STATUTORY WORKSHEET

[HUD Region IX Recommended Format -Revised 2011- previous versions are obsolete]

Use this worksheet only for projects that are Categorically Excluded per 24 CFR Section 58.35(a).
(Note: Compliance with the laws and statutes listed at 24 CFR §58.6 must also be documented).

24 CFR §58.5 STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORDERS & REGULATIONS

PROJECT NAME and DESCRIPTION - Include all contemplated actions that logically are either geographically or functionally part of the project:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency:</th>
<th>Board of Trustees of the Glide Foundation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project Site Address:</td>
<td>330 Ellis Street San Francisco, CA 94102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APN:</td>
<td>0324/027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of Initial Construction:</td>
<td>1930</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Description:</td>
<td>Replace roof at a multipurpose community facility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HUD Program Funding</td>
<td>$60,000 CDBG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Project Cost</td>
<td>$71,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This proposal is determined to be categorically excluded according to 24 CFR 58.35(a)(3)(iii): In the case of non-residential structures, including commercial, industrial, and public buildings:

A. The facilities and improvements are in place and will not be changed in size or capacity by more than 20 percent; and

B. The activity does not involve a change in land use, such as from non-residential to residential, commercial to industrial, or from one industrial use to another.

DIRECTIONS - Write "A" in the Status Column when the proposal, by its scope and nature, requires no mitigation or formal consultation in order to be in compliance with the related laws and regulations; OR write "B" if the project triggers formal compliance consultation procedures with the oversight agency, or requires mitigation. Regardless of whether "A" or "B" is noted, the compliance determination must be recorded and credible, traceable and supportive source documentation must be supplied. (Refer to the "Statutory Worksheet Instructions").

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Historic Preservation</th>
<th>B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[36 CFR Part 800]</td>
<td>The building was initially constructed in 1930 and, as such, is more than 50 years old.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The undertaking consists of tenant improvements which include:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Replace roof at a multipurpose community facility.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The San Francisco Mayor’s Office of Housing and Community Development has reviewed the project under the 2007 Programmatic Agreement and determined that the undertaking is exempt from review by the SHPO or ACHP per Stipulation IV, Paragraph C, referencing Appendix A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>These activities are listed in Appendix A of the Programmatic Agreement list of activities which require only administrative review by the City and County of San Francisco and not the SHPO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No Historic Properties are Adversely Affected.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Source Documents:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>City and County of San Francisco. Programmatic Agreement by and among the City and County of San Francisco, the California State Historic Preservation Officer, and the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation Regarding Historic Properties Affected by Use of Revenue from the Department of Housing and Urban Development Part 58 Programs. January 19, 2007;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Floodplain Management</th>
<th>A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[24 CFR 55, Executive Order 11988]</td>
<td>No finalized flood hazard zones have been mapped by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) in San Francisco.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Draft maps indicate that the project site is not within or near a flood zone. The project is neither within a known FEMA floodplain nor within the preliminary Flood Insurance Rate Map prepared for the City and County of San Francisco on September 21, 2007. The project would not involve either direct or indirect support of development in a floodplain.

**Source Documents:**


---

**Wetland Protection**
*Executive Order 11990*  

The project activities are not located near any coastal, riparian, or bayfront wetlands. Therefore, the Proposed Action would not affect wetland or riparian areas.

**Source Document:**  

---

**Coastal Zone Management Act**  
*Sections 307(c), (d)*  

The San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission (BCDC) has permit authority over San Francisco Bay and lands located within 100 feet of the Bay shoreline. BCDC’s San Francisco Bay Plan is the Coastal Zone Management Program for the San Francisco Bay Segment of the California Coastal Zone Management Program, pursuant to the Federal Coastal Zone Management Act (CZMA). Under the CZMA, projects requiring federal approval or funding must, to the maximum extent practicable, be consistent with a state’s coastal management program if the project would affect the coastal zone.

The project site is located more than 100 feet from the San Francisco Bay shoreline; therefore, no formal finding of consistency with the San Francisco Bay Plan is required. The project activity does not involve activity within a Coastal Zone Management Area (CZM) area.

**Source Documents:**  


Google Earth Map

---

**Sole Source Aquifers**  
*40 CFR 149*  

The project is not served by a US EPA designated sole-source aquifer, is not located within a sole-source aquifer watershed, and would not affect a sole-source aquifer subject to the HUD EPA MOU.

**Source Documents:**  
| Endangered Species Act [50 CFR 402] | A | The project activity involves a previously developed urban property and thus would have no effect on any natural habitats or federally protected species. The project site is entirely developed and therefore does not support these species’ habitat requirements.

