



# *Request For Qualifications*

For the development of new affordable housing on a City-owned property located at the southwest corner on the intersection of 7<sup>th</sup> Street and Brannan Street, known as:

600 7th Street (formerly known as 801 Brannan Street)  
(Assessor's Parcel: Block 3783, Lot 010)

Issued: May 17, 2019

San Francisco Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development  
1 South Van Ness Avenue, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94103

Responses due by 4:00 p.m. on June 26, 2019

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>I. INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>II. IMPORTANT DATES AND SUBMISSION PROCESS .....</b>	<b>5</b>
A. IMPORTANT DATES.....	5
B. PRE-SUBMISSION MEETING.....	5
C. REGISTRATION FOR RFQ REQUIRED .....	5
D. QUESTIONS AND REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION .....	6
E. CONTACT PERSON, SUBMISSION DEADLINE AND PLACE.....	6
<b>III. CONTEXT.....</b>	<b>7</b>
A. THE SITE.....	7
B. ZONING/LAND USE ENTITLEMENTS .....	7
C. SOIL AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS .....	7
<b>IV. SELECTION PROCESS, MINIMUM EXPERIENCE AND CAPACITY REQUIREMENTS, SELECTION CRITERIA AND SCORING, AND SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS OVERVIEW .....</b>	<b>8</b>
A. SELECTION PROCESS.....	8
B. MINIMUM EXPERIENCE AND CAPACITY REQUIREMENTS .....	9
1. <i>Minimum Development Team Characteristics</i> .....	9
2. <i>Minimum Development Team Experience</i> .....	9
3. <i>Minimum Developer and Owner Capacity Requirements</i> .....	11
C. SELECTION CRITERIA AND SCORING.....	12
1. <i>Experience</i> .....	14
2. <i>Vision</i> .....	15
D. SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS OVERVIEW .....	16
1. <i>Minimum Development Team Characteristics</i> .....	16
2. <i>Minimum Development Team Experience</i> .....	16
3. <i>Minimum Developer and Owner Capacity Requirements</i> .....	17
4. <i>Selection Criteria and Scoring</i> .....	17
5. <i>Evidence of Authority</i> .....	17
6. <i>Disclosure Form</i> .....	17
<b>V. TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS .....</b>	<b>18</b>
A. DEVELOPER RESPONSIBILITIES .....	18
B. ERRORS AND OMISSIONS IN RFQ.....	19
C. ADDENDA TO RFQ .....	20
D. OBJECTIONS.....	20
E. CLAIMS AGAINST MOHCD .....	21
F. SUNSHINE ORDINANCE .....	21
G. RESERVATIONS OF RIGHTS BY THE CITY .....	21
<b>VI. APPENDICES.....</b>	<b>1</b>
EXHIBIT 1.....	0

<i>Map of the Site</i> .....	0
ATTACHMENT 1 .....	1
<i>RFQ Submittal Checklist</i> .....	1
ATTACHMENT 2 .....	2
<i>RFQ Registration Form</i> .....	2
ATTACHMENT 3 .....	3
<i>Respondent Description</i> .....	3
ATTACHMENT 4 .....	4
<i>Qualifying Project Form</i> .....	4
ATTACHMENT 5 .....	5
<i>Financing Terms for Developer’s Qualifying Project</i> .....	5
ATTACHMENT 6 .....	6
<i>Projected Staffing Workload Form</i> .....	6
ATTACHMENT 7 .....	7
<i>Disclosures</i> .....	7

## I. INTRODUCTION

The City and County of San Francisco (the “City”), acting through the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development (“MOHCD”), is seeking a qualified developer (the “Selected Developer”), to work with the City to develop permanently affordable family rental housing to include units serving formerly homeless households, and ground-floor commercial use servicing the surrounding neighborhood (the “Project”) on a parcel located at 600 7<sup>th</sup> Street (Block 3783, Lot 010), formerly known as 801 Brannan Street (the “Site”).

The parcel is located at the southwest corner of the intersection of 7th Street and Brannan Street, commonly known as 600 7<sup>th</sup> Street in San Francisco’s South of Market (“SoMa”) neighborhood. The Site is a rectangular-shaped lot of 37,800 square feet. A map showing the location and configuration of the Site is attached as **Exhibit 1**.

In 2014, pursuant to Resolution 475-14, the City and the developer of 801 Brannan Street and One Henry Adams Street reached an agreement in which the One Henry Adams Street project could satisfy its inclusionary housing requirements and a portion of the 801 Brannan project inclusionary housing requirements by conveying the Site to MOHCD.

### **The City’s expectations of the Selected Developer are the following:**

- Provide an affordable housing structure containing a minimum of 150 units with ground-floor commercial use servicing the surrounding neighborhood;
- Maximize the number of units and density within a mid-rise construction type;
- Serve low-income families (in 1-3 bedroom units) unsubsidized with an income range between 30%-80% MOHCD Unadjusted San Francisco Area Median Income;
- Serve formerly homeless adults in a minimum of 75 studio units, subsidized by the City’s Local Operating Subsidy Program (“LOSP”) and a City services contract at a case management ratio of approximately 1:25;
- Serve formerly homeless families with dependent children (in 1-3 bedroom units), subsidized by the City’s Local Operating Subsidy Program (“LOSP”) and a City services contract for case management;
- Establish a building design that creates distinct wings, inclusive of common areas, for the studio (adult) units and the 1-3 bedroom (family) units;
- Income average the available and total tax-credit units to no more than 60% MOHCD Unadjusted San Francisco Area Median Income;
- Provide ground floor commercial spaces serving as neighborhood serving uses, through programs determined through a comprehensive community outreach process;
- Conduct community outreach to engender support for the Project;
- Secure construction and permanent financing that minimizes City General Fund resources to the greatest extent possible. For example, a State of California, Housing & Community Development (HCD) loan and/or the City’s No Place Like Home (NPLH) loan for homeless households;

- Commence construction on the Project as soon as possible, using streamlined ministerial approval processes. For example, SB35, which may be used in conjunction with the Affordable Housing Density Program or the State Density Bonus Program.

The City's Local Operating Subsidy Program ("LOSP") will be available to units serving formerly homeless families and adults. The LOSP will be administered through a 15-year contract with MOHCD, to cover the difference between tenant-paid rents for homeless-serving units (LOSP units) and operating expenses attributable to LOSP units. LOSP operating subsidy calculations should account for all typical costs of operations, reserves and fees on a pro-rata basis. LOSP subsidies may not be used to pay hard debt service, other than qualified minimal debt service payments for state financing. Applicants offering LOSP units will need to apply for funding for provision of services to these formerly homeless households through the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing. Section 8 project based rental assistance is not anticipated to be available at this time.

