdrawal from Capitalized Reserve (deposit to operating account) | n/a | n/a | Link from Reserve Section below, as applicable |  | . | 1,160,618 |  | . |  | 1,240,509 | . | 1,240,509 |
| Gross Potential Income |  |  | 1,160,618 |  |  |  | 1,199,880 | - | 1,199,880 |  | - |  |
| Vacancy Loss - Residential - Tenant Rents | n/a | n/a | Enter formulas manually per relevant MOH policy; annual incrementing usually not ppropriat | (7,218) | - | (7,218) | (7,291) | - | (7,291) | (7,363) | - | ${ }^{(7,363)}$ |
| Vacancy Loss - Residential - Tenant Assistance Payments vacancy Loss-Commercla | n/a | n/a |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | EFFECTIVE GROSS INCOME |  |  | 1,153,400 |  | 1,153,400 | 1,192,589 |  | 1,192,589 | 1,23, 145 |  | 1,233,145 |
| operating expenses Management |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Management Fee | 3.5\% | 3.5\% | 1st Year to be set according to HUD | ${ }^{48,574}$ | - | 48.574 | 50,274 | . | 50,274 | 52,033 | . | 52,033 |
| Asset Management Fee | 3.5\% | 3.5\% | per MOHCD policy | 30,897 | - | 30,897 | 31,978 | . | ${ }^{31,978}$ | ${ }^{33,098}$ | - | 33,098 |
| Sub-total Management Expens |  |  |  | ${ }^{99,471}$ |  | 79,471 | ${ }^{82,252}$ |  | ${ }^{82,252}$ | ${ }^{85,131}$ |  | ${ }^{85,131}$ |



| Adverisisg and Marketing | 3.5\% | 3.5\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Office Expenses | 3.5\% | 3.5\% |  | 28,442 | . | 28,442 | 29,438 | - | 29,438 | ${ }^{30,468}$ |  | 30,468 |
| Office Rent | 3.5\% | 3.5\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Legal Expense - Property | 3.5\% | 3.5\% |  | 6.814 |  | ${ }_{6,814}$ | 7,053 |  | 7,053 | 7,300 |  | 7,300 |
| Audit Expense | 3.5\% | 3.5\% |  | ${ }^{13,629}$ | - | ${ }^{13,629}$ | 14,106 | - | 14,106 | 14,600 | - | 14,600 |
| Bookkeeping/Accounting Services | 3.5\% | 3.5\% |  | 19,932 | - | 19,932 | 20,630 |  | 20.630 | 21,352 |  | 21,352 |
| Bad Debis | 3.5\% | 3.5\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Miscellaneous | 3.5\% | 3.5\% |  | 13,704 | - | 13,704 | 14,184 | - | 14,184 | 14,680 | - | 14,680 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 82,522 | ${ }^{85,410}$ |  | ${ }^{85,410}$ | ${ }^{88,400}$ |  | 88,400 |


| Uililies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Electricity | ${ }^{3.5 \%}$ 3.5\% | 3.5\% ${ }^{\text {3.5\% }}$ |  | ${ }_{27,598}^{27,391}$ |  | $\frac{27,258}{25,391}$ | 28,212 |  | $\frac{28,212}{26,279}$ | $\frac{29,199}{27,99}$ |  | 29,199 27,199 |
| Gas | 3.5\% | 3.5\% |  | 25,621 | , | 25,621 | 26,518 |  | 26,518 | 27,446 |  | 27,446 |
| Sewer | 3.5\% | 3.5\% |  | ${ }^{34,072}$ | - | ${ }^{34,072}$ | 35,265 | . | ${ }^{35,265}$ | ${ }^{36,499}$ |  | 38,499 |
| Taxes and Licenses Sub-total Utilities |  |  |  | 112,342 | - | 112,342 | 116,274 | - | 116,274 | 120,344 |  | 120,344 |
| Real Estate Taxes | 3.5\% | 3.5\% |  | 2.181 |  | 2.181 | ${ }^{2,257}$ |  | ${ }^{2} 2.257$ | ${ }_{2}, 336$ |  | 2,33 |
| Payroll Taxes | 3.5\% | 3.5\% |  | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Miscellaneous Taxes, Licenses and Permits | 3.5\% | 3.5\% |  | 1.090 |  | 1,090 | 1,128 |  | 1,128 | 1,168 |  | 1,166 |
| Sub-total Taxes and Licenses |  |  |  | 3,271 |  | 3,271 | ${ }^{3,385}$ |  | ${ }^{3,385}$ | ${ }^{3,504}$ |  | 3,504 |