Source Documents:
City of San Francisco Planning Department Property Information Map, [http://cc2.50.17.237.182.compute-1.amazonaws.com/PIM/?dept=planning](http://cc2.50.17.237.182.compute-1.amazonaws.com/PIM/?dept=planning), site accessed on July 8, 2014.
| Wild and Scenic Rivers Act [Sections 7(b), and (c)] | A | No wild and scenic rivers are located within the City and County of San Francisco.

Source Document:
| Clean Air Act - [Sections 176(c), (d), and 40 CFR 6, 51, 93] | B | The project does not involve acquisition of undeveloped land, a change in land use, major rehabilitation that would cost 75% or more of the property value, or new construction. The project does not meet thresholds for review by the Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD) for air quality impacts, as it is minor in nature; thus, the project conforms to the State Implementation Plan (SIP).

The building was constructed in 1930, before the 1978 federal ban on friable asbestos-containing building materials and lead-containing paints. Therefore, project activities could result in a release of these materials.

Removal of asbestos materials would comply with the National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants and the Bay Area Air Quality Management District Regulation 11, Rule 2.

The project has the potential to disturb lead-based paint. Construction activities that disturb materials or paints containing any amount of lead are subject to certain requirements of the Cal/OSHA lead standard contained in Title 8, CCR Section 1532.1. Deteriorated paint is defined to be Title 17, CCR, Division 1, Chapter 8, Section 35022 as a presumed lead-based paint that is cracking, chalking, chipping, peeling, non-intact, failed, or otherwise separating from a component. Demolition of a deteriorated lead containing paint component would require waste characterization and appropriate disposal.

In addition, lead-based paint remediation and stabilization associated with the proposed project will comply with the HUD Lead Safe Housing Rule 24 CFR Part 35, Subpart R – Methods and Standards for Lead-Paint Hazard Evaluation and Hazard Reduction Activities. Subpart R provides standards and methods for evaluation and hazard reduction activities required in subparts B, C, D, and F through M of 24 CFR Part 35. |
Farmland Protection Policy Act
[7 CFR 658]

A The project consists of urban land; therefore, the project would not affect farmlands. There are no protected farmlands in the City and County of San Francisco.

Source Documents:

Environmental Justice
[Executive Order 12898]

A The project would not result in disproportionately adverse environmental effects on minority or low income populations.

Source Documents:


HUD ENVIRONMENTAL STANDARDS
Noise Abatement and Control
[24 CFR 51B]

A The project would not create new noise sources and would have no noise impacts under HUD guidelines. The project does lie within 15 miles of San Francisco International Airport, but because the project would not significantly expand existing operations, this airport noise would not have an effect on the area. The project would create new noise sources only during construction activities.

Source Documents:

United States Department of Housing and Urban Development. Environmental Criteria and Standards. 24 CFR Part 51
Explosive and Flammable Operations
[24 CFR 51C]

A The project will not result in an increased number of people being exposed to hazardous operations by increasing residential densities, converting the type of use of a building to habitation, or making a vacant building habitable. The project does not involve explosive or flammable materials or operations.

Source Documents:
United States Department of Housing and Urban Development. Environmental Criteria and Standards. 24 CFR Part 51
San Francisco Department of Public Health List of Above Ground Storage Tanks in San Francisco,

Hazardous, Toxic or Radioactive Materials & Substances
[24 CFR 50.5(i)(2)]

A The project site and the surrounding area were reviewed for documented use, storage, and/or release of toxic, hazardous and radioactive substances via the California State Water Resources Bear GeoTracker Website, the California Department of Toxic Substances Control Envirostor website and the EPA NEPAssist website.

Based upon a review of data from these sites the reviewer has determined that the subject and adjacent properties are free of hazardous materials, contamination, toxic chemicals, gasses and radioactive substances which could affect the health or safety of occupants or conflict with the intended use of the subject property.

The search of GeoTracker returned 188 LUST sites within a half mile of the project site, all of which are closed.

A search using NEPAssist returned 24 small quantity RCRA generators within a half mile of the site. All 24 sites are in compliance with applicable regulatory authorities and no violations are listed.

A search using the California Department of Toxic Substances Control Envirostor website returned no results.

Source Document:
California State Water Resources Control Board GeoTracker Website;

EPA NEPAssist website:

California Department of Toxic Substances Control Envirostor website:
Airport Clear Zones and Accident Potential Zones [24 CFR 51D]

A The project does not lie within an Airport Clear Zone or Accident Potential Zone.