Respondent teams to this Request for Qualifications ("RFQ") must be comprised of:

- At least one San Francisco-based non-profit development entity whose mission includes the development of affordable housing in low-income communities with experience developing housing for formerly homeless households acting either as sole developer or as a partner in a joint venture, or joint-venture partner, defined as a nonprofit organization,
- A property owner entity with experience owning housing for low-income communities and formerly homeless households;
- A property management entity with experience managing housing for low-income communities and formerly homeless households;
- At least one services-providing entity with experience providing services appropriate for formerly homeless residents.

MOHCD is proposing to transfer the Site (subject to final approval by the Board of Supervisors) to a qualified developer for this purpose through a long-term ground lease. Maximum rents will be restricted to a maximum average income of 60% Unadjusted San Francisco Area Median Income and below, as defined by MOHCD.

**Hard copy and electronic responses to this RFQ must be received by the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development no later than 4:00 p.m. on June 26, 2019. (Facsimile responses will not be accepted.)**

## II. IMPORTANT DATES AND SUBMISSION PROCESS

### A. IMPORTANT DATES

RFQ available at MOHCD	<b>Friday, May 17, 2019</b>
Pre-submission conference at MOHCD	<b>Wednesday, May 29, 2019 at 11:00 a.m.</b>
Deadline for questions and requests for additional information	<b>Wednesday, June 5, 2019 by 4:00 p.m.</b>
Deadline for submitting qualifications	<b>Wednesday, June 26, 2019 by 4:00 p.m.</b>
Notification to development teams who met submission requirements	<b>Tuesday, July 9, 2019</b>
development team interviews, if necessary	<b>Week of July 29 or August 5, 2019</b>
Announcement of selection of development team	<b>Week of August 26, 2019</b>

### B. PRE-SUBMISSION MEETING

A pre-submission conference will be held at MOHCD, located at 1 South Van Ness Avenue, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor, San Francisco, on **May 29, 2019 at 11:00 a.m.** The purpose of the meeting is to ensure that interested developers understand the minimum qualifications requirements and the selection process. Questions raised at the conference may be answered verbally at that time. If any substantive new information is provided in response to questions raised at the pre-submission conference, MOHCD will issue a written addendum to the RFQ (in the form of a Question and Answers document) with this information to all parties that have registered for the RFQ. No questions or requests for interpretation will be accepted after **June 5, 2019 at 4:00 p.m.** Attendance at the pre-submission conference is highly recommended but not mandatory. Please see below regarding **Attachment 2 - RFQ Registration Form.**

### C. REGISTRATION FOR RFQ REQUIRED

In order to receive MOHCD's responses to requests for additional information and to questions about this RFQ and to submit a qualification submission, all interested parties must submit a completed RFQ Registration Form to MOHCD by **May 29, 2019 at 11:00 a.m.** All addenda, responses and additional information will be distributed to all parties who have submitted a registration form in accordance with Section IIB above. MOHCD reserves the sole right to determine the timing and content of the response, if any, to all questions and requests for additional information. Questions and information requests should be submitted to the contact person in Section IIE.

**D. QUESTIONS AND REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION**

All questions and requests for additional information regarding this RFQ must be received in writing by MOHCD, by hand, overnight delivery, mail, fax, or e-mail by **June 5, 2019, by 4:00 p.m.** Questions received after the deadline may not be answered. All addenda, response, and additional information will be distributed to all parties who have submitted a registration form in accordance with Section IIC.

**E. CONTACT PERSON, SUBMISSION DEADLINE AND PLACE**

All communications about this RFQ should be directed to Joyce Slén, Project Manager, at (415) 701-5577, joyce.slen@sfgov.org, or at the address below.

Respondents to this RFQ must submit one (1) hard original plus six (6) hard copies of their proposals, as well as one electronic copy on flash drive, or Dropbox link, to the MOHCD receptionist no later than:

**4:00 p.m. on June 26, 2019**

at

**Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development  
1 South Van Ness Avenue, 5th Floor  
San Francisco, California 94103  
Attn: Director of Housing Development**

### **III. CONTEXT**

#### **A. THE SITE**

The Site is a rectangular-shaped lot of 37,800 square feet located at 600 7th Street. The Site is bound by 8th Street to the west, Brannan Street to the north, 7th Street to the east and Townsend Street to the south. The Site is currently vacant and surrounded by perimeter fencing. Adjacent uses around the Site are an office space to the north, multi-unit apartment building to the west, a vacant lot to the east, and an office building to the south.

#### **B. ZONING/LAND USE ENTITLEMENTS**

The Site is located in the UMU – Urban Mixed Use zoning district (See Planning Code Section 843) and the 68-X Height and Bulk District. The UMU district is intended to promote a vibrant mix of uses while maintaining the characteristics of this formerly industrially-zoned area. Within the UMU, permitted uses include, but are not limited to retail, educational facilities, and housing, with family-sized dwelling units encouraged. Under UMU, the dwelling unit mix should include at least 40% of all dwelling units must contain two or more bedrooms or 30% of all dwelling units must contain three or more bedrooms.

The Site development is expected to qualify for ministerial approvals from the Planning Department through SB35, which may be used in conjunction with the State Density Bonus Program or the Affordable Housing Density Bonus Program.

#### **C. SOIL AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS**

The selected Developer will be required to commission its own geotechnical studies as part of its design and engineering work, but, for purposes of this RFQ, it is assumed that following any required mitigation, soil and subsoil conditions on the Site are sufficient to support a development that complies with the maximum allowable height, bulk and density limitations of the Site's applicable zoning requirements.

The following documents pertaining to the City can be found on the MOHCD website here: <http://sfmohcd.org/nofas-rfp-rfq-bids-jobs>

- 600 7<sup>th</sup> St (formerly known as 801 Brannan St) – ALTA Survey
- 801 Brannan St
  - Phase I & II Environmental Site Assessment, October 2011
  - Soil Gas Investigation Report, November 2013
  - Dust Monitoring Plan, March 2014
  - Site Mitigation Plan, March 2014
  - Site Mitigation Plan - San Francisco Department of Public Health (SFDPH) Approval Letter, June 2014
  - Methane Mitigation System Letter to SFDPH, September 2014



- MOH Parcel Temporary Cap Letter, November 2014
- MOH Parcel Temporary Cap Amendment Memorandum, September 2017
- MOH Parcel Temporary Cap Amendment – SFDPH Approval Letter, November 2017
- Planning Commission Motions
  - No. 18792 – Final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) Findings for 801 Brannan St & 1 Henry Adams St, January 2013
  - No. 18793 – Adopting Large Project Authorization (LPA) for 801 Brannan St, January 2013
  - No. 18794 – Adopting Large Project Authorization (LPA) for 1 Henry Adams Street, January 2013

The Site is subject to the Maher Ordinance (San Francisco Health Code, Article 22A). For more information, see: [https://www.sfdph.org/dph/EH/HazWaste/Maher\\_FAQ.asp](https://www.sfdph.org/dph/EH/HazWaste/Maher_FAQ.asp).