| Property and Liability Insurance | 3.5\% | 3.5\% |  | 64,918 | - | 64,918 | 67,190 | - | 67,190 | 69,541 |  | 6, 9 ,511 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fidelity Bond Insurance | 3.5\% | 3.5\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Worker's Compensation | 3.5\% | 3.5\% |  | 29,393 | . | 29,393 | 30,421 | . | 30,421 | 31,486 |  | 31,486 |
| Director's \& officers' Liability Insurance | 3.5\% | 3.5\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Payroll | 3.5\% | 3.5\% |  | 115,356 |  | 115,356 | 119,393 | . | 119,393 | 123,572 |  | 123.572 |
| Supplies | 3.5\% | 3.5\% |  | 5.942 | - | 5,942 | 6,150 | . | 6,150 | 6,365 |  | 6,365 |
| Contracts | 3.5\% | 3.5\% |  | 93,474 | . | 93,474 | 96,746 | . | 96,746 | 100,132 |  | 100,132 |
| Garbage and Trash Removal | 3.5\% | 3.5\% |  | 28,825 | - | 28.825 | 29,834 | - | 29,834 | 30,878 |  | 30,878 |
| Security PayrollC Contract | 3.5\% | 3.5\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HVAC Repairs and Maintenance | 3.5\% | 3.5\% |  | 5.997 | - | 5.997 | 6,207 | - | 6,207 | 6.424 | - | 6,424 |
| Vehicle and Maintenance Equipment Operation and Repairs | 3.5\% | 3.5\% |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |
| Miscellaneous Operating and Maintenance Expenses | 3.5\% | 3.5\% |  |  |  |  |  | . |  |  |  |  |
| Sub-total Maintenance \& Repair Expenses |  |  |  | 249,594 | - | 249,59 | 256,330 | - | 258,330 | 267,372 | - | 267,372 |
| Supportive Services | 3.5\% | 3.5\% |  | . | . | - |  | . | . | . |  |  |
| Commercial Expenses |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |  |  |
| total operating expenses |  |  |  | 1,094,321 |  | 1,094,321 | 1,132,622 |  | 1,132,622 | 1,172,264 | - | 1,172,264 |

TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES Reserves/GL Base Ras

## Bround Lease e Base Ren <br> Operating Reseserve Depopsit

| Other Required Reserve 1 Deposit |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Other Required Reserve 2 Deposit |

$\frac{\text { Required Reserve Deposit's, Commercial }}{\text { Sub-total Reserves/Ground Lease Base Rent Bond Fees }}$
total operating expenses ( $\boldsymbol{w} /$ Reserves/GL Base Rent/ Bond Fees)
NET OPERATING INCOME (INCOME minus OP EXPENSES)
DEBT SERVICEMUST PAY PAYMENTS ("hard debt"/amortized loans)

| Hard Debt- First Lender |
| :--- |
| Hard Debt - Second Lender (HCD Program 0.42\% pymt, or other 2nd Lender) |
| Hard Debt - Third Lender (Other HCD Program, or other 3rd Lender) |

Commercial Hard Deend Service TOTAL HARD DEBT SERVIICE
CASH FLOW (NOI minus DEBT SERVICE)
Aommercial Only Cash Flow
Alocation of Commercial Surplus to LOPS/non-LOSP (residual income)
AVALABL CASH FLOW
USES OF CASH FLOW BELOW (This row also shows DSCR.)
USES THAT PRECEDE MOHCD DEBT SERVICE IN WAT

| Below-the-line" Asset Mgt fee (uncommon in new projects, see | 3.5\% | 3.5\% | per MOHCD poilicy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parthership Management Fee (see policy for limits) | 3.5\% | 3.5\% | per MOHCD poilicy | 29.579 | . | ${ }^{29,579}$ | ${ }^{30,467}$ |  | 30.467 | ${ }^{31,381}$ |  | 31,381 |
| Investor Service Fee (aka "LP Asset Mgt Fee") (see policy for linits) |  |  | per MOHCD policy n a annual increase | 7,000 |  | 7,000 | 7,000 |  | 7.000 | 7.000 |  | 7.000 |
| Other Payments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-amortizing Loan Pmnt - Lender 1 |  |  | Enter commenis e: annual incease, etc. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-amortizing Loan Pmit - Lender 2 |  |  | Enter comments re: annual increase, etc. |  |  |  | . |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deferred Developer Fee (Enter amt $<=$ Max Fee from row 131) |  |  |  | - | - |  | . | . |  | . | - |  |
| TOTAL PAYMENTS PRECEDING MOHCD |  |  |  | 36,579 |  | 36,579 | 37,467 |  | 37,467 | 38,381 | . |  |