Source Document:

DETERMINATION:

☐ This project converts to EXEMPT, per Section 58.34(a)(12), because it does not require any mitigation for compliance with any listed statutes or authorities, nor requires any formal permit or license (Status "A" has been determined in the status column for all authorities); **Funds may be committed and drawn down** for this (now) EXEMPT project; OR

☒ This project cannot convert to Exempt status because one or more statutes or authorities require formal consultation or mitigation. Complete consultation/mitigation protocol requirements, **publish NOI/RROF and obtain Authority to Use Grant Funds** (HUD 7015.16) per Section 58.70 and 58.71 before committing or drawing down funds; OR

☐ The unusual circumstances of this project may result in a significant environmental impact. This project requires preparation of an Environmental Assessment (EA). Prepare the EA according to 24 CFR Part 58 Subpart E.

PREPARER SIGNATURE: ______________________ DATE: July 8, 2014

PREPARER NAME, COMPANY: Eugene P. Flannery, Environmental Compliance Manager, MOHCD

RESPONSIBLE ENTITY AGENCY OFFICIAL / SIGNATURE: ______________________

NAME, TITLE: Brian Cheu, Director, Community Development Division, Mayor’s Office of Housing and Community Development, City and County of San Francisco
DATE: July 9, 2014
**STATUTORY WORKSHEET**

[HUD Region IX Recommended Format - Revised 2011 - previous versions are obsolete]

Use this worksheet only for projects that are Categorically Excluded per 24 CFR Section 58.35(a).

(Note: Compliance with the laws and statutes listed at 24 CFR §58.6 must also be documented.)

**24 CFR §58.5 STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORDERS & REGULATIONS**

**PROJECT NAME and DESCRIPTION** - Include all contemplated actions that logically are either geographically or functionally part of the project:

| Agency: | Boys & Girls Clubs of San Francisco |
| Project Site Address: | 450 Guerrero San Francisco, CA 94110 |
| APN: | 3567/007 |
| Date of Initial Construction: | 1955 |
| Project Description: | Replace heating unit in a community youth center |
| HUD Program Funding | $44,550 CDBG |
| Total Project Cost | $44,500 |

This proposal is determined to be categorically excluded according to 24 CFR 58.35(a)(3)(iii): In the case of non-residential structures, including commercial, industrial, and public buildings:

A. The facilities and improvements are in place and will not be changed in size or capacity by more than 20 percent; and

B. The activity does not involve a change in land use, such as from non-residential to residential, commercial to industrial, or from one industrial use to another.

**DIRECTIONS** - Write “A” in the Status Column when the proposal, by its scope and nature, requires no mitigation or formal consultation in order to be in compliance with the related laws and regulations; OR write “B” if the project triggers formal compliance consultation procedures with the oversight agency, or requires mitigation. Regardless of whether “A” or “B” is noted, the compliance determination must be recorded and credible, traceable and supportive source documentation must be supplied. (Refer to the "Statutory Worksheet Instructions").

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Historic Preservation [36 CFR Part 800]</th>
<th>B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The building, initially constructed in 1955, is more than 50 years old.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The undertaking consists of tenant improvements which include:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replace heating unit in a community youth center</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This activity is listed in Appendix A of the Programmatic Agreement list of activities which require only administrative review by the City and County of San Francisco and not the SHPO.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The San Francisco Mayor’s Office of Housing and Community Development has reviewed the project under the 2007 Programmatic Agreement and determined that the undertaking is exempt from review by the SHPO or ACHP per Stipulation IV, Paragraph C, referencing Appendix A.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Historic Properties are Adversely Affected.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source Documents:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City and County of San Francisco, Programmatic Agreement by and among the City and County of San Francisco, the California State Historic Preservation Officer, and the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation Regarding Historic Properties Affected by Use of Revenue from the Department of Housing and Urban Development Part 58 Programs. January 19, 2007;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Floodplain Management
**[24 CFR 55, Executive Order 11988]**

The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) prepares Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs) that identify areas subject to flood inundation, most often from a flood having a one percent chance of occurrence in a given year (also known as a "base flood" or "100-year flood").

FEMA refers to the portion of the floodplain or coastal area that is at risk from floods of this magnitude as a Special Flood Hazard Area (SFHA). No finalized flood hazard zones have been mapped by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) in San Francisco.

Draft maps indicate that the project site is not within or near a flood zone. The project is neither within a known FEMA floodplain nor within the preliminary Flood Insurance Rate Map prepared for the City and County of San Francisco on September 21, 2007. The project would not involve either direct or indirect support of development in a floodplain.