#### **IV. SELECTION PROCESS, MINIMUM EXPERIENCE AND CAPACITY REQUIREMENTS, SELECTION CRITERIA AND SCORING, AND SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS OVERVIEW**

##### **A. SELECTION PROCESS**

MOHCD staff will review all submittals for completeness and satisfaction of minimum experience and capacity requirements (see Section B below). If a submittal does not meet minimum experience and capacity requirements, the Respondent may submit an appeal to MOHCD staff on technical grounds only.

A Selection Panel will be appointed by the Director of MOHCD composed of persons with expertise in the areas of development, affordable housing financing, property management and resident supportive services, and which may also include asset management, construction management, Arts Commission staff, and community representatives.

The Selection Panel will review all qualified responses (see Section C below) and interview top-scoring Respondents, at which time Respondents will be asked to present and explain the major characteristics of their submittal, particularly as they relate to the Scoring Criteria, and respond to questions from the Selection Panel.

After all interviews have been completed, the Selection Panel will meet to determine the final ranking of all responses and present this ranking to the Director. The Selection Panel’s scoring of each proposal will be done by consensus and will be final.

The Director will then select a development team and advise the Mayor of this selection. MOHCD staff will then exclusively negotiate a binding contract with the selected team for

purposes of the Site's development. If MOHCD staff cannot complete a contract with the selected development team that is in the best interest of the City, the MOHCD Director may terminate negotiations in her sole discretion. If the MOHCD Director terminates negotiations with the selected development team, the MOHCD Director reserves the right, in her sole discretion, to (1) negotiate with the next highest ranked Respondent, or (2) reject any and all other proposals, in whole or in part, prior to award, and may re-advertise a request for qualifications for this Project under such terms the MOHCD Director deems to be in the City's best interest.

## **B. MINIMUM EXPERIENCE AND CAPACITY REQUIREMENTS**

Respondents must meet the following minimum development team characteristics, experience, and capacity requirements in order to qualify to be scored and ranked under this RFQ:

### **1. Minimum Development Team Characteristics**

The proposed Development Team must include:

- At least one San Francisco-based non-profit development entity whose mission includes the development of affordable housing in low-income communities with experience developing housing for formerly homeless households acting either as sole developer or as a partner in a joint venture, or joint-venture partner, defined as a nonprofit organization,
- A property owner entity with experience owning housing for low-income communities and formerly homeless households;
- A property management entity with experience managing housing for low-income communities and formerly homeless households;
- At least one services-providing entity with experience providing services appropriate for formerly homeless residents.

*Letters of Intent or Memoranda of Understanding from service providers and property management entities that are not affiliated with the developer must be submitted with the application.*

### **2. Minimum Development Team Experience**

Minimum experience must be demonstrated by identifying specific **Qualifying Projects** in which team members have participated, as further described below. The proposed Development Team must submit **Attachment 4 - Qualifying Project Form**, to document how the Qualifying Project characteristics meet each of the experience categories below (developer, owner, property manager, service provider.)

To demonstrate the minimum required development team experience, each team should submit one project for each experience category. When appropriate, teams may submit the same project as evidence of experience across multiple experience categories, or may use different projects to

demonstrate experience across categories. In all cases, no more than four (4) total Qualifying Projects should be submitted. **Qualifying Projects will not be scored, but are used to identify if the proposed Development Team meets the minimum development team experience required to develop the Site.**

For Developer and Owner, a **Qualifying Project** must have all of the following characteristics:

- new construction (not a requirement for Minimum Service Provision Experience) in either a Type V over I or Type III over I construction type (not a requirement for Minimum Property Manager and Service Provision Experience)
- at least 75 units in size
- majority multiple-bedrooms
- mixed-use including residential (not a requirement for Minimum Service Provision Experience)
- affordable to low- and very low-income households<sup>1</sup>
- formerly homeless residents
- financed by use of Low-Income Housing Tax Credits

**a. Minimum Development Experience:** The proposed Developer must have completed within the past ten years at least **one** Qualifying Project located in San Francisco. The definition of “completed” of a Qualifying Project means having received Temporary Certificate of Occupancy by the date of the issuance of the RFQ.

For joint venture Developer teams, the experience of the lead entity may suffice for the joint-venture partnership. A signed Memorandum of Understanding or Term Sheet between joint-venture Development partners that outlines roles and responsibilities, proposed ownership structure, etc. must be submitted with the application. Furthermore, a Respondent can qualify for development experience by contracting with a development consultant for comprehensive project management services. Finally, the requirement to have served formerly homeless residents may be satisfied in a non-Type I building. In such a case, the proposed Developer must provide evidence of having completed a partially Type I affordable housing building, and separately, an affordable housing building that serves formerly homeless residents.

**b. Minimum Ownership Experience:** The proposed Owner must have owned at least **one** Qualifying Project for at least four years prior to the Submittal Deadline of this RFQ. For purposes of this requirement, the member of the general partner of the tax credit partnership that will own the completed project is the proposed “Owner.”

**c. Minimum Property Management Experience:** The proposed Property Manager must have managed at least **one** Qualifying Project for at least 24 months.

---

<sup>1</sup> “Low Income” is defined as 60% MOHCD AMI and below. “Very Low Income” is defined as 30% MOHCD AMI and below.

- d. Minimum Service Provision Experience:* The proposed service provider(s) must have at least 36 months experience providing services to low-income families and formerly homeless residents within a Qualifying Project.

**Note Regarding Experience:** For any Respondent team member, the experience of key staff members may be substituted for the experience of the organization as a whole as long as the staff members' experience in other firms was substantive and involved responsibilities similar to those that they are anticipated to perform during the proposed development of the Site. Any substitution should be clearly identified in Attachment 4, Qualified Project Form.

### **3. Minimum Developer and Owner Capacity Requirements**

The proposed Developer and Owner must demonstrate the financial and staffing capacity to successfully complete the project and manage the asset in the long-term, as further described below.

- a. Financial Capacity:* The proposed Developer (or Guarantor where another entity is providing required guarantees) must demonstrate its ability to obtain competitive financing, as evidenced by submitting the latest (2) years of either signed federal income tax returns (including schedules or attachments, if any); or audited financial statements (with management letters, if any). The proposed Developer must also submit **Attachment 5 – Financing Terms for Developer's Qualifying Project** documenting the equity pricing and debt terms for the Qualifying Project submitted under Minimum Developer Experience.
- b. Staffing Capacity:* The proposed Developer must document its capacity to successfully plan, design, and develop the Project, throughout the period of development, either through staff with appropriate experience and capacity, contracted services, or collaboration with other organizations. To document this, the proposed Developer must submit a written narrative **no more than one page** (in Times New Roman font, 12 font size, and 1-inch margins) to document the experience and capacity of key staff, their workloads, and the organizational structure for supporting staff. The proposed Developer must also submit **Attachment 6 – Projected Staffing Workload Form** to document the work assignments (existing or contemplated) associated with each staff person expected to work on the Project for Developer.
- c. Asset Management Capacity:* The proposed Owner must document its capacity to successfully manage real estate assets in compliance with City regulatory agreements and restrictions. To document this, the proposed Owner must submit a recent Real Estate Owned (REO) schedule, stating the number of projects and average number of units/project currently in Owner's asset management portfolio, proposed Owner's current asset management staffing noting job titles, FTEs, and status of each position (filled/vacant) and proposed Owner's organizational chart.