RESIDUAL RECEIPTS (CASH FLOW minus PAYMENTS PRECEDING MOHCD)
Does Project have a MOHCD Residual Receipt Obligation?
Will Project Defer Developer Fee?
Residual Receipts split tor all years. - Lender/Owner

MOHCD RESIDUAL RECEIPTS DEBT SERVICE

| MOHCD Residual Receitts Amount Due |
| :--- |
| Proposed MOHCD Residual Receipts Amount to Loan Repayment |
| Proposed MOHCD Residual Reeceips Amount to Residual Ground |
| Lease |

Propose
Lease



## OTHER REQUIRED RESERVE 1 - RUNNING BALANC <br> Other Reserve 1 Deposits <br> Other Required Reserve 1 Running Balance

OTHER RESERVE 2 - RUNNING BALANC

| Other Reserve 2 Starting Balance |
| :--- | :--- |
| Other Reserve 22 Deposits |

Other Reserve 2 Withdrawals
Other Required Reserve 2 Running Balance





| Electricity | 3.5\% | 3.5\% |  | 33,507 |  | 3,507 | 34,680 |  | 34,680 | 35,894 |  | 35,894 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Water | 3.5\% | 3.5\% |  | 31,212 | . | 31,212 | 32,304 |  | 32,304 | 33,435 |  | 33,435 |
| Gas | 3.5\% | 3.5\% |  | 31,495 | . | 31,495 | 32,597 |  | 32,597 | ${ }^{33,738}$ |  | ${ }^{33,738}$ |
| Sewer | 3.5\% | 3.5\% |  | 41,884 | . | 41,884 | 43,350 | . | 43,350 | 44,867 | - | 44,867 |
| Sub-total Utilities |  |  |  | 138,097 | - | 138,097 | 142,931 | $\cdot$ | 142,931 | 147,933 | - | 147,933 |
| Taxes and Licenses |  | 3.5\% |  | 2.681 |  | ${ }^{2.681}$ | 2.774 |  | ${ }^{2.774}$ | 2.871 |  | 2,871 |
| Payroll Taxes | ${ }^{3.5 \%}$ | 3.5\% |  | 2,0\%1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,0 |
| Miscellaneous Taxes, Licenses and Permits | 3.5\% | 3.5\% |  | 1,340 | . | 1.340 | 1,387 |  | ${ }_{1,387}$ | 1,436 |  | 1,43 |
| Sub-total Taxes and Licen |  |  |  | 4.021 |  | 4.021 | 4.162 |  | 4,162 | 4,307 |  | 4,4 |



| PUPA (w/o Reserves/GL Base RentBond Fees) |
| :--- |

Reserves/Ground Lease Base Rent/Bond Fees

| Ground Lease Base Rent |
| :--- | :--- |
| Bond Monitoring Fee |
| Replacement Reserve De |

Replacement Reserve Deposit

| Other Required Reserve 1 Deposit |
| :--- |
| Other Required Reserve 2 Deposit |

$\frac{\text { Required Reserve Depositts, Commercial }}{\text { Sub-total Reserves/Ground Lease Base Rent/Bond Fees }}$
total operating expenses (w/Reserves/GL Base Rent/ Bond Fees)


\section*{| Hard Debt- First Lender |
| :--- |
| Hard Debt-Second Lender (HCD Program 0.42\% pymt, or other 2nd Lender |
| Hard Debt - Third Lender (Other HCD Program, or other 3rd Lender) | <br> $\frac{\text { Hard Dett - Third Lender (Other HCD Program, or or other 3rd Lender) }}{\text { Hard Debt - Fourth Lender }}$}

TOTAL HARD DEBT SERVICE
Commercial Only Cash Flow Allocation of Commercial Surplus to LOPS/:non-LOSP (residual income)

|  | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | - |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 22,500 | . | 22,500 | 22,500 | - | 22,500 | 22,500 | - | 22,500 |
|  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - | . | . | . | - |  | . | - |  |
| 22,500 |  |  |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |
|  |  |  | 22.500 | 22,500 |  |  |  |  | 22,500 |