**Source Documents:**

**United States Federal Emergency Management Administration.** FEMA Issued Flood Maps, San Francisco County. [Internet Web Site: http://www.fema.gov/webapp/wcs/stores/servlet/MapSearchResult?storeId=10001&catalogId=10001&langId=-1&userType=G&panelIDs=&Type=php&nonprintable=&unmapped=UNMAPPED_060298|060298|SAN+FRANCISCO%20&CITY%2FSAN+FRANCISCO](http://www.fema.gov/webapp/wcs/stores/servlet/MapSearchResult?storeId=10001&catalogId=10001&langId=-1&userType=G&panelIDs=&Type=php&nonprintable=&unmapped=UNMAPPED_060298|060298|SAN+FRANCISCO%20&CITY%2FSAN+FRANCISCO). Accessed on July 8, 2014.

### Wetland Protection
**[Executive Order 11990]**

The project activities are not located near any coastal, riparian, or bayfront wetlands. Therefore, the Proposed Action would not affect wetland or riparian areas.

**Source Documents:**

### Coastal Zone Management Act
**[Sections 307(c). (d)]**

The San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission (BCDC) has permit authority over San Francisco Bay and lands located within 100 feet of the Bay shoreline. BCDC’s San Francisco Bay Plan is the Coastal Zone Management Program for the San Francisco Bay Segment of the California Coastal Zone Management Program, pursuant to the Federal Coastal Zone Management Act (CZMA). Under the CZMA, projects requiring federal approval or funding must, to the maximum extent practicable, be consistent with a state’s coastal management program if the project would affect the coastal zone.

The project site is located more than 100 feet from the San Francisco Bay shoreline; therefore, no formal finding of consistency with the San Francisco Bay Plan is required. The project activity does not involve activity within a Coastal Zone Management Area (CZM) area.

**Source Documents:**

- "United States National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. State Coastal Zone Boundaries, California."
| Sole Source Aquifers  
| [40 CFR 149] | A | The project is not served by a US EPA designated sole-source aquifer, is not located within a sole-source aquifer watershed, and would not affect a sole-source aquifer subject to the HUD EPA MOU.  
| **Source Documents:**  
| Endangered Species Act  
| [50 CFR 402] | A | The project activity involves a previously developed urban property and thus would have no effect on any natural habitats or federally protected species. The project site is entirely developed and therefore does not support these species' habitat requirements.  
| **Source Documents:**  
| Wild and Scenic Rivers Act  
| [Sections 7(b), and (c)] | A | No wild and scenic rivers are located within the City and County of San Francisco.  
| **Source Documents:**  
| Clean Air Act - [Sections 176(c), (d), and 40 CFR 6, 51, 93] | B | The project does not involve acquisition of undeveloped land, a change in land use, major rehabilitation that would cost 75% or more of the property value, or new construction. The project does not meet thresholds for review by the Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD) for air quality impacts, as it is minor in nature; thus, the project conforms to the State Implementation Plan (SIP).  
| The building was constructed in 1955, before the 1978 federal bans on friable asbestos-containing building materials and lead-containing paints. Therefore, project activities could result in a release of these materials.  
| Removal of asbestos materials would comply with the National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants and the Bay Area Air Quality Management District Regulation 11, Rule 2. |  


Google Earth Map
The project has the potential to disturb lead-based paint. Construction activities that disturb materials or paints containing any amount of lead are subject to certain requirements of the Cal/OSHA lead standard contained in Title 8, CCR Section 1532.1. Deteriorated paint is defined to be Title 17, CCR, Division 1, Chapter 8, Section 3522 as a presumed lead-based paint that is cracking, chalking, chipping, peeling, non-intact, failed, or otherwise separating from a component. Demolition of a deteriorated lead containing paint component would require waste characterization and appropriate disposal.

In addition, lead-based paint remediation and stabilization associated with the proposed project will comply with the HUD Lead Safe Housing Rule 24 CFR Part 35. Subpart R – Methods and Standards for Lead-Paint Hazard Evaluation and Hazard Reduction Activities. Subpart R provides standards and methods for evaluation and hazard reduction activities required in subparts B, C, D, and F through M of 24 CFR Part 35.

**Source Documents:**


| Farmland Protection Policy Act [7 CFR 658] | A | The project consists of urban land; therefore, the project would not affect farmlands. There are no protected farmlands in the City and County of San Francisco. |
| Environmental Justice [Executive Order 12898] | A | The project would not result in disproportionately adverse environmental effects on minority or low income populations. |
| HUD ENVIRONMENTAL STANDARDS Noise Abatement and Control [24 CFR 51B] | A | The project would not create new noise sources and would have no noise impacts under HUD guidelines. The project does lie within 15 miles of San Francisco International Airport, but because the project would not significantly expand existing operations, this airport noise would not have an effect on the area. The project would create new |
| **Explosive and Flammable Operations**<br>(24 CFR 51C) | A | The project will not result in an increased number of people being exposed to hazardous operations by increasing residential densities, converting the type of use of a building to habitation, or making a vacant building habitable. The project does not involve explosive or flammable materials or operations.  