**C. SELECTION CRITERIA AND SCORING**

All applications that meet the Minimum Experience and Capacity Requirements will be scored and ranked according to the following selection criteria:

	<b>Category</b>	<b>Points</b>
<b>A.</b>	<b>EXPERIENCE:</b>	<b>50</b>
i.	<b>Developer (15 pts)</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Experience with the following:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Completing projects on time and on budget</li> <li>○ Obtaining competitive financing terms</li> <li>○ Developing Type V/I or III/I construction</li> <li>○ Developing for low-income families and formerly homeless households</li> <li>○ Working in SoMa neighborhood</li> </ul> </li> <li>➤ Building community support through outreach</li> <li>➤ Current staff capacity and experience to take on this project type</li> </ul>	
ii.	<b>Owner (5 pts)</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Track record successfully owning housing financed with Low-Income Housing Tax Credits</li> <li>➤ Experience owning affordable housing for low-income families and formerly homeless households</li> <li>➤ Current asset management structure, staffing and portfolio</li> <li>➤ Capacity for assuming asset management of an expanded portfolio once the development is complete</li> </ul>	
iii.	<b>Property Manager (15 pts)</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Experience property managing for low-income families and formerly homeless households</li> <li>➤ Experience achieving high rates of housing retention</li> <li>➤ Implementing low barrier tenant selection policies</li> <li>➤ Contributing to long-term sustainability of the development</li> <li>➤ Achieving cost efficiencies in operations</li> </ul>	
iv.	<b>Service Provider/s (15 pts)</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Experience delivering services to low-income families and formerly homeless households</li> <li>➤ Experience linking residents to the City’s safety net of services</li> <li>➤ Working with property management to achieve high rates of housing retention</li> <li>➤ Supporting positive outcomes for residents around health and economic mobility</li> <li>➤ If applicable, provides explanation for service contracts terminated prematurely within the last 5 years</li> </ul>	
<b>B.</b>	<b>VISION:</b>	<b>50</b>
i.	<b>Program Concept (15 pts)</b>	

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Describes vision for a development program at this site, while best achieving the project goals, and includes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ A residential program and other envisioned uses;</li> <li>○ Indicates how the proposed uses and amenities will enhance the lives of the proposed target population and the surrounding SoMa neighborhood.</li> </ul> </li> <li>➤ Indicates particular groups served by the programs and spaces (tots, children, teens, young adults, adults, elderly, disabled etc.).</li> </ul>	
ii.	<p><b>Community Engagement Strategy (10 pts)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Describes community engagement strategy and includes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ The team’s philosophy on community engagement;</li> <li>○ Process for establishing and/or building positive relationships with surrounding neighbors and the larger community;</li> <li>○ Efforts designed to engage all interested community members, including monolingual non-English speaking members of the community;</li> <li>○ How the Development Team intends to comply with the City’s Language Access Ordinance.</li> </ul> </li> <li>➤ Describes the Team’s approach to achieving entitlements for the project expeditiously and the Team’s approach to maintaining and building community relationships after entitlements have been achieved and the development is in operations.</li> </ul>	
iii.	<p><b>Services Delivery Strategy (15 pts)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Describes the Development Team’s services delivery strategy and includes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ The overall service philosophy;</li> <li>○ Model for providing any anticipated services to formerly homeless residents (including case management ratio and provision of amenities such as front desk clerks, if applicable);</li> <li>○ The services goals of the proposed vision.</li> </ul> </li> <li>➤ A brief description of the desired outcome of the services to be provided and innovative approaches to services provision, including the strategy of engaging residents and encouraging access to services.</li> <li>➤ Describes how services for residents will be coordinated with the existing network of services in the neighborhood and community.</li> </ul>	
iv.	<p><b>Finance and Cost Containment Approach (10 pts)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Describes the Development Team’s financing approach to the project.</li> <li>➤ Includes the Team’s process for structuring the project and controlling development costs.</li> <li>➤ Includes innovative strategies intended to minimize MOHCD’s projected capital gap financing.</li> <li>➤ Describes any innovative (i.e. non-standard, routine or commonly used) direct or indirect cost-cutting strategies relevant to overall development, construction or operating expenses.</li> </ul>	

**TOTAL POSSIBLE POINTS 100**

## 1. Experience

In **no more than four pages** of written narrative (in Times New Roman font, 12 font size, 1-inch margins), describe how each member of the Proposed Development Team has the most relevant experience for the successful development of the Project Please note that Respondents are not limited to discussing about the Qualifying Project(s).

- a. Developer:* Describe the Developer’s track record successfully developing high-quality affordable housing, including supportive housing. In particular, discuss the Developer’s experience completing affordable housing development projects on time and on budget, obtaining competitive financing terms, developing for low-income families and formerly homeless households, working in the SoMa neighborhood in which the Project is based, and building community support through outreach for similar projects. In addition, describe the experience and capacity of current staff to take on a project of this type.
- b. Owner:* Describe the Owner’s track record successfully owning housing financed with Low-Income Housing Tax Credits. In particular, discuss the Owner’s experience owning affordable housing for low-income families and formerly homeless households and describe the Owner’s current asset management structure, staffing and portfolio, and its capacity for assuming asset management of an expanded portfolio once the development is complete. For purposes of this requirement, the managing general partner of the tax credit partnership intended to take ownership of the completed Project and to provide asset management for the Project is the proposed “Owner”.
- c. Property Manager:* Describe the Property Manager’s track record successfully managing high-quality affordable housing communities. In particular, discuss the Property Manager’s experience providing management services for low-income families and formerly homeless households, experience achieving high rates of housing retention, implementing low barrier tenant selection policies, contributing to the long-term sustainability of the development, and achieving cost efficiencies in operations.
- d. Services Provider(s):* Describe the Services Provider(s)’ track record delivering highly impactful services to residents in affordable housing developments. In particular, discuss the Services Provider(s)’ experience delivering services to low-income families and formerly homeless households, linking residents to the City’s safety net of services, working with property management to achieve high rates of housing retention, and supporting positive outcomes for residents around health and economic mobility. If the Service Provider(s) have had any services contracts prematurely terminated in the last five years, include an explanation for each termination.