## USES OF CASH FLOW BELOW (This row also shows DSCR.) DSCR

| "Below-the-line"Asset Mgt fee (uncommon in new projects, see policy) | 3.5\% | 3.5\% | per MOHCD policy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3.5\% | 3.5\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Payments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-amorizing Loan Pmit - Lender 1 |  |  | Niter commenis re: annua increase, etc: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-amortizing Loan Pmit - Lender 2 |  |  | Ener comments e: annual increase, elc. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL PAYMENTS PRECEDING MOHCD

YMENTS PRECEDING MOHCD)
Does Project have a MOHCD Residual Receipt Obligation?
Will Project Defer Developer Fee?
Residual Receipts split tor all years. - Lender/Owner

MOHCD RESIDUAL RECEIPTS DEBT SERVICE


| OPERATING RESERVE-RUNNING BALANCE |
| :--- |
| Operating Reserve Starting Balance <br> Operatign Reserve Deposits <br> Operating Reserve Withrawals <br> Operating Reserve Interest <br> OR Running Balance |


\section*{OTHER REQUIRED RESERVE 1 - RUNNING BALANC <br> | Other Reserve 1 Deposits |
| :--- |
| Other Reserve 1 Withdrawals | <br> Other Required Reserve 1 Running Balance}

OTHER RESERVE 2 - RUNNING BALANCE
Other Reseserve 2 Stating Deposits
Other Resesve 2 Withdrawals
Other Required Reserve 2 Running Balance



## Attachment D: LOSP Funding Schedule A

## LOSP FUNDING SCHEDULE

| Project Address: | Casa de la Mision |
| :--- | :--- |
| Project Start Date: | $5 / 1 / 2021$ |

Exhibit A

|  | Full Year <br> Funding Amount | \# Months <br> to Fund | Disbursement <br> for <br> Calendar Year | Estimated <br> Disbursement <br> Date | FY Budgeted <br> (for Disbursement) |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CY-1 | 2021 | $\$ 725,807$ | 8 | $\$ 483,872$ | $4 / 1 / 2021$ | FY2020/21 |
| CY-2 | 2022 | $\$ 753,239$ | 12 | $\$ 753,239$ | $1 / 1 / 2022$ |  |
| CY-3 | 2023 | $\$ 781,660$ | 12 | $\$ 781,660$ | $1 / 1 / 2023$ | FY2021/22 |
| CY-4 | 2024 | $\$ 811,104$ | 12 | $\$ 811,104$ | $1 / 1 / 2024$ | FY2022/23 |
| CY-5 | 2025 | $\$ 841,608$ | 12 | $\$ 841,608$ | $1 / 1 / 2025$ | FY2024/24 |
| CY-6 | 2026 | $\$ 873,210$ | 12 | $\$ 873,210$ | $1 / 1 / 2026$ | FY2025/26 |
| CY-7 | 2027 | $\$ 905,947$ | 12 | $\$ 905,947$ | $1 / 1 / 2027$ | FY2026/27 |
| CY-8 | 2028 | $\$ 939,860$ | 12 | $\$ 939,860$ | $1 / 1 / 2028$ | FY2027/28 |
| CY-9 | 2029 | $\$ 974,991$ | 12 | $\$ 974,991$ | $1 / 1 / 2029$ | FY2028/29 |
| CY-10 | 2030 | $\$ 1,011,382$ | 12 | $\$ 1,011,382$ | $1 / 1 / 2030$ | FY2029/30 |
| CY-11 | 2031 | $\$ 1,049,078$ | 12 | $\$ 1,049,078$ | $1 / 1 / 2031$ | FY2030/31 |
| CY-12 | 2032 | $\$ 1,088,123$ | 12 | $\$ 1,088,123$ | $1 / 1 / 2032$ | FY2031/32 |
| CY-13 | 2033 | $\$ 1,128,567$ | 12 | $\$ 1,128,567$ | $1 / 1 / 2033$ | FY2032/33 |
| CY-14 | 2034 | $\$ 1,170,458$ | 12 | $\$ 1,170,458$ | $1 / 1 / 2034$ | FY2033/34 |
| CY-15 | 2035 | $\$ 1,213,846$ | 12 | $\$ 1,213,846$ | $1 / 1 / 2035$ | FY2034/35 |
| CY-16 | 2036 | $\$ 1,216,466$ | 12 | $\$ 1,216,466$ | $1 / 1 / 2036$ | FY2035/36 |