**Source Documents:**  
United States Department of Housing and Urban Development. Environmental Criteria and Standards. 24 CFR Part 51  
San Francisco Department of Public Health List of Above Ground Storage Tanks in San Francisco,  

---

| **Hazardous, Toxic or Radioactive Materials & Substances**<br>(24 CFR 58.5(i)(2)) | A | The project site and the surrounding area were reviewed for documented use, storage, and/or release of toxic, hazardous and radioactive substances via the California State Water Resources Board GeoTracker website, the California Department of Toxic Substances Control Envirostar website and the EPA NEPAssist website.  

Based upon a review of data from the California State Water Board’s GeoTracker website and NEPAssist the reviewer has determined that the subject and adjacent properties are free of hazardous materials, contamination, toxic chemicals, gasses and radioactive substances which could affect the health or safety of occupants or conflict with the intended use of the subject property.  

The search of GeoTracker returned 101 LUST sites within a half mile of the project site. Of these 4 are open undergoing assessment and remedial action; one is open and eligible for closure; another is open and undergoing assessment, 95 of the sites are closed.  

A search using NEPAssist returned 20 small quantity RCRA generators within a half mile of the site. All 20 sites are in compliance with applicable regulatory authorities and no violations are listed.  

A search using the California Department of Toxic Substances Control Envirostar website returned no results.  

**Start Here**  
**Source Documents:**  
California State Water Resources Control Board GeoTracker Website; [http://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/map/?CMD=runreport&myaddress=450+Guerrero+Street+San+Francisco+CA](http://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/map/?CMD=runreport&myaddress=450+Guerrero+Street+San+Francisco+CA)  
Site accessed July 8, 2014.  

EPA NEPAssist website:
Airport Clear Zones and Accident Potential Zones [24 CFR 51D]

A

The project does not lie within an Airport Clear Zone or Accident Potential Zone.


DETERMINATION:

☐ This project converts to EXEMPT, per Section 58.34(a)(12), because it does not require any mitigation for compliance with any listed statutes or authorities, nor requires any formal permit or license (Status "A" has been determined in the status column for all authorities); Funds may be committed and drawn down for this (now) EXEMPT project; OR

☒ This project cannot convert to Exempt status because one or more statutes or authorities require formal consultation or mitigation. Complete consultation/mitigation protocol requirements, publish NOI/RROF and obtain Authority to Use Grant Funds (HUD 7015.16) per Section 58.70 and 58.71 before committing or drawing down funds; OR

☐ The unusual circumstances of this project may result in a significant environmental impact. This project requires preparation of an Environmental Assessment (EA). Prepare the EA according to 24 CFR Part 58 Subpart E.

PREPARER SIGNATURE: ______________________ DATE: July 8, 2014

PREPARER NAME, COMPANY: Eugene T. Flannery, Environmental Compliance Manager, MOHCD

RESPONSIBLE ENTITY AGENCY OFFICIAL / SIGNATURE: ______________________

NAME, TITLE: Brian Cheu, Director, Community Development Division, Mayor’s Office of Housing and Community Development, City and County of San Francisco

DATE: July 9, 2014
STATUTORY WORKSHEET

[HUD Region IX Recommended Format -Revised 2011- previous versions are obsolete]

Use this worksheet only for projects that are Categorically Excluded per 24 CFR Section 58.35(a).
(Note: Compliance with the laws and statutes listed at 24 CFR §58.6 must also be documented).

24 CFR §58.5 STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORDERS & REGULATIONS

PROJECT NAME and DESCRIPTION - Include all contemplated actions that logically are either geographically or functionally part of the project:

| Agency: Boys & Girls Clubs of San Francisco | |
| Project Site Address: 163 London San Francisco, CA 94112 |
| APN: 6012/005 |
| Date of Initial Construction: 1900 |
| Project Description: Rehabilitation of a drain line in a community youth center |
| HUD Program Funding: $40,920 CDBG |
| Total Project Cost: |

This proposal is determined to be categorically excluded according to 24 CFR 58.35(a)(3)(iii): In the case of non-residential structures, including commercial, industrial, and public buildings:
A. The facilities and improvements are in place and will not be changed in size or capacity by more than 20 percent; and
B. The activity does not involve a change in land use, such as from non-residential to residential, commercial to industrial, or from one industrial use to another.