## 2. Vision

In **no more than six pages** of written narrative (in Times New Roman font, 12 font size, 1-inch margins), describe the Proposed Development Team's vision for the successful development of the Project:

- a. ***Program concept:*** Describe the Development Team's vision for a development program at this Site, while best achieving the original project goals (i.e. serve low-income families and formerly homeless households) including a residential program and all other envisioned uses. Indicate how the proposed uses and amenities will enhance the lives of the low-income families and formerly homeless households, and the surrounding SoMa neighborhood. Indicate particular groups served by the programs and spaces (tots, children, teens, young adults, adults, elderly, disabled etc.). Do not submit architectural drawings; scored responses must be in narrative form only.
- b. ***Community engagement strategy:*** Describe the Development Team's community engagement strategy, including the team's philosophy on community engagement and process for establishing and/or building positive relationships with surrounding neighbors and the larger community. Describe the Team's approach to achieving entitlements for the project expeditiously and the Team's approach to maintaining and building community relationships after entitlements have been achieved and the development is in operations. The strategy should include efforts designed to engage all interested community members, including monolingual non-English speaking members of the community and how the Development Team intends to comply with the City's Language Access Ordinance.
- c. ***Services delivery strategy:*** Describe the Development Team's services delivery strategy, including the overall philosophy and model for providing services to low-income families and formerly homeless households (including case management ratio and provision of amenities such as front desk clerks), the services goals of the proposed vision, a brief description of the desired outcomes of the services to be provided and innovative approaches to services provision, including the strategy for engaging residents and encouraging access to services, and how services for residents will be coordinated with the existing network of services in the neighborhood and community.
- d. ***Financing and cost containment approach:*** Describe the Development Team's financing approach to the project, including the Team's process for structuring the project and controlling development costs. Include any innovative strategies intended to minimize MOHCD's projected capital gap financing. Also, describe any innovative (i.e., non-standard, routine or commonly used) direct or indirect cost-cutting strategies relevant to overall development, construction or operating expenses. Do not submit a development budget or pro forma; scored responses must be in narrative form only.



## **D. SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS OVERVIEW**

Using **Attachment 1 – Submittal Checklist**, check boxes of all items that will be submitted. Complete and submit **Attachment 2 - RFQ Registration Form**. All addenda, responses and additional information will be distributed to all parties who have submitted a registration form in accordance with Section IIB above.

### **1. Minimum Development Team Characteristics**

Submit **Attachment 3 - Respondent Description** to document the name of each organization, names of the organization's Director (or equivalent position) and primary contact persons, and phone numbers and email addresses for each of the following:

- Lead Developer and Co-Developers (if applicable)
- Development Consultant (if applicable)
- Owner(s)
- Property Manager(s)
- Service Provider(s)

For each Lead Developer and/or Co-Developer, submit a current copy of the following documents:

- a. **Certificate of Good Standing** from the California Secretary of State
- b. **Certification of 501(c)(3) status** (for nonprofit corporations) from the Internal Revenue Service.

### **2. Minimum Development Team Experience**

Submit **Attachment 4 - Qualifying Project Form**, to document how the Qualifying Project characteristics meet each of the experience categories below (developer, owner, property manager, service provider.) The Development Team may submit more than one (1) Qualifying Project for each of the experience categories:

- a. **Minimum Development Experience**
- b. **Minimum Ownership Experience**
- c. **Minimum Property Management Experience**
- d. **Minimum Service Provision Experience**

To demonstrate the minimum required development team experience, each team should submit one project for each experience category. When appropriate, teams may submit the same project as evidence of experience across multiple experience categories, or may use different projects to demonstrate experience across categories. In all cases, no more than four (4) total Qualifying Projects should be submitted. **Qualifying Projects will not be scored, but are used to identify if the proposed Development Team meets the minimum development team experience required to develop the Site.**

### 3. Minimum Developer and Owner Capacity Requirements

#### a. **Financial Capacity**

- Latest two (2) years of either signed federal income tax returns (including schedules or attachments, if any); or audited financial statements (with management letters, if any).
- Submit **Attachment 5 – Financing Terms for Developer’s Qualifying Project** to document the equity pricing and debt terms for the Qualifying Project submitted under Minimum Developer Experience.

#### b. **Staffing Capacity**

- Description of Key Staff Experience – Provide written narrative of **no more than one page** (in Times New Roman font, 12 font size, and 1-inch margins) to document the experience and capacity of key staff, their workloads, and the organizational structure for supporting staff.
- Submit **Attachment 6 – Projected Staffing Workload Form**, documenting the work assignments (existing or contemplated) associated with each staff person expected to work on the Project for Developer.

#### c. **Asset Management Capacity**

- Submit proposed Owner’s a recent Real Estate Owned (REO) schedule, documenting the number of projects and average number of units/project currently in Owner’s asset management portfolio.
- Submit proposed Owner’s current asset management staffing, noting job titles, FTEs, and status of each position (filled/vacant).
- Submit proposed Owner’s organizational chart.

### 4. Selection Criteria and Scoring

- Experience** – Provide written narrative of **no more than four pages** (in Times New Roman font, 12 font size, and 1-inch margins).
- Vision** - Provide written narrative of **no more than six pages** (in Times New Roman font, 12 font size, and 1-inch margins).

Additional documents submitted in this section will not be allowed. The two written narratives above will only be the only documents reviewed and scored by the panel.

### 5. Evidence of Authority

Provide a certified corporate resolution of the applicant or, in the case of a partnership, the applicant’s general partner, expressly authorizing the applicant to provide a response to this RFQ and, if selected by the City, to enter into negotiations with the City for the long-term lease of the MOHCD Site.

### 6. Disclosure Form

Submit a completed and signed copy of **Attachment 7 – Disclosures**, which requires any respondent to this RFQ to disclose defaults, lawsuits, legal proceedings, bankruptcy filings or financial interests affiliated with MOHCD staff or Citywide Affordable Housing Loan Committee members. The individual who signs the form must be authorized to enter into legal agreements on behalf of the Respondent.

**Note Regarding Submittals:** Respondents may amend their response prior to the submission deadline. However, after the submission deadline, corrections are only allowed if immaterial and at the sole discretion of MOHCD.

## **V. TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS**

### **A. DEVELOPER RESPONSIBILITIES**

The Selected Developer will be responsible for all aspects of development of the Site, including but not limited to the following:

- Involving local community stakeholders in the program setting and initial design of the Site.
- Conducting all appropriate due diligence, investigating and determining conditions of the Site and the suitability of the Site for the proposed Development.
- Securing all required development approvals, including but not limited to any necessary permits or approvals from the City’s Planning Department and Department of Building Inspection, and from Federal and State agencies associated with environmental and historic preservation reviews (including Certificates of Appropriateness) as applicable.
- Obtaining adequate financing for all aspects of the proposed Development, including predevelopment, construction and operation.
- Designing and building the Development in a manner that produces a high-quality, enduring living environment.
- Owning, managing, and operating the Development in a manner that ensures its long-term financial viability and the ongoing satisfaction of residents.
- Complying with the requirements of any financing for the Development, including but not limited to:
  - a. Equal Employment Opportunities – The Selected Developer will be required to comply with local and federal procurement requirements, including the provision of equal employment opportunities for disadvantaged business consultants, architects, contractors, and other potential development team members to participate in the Development. To ensure that equal opportunity plans are consistent with City and Federal procurement requirements, sponsors should meet with MOHCD and San Francisco Contract Monitoring Division (CMD) staff prior to hiring their development team to develop a plan for such compliance. Although the City’s Contract Monitoring Division (CMD) does not require prior

approval or monitoring of procedures for selecting the architect for purposes of responding to this RFQ, the architect's Small Business Enterprise (SBE) status will be counted toward the overall Development's procurement goals which will be set at a later date.