DIRECTIONS - Write "A" in the Status Column when the proposal, by its scope and nature, requires no mitigation or formal consultation in order to be in compliance with the related laws and regulations; OR write "B" if the project triggers formal compliance consultation procedures with the oversight agency, or requires mitigation. Regardless of whether "A" or "B" is noted, the compliance determination must be recorded and credible, traceable and supportive source documentation must be supplied. (Refer to the "Statutory Worksheet Instructions".)

| Historic Preservation [36 CFR Part 800] | B |
| The building was initially constructed in 1900 and, as such, is more than 50 years old. |
| The undertaking consists of tenant improvements which include: |
| Rehabilitation of a drain line in a community youth center |
| This activity is listed in Appendix A of the Programmatic Agreement list of activities which require only administrative review by the City and County of San Francisco and not the SHPO. |
| The San Francisco Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development has reviewed the project under the 2007 Programmatic Agreement and determined that the undertaking is exempt from review by the SHPO or ACHP per Stipulation IV, Paragraph C, referencing Appendix A. |
| No Historic Properties are Adversely Affected. |
| Source Documents:
City and County of San Francisco. Programmatic Agreement by and among the City and County of San Francisco, the California State Historic Preservation Officer, and the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation Regarding Historic Properties Affected by Use of Revenue from the Department of Housing and Urban Development Part 58 Programs. January 19, 2007;
City of San Francisco Planning Department Property Information Map, http://ec2-50-17-237-182.compute-1.amazonaws.com/PIM/?dept=planning#BookmarkParcels, site accessed on July 8, 2014; |

| Floodplain Management [24 CFR 55, Executive Order 11988] | A |
| The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) |
prepares Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs) that identify areas subject to flood inundation, most often from a flood having a one percent chance of occurrence in a given year (also known as a “base flood” or “100-year flood”).

FEMA refers to the portion of the floodplain or coastal area that is at risk from floods of this magnitude as a Special Flood Hazard Areas (SFHA). No finalized flood hazard zones have been mapped by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) in San Francisco.

Draft maps indicate that the project site is not within or near a flood zone. The project is neither within a known FEMA floodplain nor within the preliminary Flood Insurance Rate Map prepared for the City and County of San Francisco on September 21, 2007. The project would not involve either direct or indirect support of development in a floodplain.

Source Documents:


Wetland Protection [Executive Order 11990]

The project activities are not located near any coastal, riparian, or bayfront wetlands. Therefore, the Proposed Action would not affect wetland or riparian areas.

Source Document:

Coastal Zone Management Act [Sections 307(c), (d)]

The San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission (BCDC) has permit authority over San Francisco Bay and lands located within 100 feet of the Bay shoreline. BCDC’s San Francisco Bay Plan is the Coastal Zone Management Program for the San Francisco Bay Segment of the California Coastal Zone Management Program, pursuant to the Federal Coastal Zone Management Act (CZMA). Under the CZMA, projects requiring federal approval or funding must, to the maximum extent practicable, be consistent with a state’s coastal management program if the project would affect the coastal zone.

The project site is located more than 100 feet from the San Francisco Bay shoreline; therefore, no formal finding of consistency with the San Francisco Bay Plan is required. The project activity does not involve activity within a Coastal Zone Management Area (CZMA) area.

Source Documents:

United States National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. State Coastal Zone Boundaries, California. Internet Web Site:
| **Sole Source Aquifers** [40 CFR 149] | A | The project is not served by a US EPA designated sole-source aquifer, is not located within a sole-source aquifer watershed, and would not affect a sole-source aquifer subject to the HUD EPA MOU.  
**Source Documents:**  
| **Endangered Species Act** [50 CFR 402] | A | The project activity involves a previously developed urban property and thus would have no effect on any natural habitats or federally protected species. The project site is entirely developed and therefore does not support these species' habitat requirements.  
**Source Documents:**  
| **Wild and Scenic Rivers Act** [Sections 7(b), and (c)] | A | No wild and scenic rivers are located within the City and County of San Francisco.  
**Source Document:**  
| **Clean Air Act** - [Sections 176(c), (d), and 40 CFR 6, 51, 93] | B | The project does not involve acquisition of undeveloped land, a change in land use, major rehabilitation that would cost 75% or more of the property value, or new construction. The project does not meet thresholds for review by the Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD) for air quality impacts, as it is minor in nature; thus, the project conforms to the State Implementation Plan (SIP).  
The building was constructed in 1900, before the 1978 federal bans on friable asbestos-containing building materials and lead-containing paints. Therefore, project activities could result in a release of these materials.  
Removal of asbestos materials would comply with the National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants and the Bay Area Air Quality Management District Regulation 11, Rule 2.  
The project has the potential to disturb lead-based paint. |
Construction activities that disturb materials or paints containing any amount of lead are subject to certain requirements of the Cal/OSHA lead standard contained in Title 8, CCR Section 1532.1. Deteriorated paint is defined to be Title 17, CCR, Division 1, Chapter 8, Section 35022 as a presumed lead-based paint that is cracking, chalking, chipping, peeling, non-intact, failed, or otherwise separating from a component. Demolition of a deteriorated lead containing paint component would require waste characterization and appropriate disposal.