- b. Environmental Review - Depending on conditions at the Development Site and on Development plans, the proposed Development will be subject to review under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) and specifically the Section 106 historical resources preservation review. Department of City Planning design review may also be required.
- c. Accessibility Requirements - Development sponsors will be responsible for meeting all applicable accessibility standards related to publicly-funded multifamily housing under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, the Architectural Barriers Act, the Americans with Disabilities Act, and certain statutes and regulations of the City and County of San Francisco. At least 50% of all units must be adaptable and a minimum of 10% of the units must be accessible, including units for the visually and hearing impaired.
- d. Prevailing Wages – This Development will be subject to applicable local, state or federal requirements with regard to labor standards. Developers should take prevailing wage requirements and labor standards into account when seeking estimates for contracted work, especially the cost of construction, and other work to which the requirements apply, and when preparing development budgets overall.
- e. Employment and Training – The Selected Developer will be required to work with the CityBuild initiative of the Office of Economic and Workforce Development to comply with local and federal requirements regarding the provision of employment opportunities for local and low-income residents and small businesses during both the development and operation of the Development, including complying with the City's First Source Hiring requirements.
- f. Sustainable Design – The Mayor's Office of Housing seeks to maximize the overall sustainability of financed projects. The selected development team will be required to pursue any funding that may become available to help pay for the cost of planning and implementing green building components.
- g. Minimum Insurance Requirements – see Exhibit A – Minimum Insurance Requirements.

## **B. ERRORS AND OMISSIONS IN RFQ**

Respondents are responsible for reviewing all portions of this RFQ. Respondents are to promptly notify MOHCD, in writing, if the respondent discovers any ambiguity, discrepancy, omission, or other error in the RFQ. Any such notification should be directed to MOHCD promptly after discovery, but in no event later than five (5) working days prior to the date for receipt of proposals. Modifications and clarifications will be made by addenda as provided below.

**C. ADDENDA TO RFQ**

MOHCD may modify the RFQ, prior to the response due date, by issuing written addenda. Addenda will be sent via email to the last known address of each person or firm listed with MOHCD as having received a copy of the RFQ for proposal purposes. MOHCD will make reasonable efforts to notify Respondents in a timely manner of modifications to the RFQ. Notwithstanding this provision, the Respondent shall be responsible for ensuring that its proposal reflects any and all addenda issued by MOHCD prior to the proposal due date regardless of when the proposal is submitted.

**D. OBJECTIONS**

1. RFQ Terms. If any interested party objects to any provision or legal requirement in this RFQ, such party must provide written notice to MOHCD setting forth with specificity the grounds for the objection no later than seven (7) calendar days of the date for submitting qualifications (See Section II(A)). Failure to object in the manner and within the time set forth in this paragraph will constitute a complete and irrevocable waiver of any objection to this RFQ.
2. Notice of Non-Responsiveness. A Respondent may object to a determination that its submission of qualifications is non-responsive to this RFQ by delivering written notice to MOHCD setting forth with specificity the grounds for the objection no later than seven (7) calendar days after the date of the written notice to Respondent of MOHCD's determination of non-responsiveness. Failure to object in the manner and within the time set forth in this paragraph will constitute a complete and irrevocable waiver of any objection.
3. Selection of Development Team for Exclusive Negotiations. A Respondent may object to the selected Development Team and MOHCD Director's authorization to proceed with exclusive negotiations with such Development Team by delivering written notice to MOHCD setting forth with specificity the grounds for the objection by no later than seven (7) calendar days after the selected Development Team has been announced and made public by MOHCD. If a Respondent files a timely objection, the MOHCD Director will review such objection and respond in a timely manner, and MOHCD's authorization to enter into exclusive negotiations with the selected Development Team will not be binding until the MOHCD Director denies the objection. Failure to object in the manner and within the time set forth in this paragraph will constitute a complete and irrevocable waiver of any objection.
4. Delivery of Objections. Respondents must submit objections in writing, addressed to the person identified in this RFQ, and delivered to the MOHCD receptionist during business days between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. at 1 South Van Ness Avenue, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor by the dates specified above in order to be considered. Written

objections should be transmitted by a means that will provide written confirmation of the date MOHCD received the objections. If a written objection is delivered by US mail, the Respondent bears the risk of non-delivery by the deadlines specified above.

**E. CLAIMS AGAINST MOHCD**

No Respondent will obtain by its response to this RFQ, and separately by its response waives, any claim against MOHCD by reason of any or all of the following: any aspect of this RFQ, any part of the selection process, any informalities or defects in the selection process, the rejection of any or all proposals, the acceptance of any proposal, entering into exclusive negotiations, conditioning exclusive negotiations, terminating exclusive negotiations, approval or disapproval of plans or drawings, entering into any transaction documents, the failure to enter into a lease or lease disposition and development agreement, any statements, representations, acts, or omissions of MOHCD, the exercise of any discretion set forth in or concerning any of the above, and any other matters arising out of all or any of the above.

**F. SUNSHINE ORDINANCE**

In accordance with San Francisco Administrative Code Section 67.24(e), contractors' bids, responses to RFQ's and all other records of communications between the City and persons or firms seeking contracts shall be open to inspection immediately after a contract has been awarded. Nothing in this provision requires the disclosure of a private person's or organization's net worth or other proprietary financial data submitted for qualification for a contract or other benefits until and unless that person or organization is awarded the contract or benefit. Information provided which is covered by this paragraph will be made available to the public upon request.

**G. RESERVATIONS OF RIGHTS BY THE CITY**

1. The issuance of this RFQ and the selection of a developer pursuant to this RFQ are in no way a limitation of the discretion of any City board, commission, department, employee or official with respect to any review or approval required in connection with the proposed Development. The City's selection of a developer is in no way deemed to be the final approval of any Development proposed by the developer.
2. The information in this RFQ is provided solely for the convenience of respondents.
3. The City expressly reserves the right at any time to do waive or correct any defect or technical error in any response or procedure, as part of the RFQ or any subsequent negotiation process; reject any or all responses, without indicating the reasons for such rejection; cancel this RFQ at any time prior to award and reissue a Request for Qualifications; modify or suspend any and all aspects of the selection procedure, the scope of the proposed Development or the required responses, or the processes indicated in this RFQ; request that respondents clarify, supplement or modify the

information submitted; extend deadlines for accepting responses, or request amendments to responses after expiration of deadlines; negotiate with any, all or none of the respondents to this RFQ; make a selection based directly on the proposals, or negotiate further with one or more of the respondents; during negotiation, expand or contract the scope of the proposed Development, or otherwise alter the Development concept in order to respond to new information, community or environmental issues; if at any time prior to the execution of binding agreements with the selected Development Team, MOHCD, in its sole discretion, determines that the selected Development Team will be unable to proceed with a timely and feasible Development in accordance with this RFQ or that the agreement will not serve in the City's best interest, MOHCD may terminate negotiations with the selected Development Team and begin negotiations with the next highest ranked Respondent; or determine that no Development will be pursued.