In addition, lead-based paint remediation and stabilization associated with the proposed project will comply with the HUD Lead Safe Housing Rule 24 CFR Part 35, Subpart R – Methods and Standards for Lead-Paint Hazard Evaluation and Hazard Reduction Activities. Subpart R provides standards and methods for evaluation and hazard reduction activities required in subparts B, C, D, and F through M of 24 CFR Part 35.

**Source Documents:**


| Farmland Protection Policy Act [7 CFR 658] | A | The project consists of urban land; therefore, the project would not affect farmlands. There are no protected farmlands in the City and County of San Francisco. |
| Environmental Justice [Executive Order 12898] | A | The project would not result in disproportionately adverse environmental effects on minority or low income populations. |
| **HUD ENVIRONMENTAL STANDARDS** Noise Abatement and Control [24 CFR 51B] | A | The project would not create new noise sources and would have no noise impacts under HUD guidelines. The project does lie within 15 miles of San Francisco International Airport, but because the project would not significantly expand existing operations, this airport noise would not have an effect on the area. The project would create new... |
| **Explosive and Flammable Operations**  
**[24 CFR 51C]** | **A** | The project will not result in an increased number of people being exposed to hazardous operations by increasing residential densities, converting the type of use of a building to habitation, or making a vacant building habitable. The project does not involve explosive or flammable materials or operations.  
**Source Documents:**  
United States Department of Housing and Urban Development. Environmental Criteria and Standards. 24 CFR Part 51  
San Francisco Department of Public Health List of Above Ground Storage Tanks in San Francisco,  

| **Hazardous, Toxic or Radioactive Materials & Substances**  
**[24 CFR 58.5(i)(2)]** | **A** | The project site and the surrounding area were reviewed for documented use, storage, and/or release of toxic, hazardous and radioactive substances via the California State Water Resources Board GeoTracker Website, the California Department of Toxic Substances Control Envirotar website and the EPA NEPAssist website. Based upon a review of data from the California State Water Board’s GeoTracker website and NEPAssist the reviewer has determined that the subject and adjacent properties are free of hazardous materials, contamination, toxic chemicals, gasses and radioactive substances which could affect the health or safety of occupants or conflict with the intended use of the subject property. The search of GeoTracker returned 20 LUST sites within a half mile of the project site. Of these 20 sites; 18 are closed; one is open and undergoing assessment and the last is open for verification monitoring. A search using NEPAssist returned 5 small quantity RCRA generators within a half mile of the site. All 5 sites are in compliance with applicable regulatory authorities and no violations are listed. A search using the California Department of Toxic Substances Control Envirotar website returned no results.  
**Source Document:**  
California State Water Resources Control Board GeoTracker Website;  
EPA NEPAssist website:  
Airport Clear Zones and Accident Potential Zones [24 CFR 51D]

A

The project does not lie within an Airport Clear Zone or Accident Potential Zone.

Source Document:

DETERMINATION:

☐ This project converts to EXEMPT, per Section 58.34(a)(12), because it does not require any mitigation for compliance with any listed statutes or authorities, nor requires any formal permit or license (Status "A" has been determined in the status column for all authorities); Funds may be committed and drawn down for this (now) EXEMPT project; OR

☒ This project cannot convert to Exempt status because one or more statutes or authorities require formal consultation or mitigation. Complete consultation/mitigation protocol requirements, publish NOI/RROF and obtain Authority to Use Grant Funds (HUD 7015.16) per Section 58.70 and 58.71 before committing or drawing down funds; OR

☐ The unusual circumstances of this project may result in a significant environmental impact. This project requires preparation of an Environmental Assessment (EA). Prepare the EA according to 24 CFR Part 58 Subpart E.

PREPARER SIGNATURE: ______________________________ DATE: July 8, 2014

PREPARER NAME, COMPANY: Eugene T. Flannery, Environmental Compliance Manager, MOHCD

RESPONSIBLE ENTITY AGENCY OFFICIAL / SIGNATURE: ______________________________

NAME, TITLE: Brian Cheu, Director, Community Development Division, Mayor’s Office of Housing and Community Development, City and County of San Francisco
DATE: July 9, 2014
STATUTORY WORKSHEET
[HUD Region IX Recommended Format - Revised 2011 – previous versions are obsolete]

Use this worksheet only for projects that are Categorically Excluded per 24 CFR Section 58.35(a).
(Note: Compliance with the laws and statutes listed at 24 CFR §58.6 must also be documented).