4. The issuance of this RFQ does not obligate the City to pay any costs whatsoever incurred by any respondent, including but not limited to costs incurred in connection with the preparation or presentation of responses or negotiations with the City. Developer teams responding to this RFQ do so at their own expense.
5. The issuance of this RFQ is only an invitation to submit qualifications, and does not constitute an agreement by the City that any contract will actually be entered into by the City. This RFQ does not in any way limit the discretion of any City board, commission, employee or official with respect to any review or approval of any aspect of a proposed Development.
6. The City will not approve any ground lease for the Site that would allow for its development until there has been compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), and, as applicable, the National Environmental Protection Act (NEPA). If the proposed Development is found to cause significant adverse impacts, the City reserves absolute discretion to require additional environmental analysis, and to: (a) modify the Development to mitigate significant adverse environmental impacts; (b) select feasible alternatives which avoid significant adverse impacts of the proposed Development; or (c) reject or proceed with the Development as proposed, depending upon a finding of whether or not the economic and social benefits of the Development outweigh otherwise unavoidable significant adverse impacts of the Development.
7. The City reserves the right to disqualify any respondent to this RFQ based on any real or apparent conflict of interest that is disclosed by the responses submitted or on the basis of other information available to the City. The City may exercise this right in its sole discretion.

## **Exhibit A: Minimum Insurance Requirements**

### 1. Developer, Contractors.

(a) to the extent Developer or its contractors and subcontractors have "employees" as defined in the California Labor Code, workers' compensation insurance with employer's liability limits not less than One Million Dollars (\$1,000,000) each accident, injury or illness;

(b) commercial general liability insurance, with limits no less than One Million Dollars (\$1,000,000) combined single limit per occurrence and Two Million Dollars (\$2,000,000) annual aggregate limit for bodily injury and property damage, including coverage for contractual liability; personal injury; fire damage legal liability; advertisers' liability; owners' and contractors' protective liability; products and completed operations; broad form property damage; and explosion, collapse and underground (XCU) coverage during any period in which Developer is conducting any activity on, alteration or improvement to the Family Site with risk of explosions, collapse, or underground hazards;

(c) business automobile liability insurance, with limits not less than One Million Dollars (\$1,000,000) each occurrence, combined single limit for bodily injury and property damage, including owned, hired and non-owned auto coverage, as applicable;

(d) professional liability insurance of no less than One Million Dollars (\$1,000,000) per claim and Two Million Dollars (\$2,000,000) annual aggregate limit covering all negligent acts, errors and omissions of Developer's architects, engineers and surveyors. If the professional liability insurance provided by the architects, engineers, or surveyors is "Claims made" coverage, Developer shall assure that these minimum limits are maintained for no less than three (3) years beyond completion of the constructions or remodeling. Any deductible over Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$50,000) each claim must be reviewed by Risk Management; and

(e) a crime policy or fidelity bond covering Developer's officers and employees against dishonesty with respect to the Funds of no less than Seventy Five Thousand Dollars (\$75,000) each loss, with any deductible not to exceed Five Thousand Dollars (\$5,000) each loss, including the City as additional obligee or loss payee;

(f) pollution liability and/or asbestos pollution liability applicable to the work being performed with a limit no less than One Million Dollars (\$1,000,000) per claim or occurrence and Two Million Dollars (\$2,000,000) annual aggregate per policy. This coverage shall be endorsed to include Non-Owned Disposal Family Site coverage. This policy may be provided by the Developer's contractor, provided that the policy must be "claims made" coverage and Developer must require Developer's contractor to maintain these minimum limits for no less than three (3) years beyond completion of the construction or remodeling.

### 2. Property Insurance.



Developer must maintain, or cause its contractors and property managers, as appropriate for each, to maintain, insurance and bonds as follows:

(a) Prior to construction:

(i) Property insurance, excluding earthquake and flood, in the amount no less than One Hundred Percent (100%) of the replacement value of all improvements prior to commencement of construction and City property in the care, custody and control of the Developer or its contractor, including coverage in transit and storage off-Family Site; the cost of debris removal and demolition as may be made reasonably necessary by such perils, resulting damage and any applicable law, ordinance or regulation; start up, testing and machinery breakdown including electrical arcing; and with a deductible not to exceed Ten Thousand Dollars (\$10,000) each loss, including the City and all subcontractors as loss payees.

(b) During the course of construction:

(i) Builder's risk insurance, special form coverage, excluding earthquake and flood, for one hundred percent (100%) of the replacement value of all completed improvements and City property in the care, custody and control of the Developer or its contractor, including coverage in transit and storage off-Family Site; the cost of debris removal and demolition as may be made reasonably necessary by such covered perils, resulting damage and any applicable law, ordinance or regulation; start up, testing and machinery breakdown including electrical arcing, copy of the applicable endorsement to the Builder's Risk policy, if the Builder's Risk policy is issued on a declared-Development basis; and with a deductible not to exceed Ten Thousand Dollars (\$10,000) each loss, including the City and all subcontractors as loss payees.

(ii) Performance and payment bonds of contractors, each in the amount of One Hundred Percent (100%) of contract amounts, naming the City and Developer as dual obligees or other completion security approved by the City in its sole discretion.

(c) Upon completion of construction:

(i) Property insurance, excluding earthquake and flood, in the amount no less than One Hundred Percent (100%) of the replacement value of all completed improvements and City property in the care, custody and control of the Developer or its contractor. For rehabilitation/construction Developments that are unoccupied by residential or commercial tenants, Tenant must obtain Property Insurance by the date that the Development receives a Certificate of Substantial Completion.

(ii) Boiler and machinery insurance, comprehensive form, covering damage to, loss or destruction of machinery and equipment located on the Family Site that is used by Developer for heating, ventilating, air-conditioning, power generation and similar

purposes, in an amount not less than one hundred percent (100%) of the actual replacement value of such machinery and equipment with a deductible not to exceed Ten Thousand Dollars (\$10,000) each loss, including the City as loss payee.

The following notice is provided in accordance with the provisions of California Civil Code Section 2955.5: Under California law, no lender shall require a Developer, as a condition of receiving or maintaining a loan secured by real property, to provide hazard insurance coverage against risks to the improvements on that real property in an amount exceeding the replacement value of the improvements on the property.

3. Commercial Space.

Developer must require that all nonresidential tenants' liability insurance policies include Developer and the City as additional insureds, as their respective interests may appear. Throughout the term of any lease of Commercial Space in the Development, Developer must require commercial tenants to maintain insurance as follows:

(a) to the extent the tenant has "employees" as defined in the California Labor Code, workers' compensation insurance with employer's liability limits not less than One Million Dollars (\$1,000,000) each accident;

(b) commercial general liability insurance, with limits not less than One Million Dollars (\$1,000,000) each occurrence, combined single limit for bodily injury and property damage, including coverage for contractual liability; personal injury; advertisers' liability; including coverage for loss of income due to an insured peril for twelve (12) months; owners' and contractors' protective; broad form property damage; explosion, collapse and underground (XCU); products and completed operations coverage;

(c) business automobile liability insurance, with limits not less than One Million Dollars (\$1,000,000) each occurrence, combined single limit for bodily injury and property damage, including owned, hired and non-owned auto coverage, as applicable;

(d) with respect to any tenant who has (or is required by Law to have) a liquor license and who is selling or distributing alcoholic beverages and/or food products on the leased premises, to maintain liquor and/or food products liability coverage with limits not less than One Million Dollars (\$1,000,000), as appropriate;

(e) special form coverage insurance, including vandalism and malicious mischief, in the amount of 100% of the full replacement cost thereof, covering all furnishings, fixtures, equipment, leasehold improvements, alterations and property of every kind of the tenant and of persons claiming through the tenant; and

(f) full coverage plate glass insurance covering any plate glass on the commercial space.

4. General Requirements.

(a) General and automobile liability policies of Developer, contractors, commercial tenants and property managers must include the City, including its Boards, commissions, officers, agents and employees, as an additional insured by endorsement acceptable to the City.

(b) All policies required by this Agreement must be endorsed to provide no less than thirty (30) days' written notice to the City before cancellation or intended non-renewal is effective.

(c) With respect to any property insurance, Developer hereby waives all rights of subrogation against the City to the extent of any loss covered by Developer's insurance, except to the extent subrogation would affect the scope or validity of insurance.

(d) Approval of Developer's insurance by the City will not relieve or decrease the liability of Developer under this Agreement.

(e) Any and all insurance policies called for herein must contain a clause providing that the City and its officers, agents and employees will not be liable for any required premium.

(f) The City reserves the right to require an increase in insurance coverage in the event the City determines that conditions show cause for an increase, unless Developer demonstrates to the City's satisfaction that the increased coverage is commercially unreasonable and unavailable to Developer.

(g) All liability policies must provide that the insurance is primary to any other insurance available to the additional insureds with respect to claims arising out of this Agreement, and that insurance applies separately to each insured against whom claim is made or suit is brought and that an act of omission of one of the named insureds that would void or otherwise reduce coverage will not void or reduce coverage as to any other insured, but the inclusion of more than one insured will not operate to increase the insurer's limit of liability.

(h) Any policy in a form of coverage that includes a general annual aggregate limit or provides that claims investigation or legal defense costs are included in the general annual aggregate limit must be in amounts that are double the occurrence or claims limits specified above.

(i) All claims based on acts, omissions, injury or damage occurring or arising in whole or in part during the policy period must be covered. If any required insurance is


provided under a claims-made policy, coverage must be maintained continuously for a period ending no less than three (3) years after recordation of a notice of completion for builder's risk or the Compliance Term for general liability and property insurance.

(j) Developer must provide the City with copies of endorsements for each required insurance policy and make each policy available for inspection and copying promptly upon request.

## **VI. APPENDICES**

# Exhibit 1

## Map of the Site



**Attachment 1**

**RFQ Submittal Checklist**

See attached spreadsheet

**Attachment 2**

**RFQ Registration Form**

600 7th Street

Name of Organization:

Address:

Contact Person:

Phone:

Email:



**Attachment 3**

**Respondent Description**

See attached document

**Attachment 4**

**Qualifying Project Form**

See attached document

**Attachment 5**

**Financing Terms for Developer's Qualifying Project**

See attached document

**Attachment 6**

**Projected Staffing Workload Form**

See attached spreadsheet

## Attachment 7

### Disclosures

**Instructions:** *Please respond completely to each question below. If the Respondent<sup>i</sup> is an individual, then the information relative to that individual should be disclosed. If the Respondent is a group or joint venture, then information relative to each member of the group or entities that comprise the joint venture should be disclosed. If the Respondent is a corporation, then the information relative to the corporation should be disclosed.*

1. Has Respondent ever defaulted on a loan or other financial obligation? This includes all affiliate corporations and partnerships in which Respondent is or was a general partner. If so, please describe the circumstances including dates and current status:
2. Are there any prior or pending legal proceedings, actions, convictions or judgments that have been filed against Respondent or its wholly owned subsidiaries, or any prior or pending arbitrations or mediations? If so, provide dates the complaints were filed and the present status of the litigation or the status of the arbitrations or mediations:
3. Are there any prior or pending administrative complaints/hearings against or any debarment or suspensions of or other administrative determinations by any federal, state or local government entity relating to Respondent, against any of Respondent's affiliated corporations or partnerships in which Respondent is a general partner, or other business entity? If so, please describe the circumstances including dates, agency or body conducting the investigation or inquiry and the current status:
4. Has Respondent or its wholly owned subsidiaries ever filed for bankruptcy? Please include dates and jurisdiction of filing, the reason, and current status:
5. Describe any business, property, gifts, loans, investments or other financial relationships Respondent, or its individual principals, corporation, LLC, LLP, affiliated corporations or partnerships in which Respondent is a general partner, may have with any senior staff of the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development (MOHCD) or any member of the Citywide Affordable Housing Loan Committee or his/her immediate family which are considered a financial interest as defined by Section 87103 of the Fair Political Practices Act.<sup>ii</sup>

Respondent hereby certifies under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that all information provided in this Disclosure questionnaire is true and correct.

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_

---

<sup>i</sup> For the purposes of this RFQ, the term “Respondent” shall mean the respondent to this RFQ regardless of legal form. Thus Respondent applies to individuals, sole proprietorships, joint ventures, unincorporated associations, partnerships, LLCs, LLPs, corporations (whether for profit, nonprofit, California or out of state) and any other entity legally entitled to do business in the State of California.

<sup>ii</sup> In summary Government Code Section 87100 requires any public officials participating in making decisions to refrain from using their official position to influence a governmental decision in which they know or has reason to know they have a financial interest. Section 87103 defines a financial interest as one that has a material, financial effect on the official or a member of their immediate family as follows: business interest – over \$2,000; real property interest – over \$2,000; other source of income within 12 months before the decision – over \$500; gift or intermediary for donor of gift within 12 months - \$250; business entity in which the official is a director, officer, partner, trustee, employee or holds a position of management. See Government Code Section 87103 for the complete definition.