24 CFR §58.5 STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORDERS & REGULATIONS

PROJECT NAME and DESCRIPTION - Include all contemplated actions that logically are either geographically or functionally part of the project:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency:</th>
<th>Community Awareness &amp; Treatment Services</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project Site Address:</td>
<td>637 South Van Ness Avenue San Francisco, CA 94103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APN:</td>
<td>3574/040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of Initial Construction:</td>
<td>1912</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Description:</td>
<td>Construction of an accessibility ramp at a facility serving seniors with mobility impairments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HUD Program Funding</td>
<td>41680 CDBG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Project Cost</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This proposal is determined to be categorically excluded according to 24 CFR 58.35(a)(3)(iii): In the case of non-residential structures, including commercial, industrial, and public buildings:
A. The facilities and improvements are in place and will not be changed in size or capacity by more than 20 percent; and
B. The activity does not involve a change in land use, such as from non-residential to residential, commercial to industrial, or from one industrial use to another.

DIRECTIONS - Write "A" in the Status Column when the proposal, by its scope and nature, requires no mitigation or formal consultation in order to be in compliance with the related laws and regulations; OR write "B" if the project triggers formal compliance consultation procedures with the oversight agency, or requires mitigation. Regardless of whether "A" or "B" is noted, the compliance determination must be recorded and credible, traceable and supportive source documentation must be supplied. (Refer to the "Statutory Worksheet Instructions").

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Historic Preservation [36 CFR Part 800]</th>
<th>B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The building was initially constructed in 1912 and, as such, is more than 50 years old.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The undertaking consists of tenant improvements which include:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction of an accessibility ramp at a facility serving seniors with mobility impairments</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This activity is listed in Appendix A of the Programmatic Agreement list of activities which require only administrative review by the City and County of San Francisco and not the SHPO.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The San Francisco Mayor’s Office of Housing and Community Development has reviewed the project under the 2007 Programmatic Agreement and determined that the undertaking is exempt from review by the SHPO or ACHP per Stipulation IV, Paragraph C, referencing Appendix A.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Historic Properties are Adversely Affected.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source Documents:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City and County of San Francisco, Programmatic Agreement by and among the City and County of San Francisco, the California State Historic Preservation Officer, and the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation Regarding Historic Properties Affected by Use of Revenue from the Department of Housing and Urban Development Part 58 Programs. January 19, 2007;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of San Francisco Planning Department Property Information Map, <a href="http://ec2-50-17-237-182.compute-1.amazonaws.com/PIM/?dept=planning#BookmarkParcels">http://ec2-50-17-237-182.compute-1.amazonaws.com/PIM/?dept=planning#BookmarkParcels</a>, site accessed on July 8, 2014;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section/Act</td>
<td>Source/Details</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Floodplain Management                                                     | The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) prepares Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs) that identify areas subject to flood inundation, most often from a flood having a one percent chance of occurrence in a given year (also known as a “base flood” or “100-year flood”). FEMA refers to the portion of the floodplain or coastal area that is at risk from floods of this magnitude as a Special Flood Hazard Areas (SFHA). No finalized flood hazard zones have been mapped by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) in San Francisco. Draft maps indicate that the project site is not within or near a flood zone. The project is neither within a known FEMA floodplain nor within the preliminary Flood Insurance Rate Map prepared for the City and County of San Francisco on September 21, 2007. The project would not involve either direct or indirect support of development in a floodplain. Source Documents:  
| Wetland Protection                                                        | The project activities are not located near any coastal, riparian, or bayfront wetlands. Therefore, the Proposed Action would not affect wetland or riparian areas. Source Document:  
| Coastal Zone Management Act                                               | The San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission (BCDC) has permit authority over San Francisco Bay and lands located within 100 feet of the Bay shoreline. BCDC’s San Francisco Bay Plan is the Coastal Zone Management Program for the San Francisco Bay Segment of the California Coastal Zone Management Program, pursuant to the Federal Coastal Zone Management Act (CZMA). Under the CZMA, projects requiring federal approval or funding must, to the maximum extent practicable, be consistent with a state’s coastal management program if the project would affect the coastal zone. The project site is located more than 100 feet from the San Francisco Bay shoreline; therefore, no formal finding of consistency with the San Francisco Bay Plan is required. The project activity does not involve activity within a Coastal Zone Management Area (CZM) area. Source Documents:  
United States National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. State Coastal Zone Boundaries, California. Internet Web Site: [http://www.nos.nos.go](http://www.nos.nos.gov)
| **Sole Source Aquifers**  
[40 CFR 149] | **A** | The project is not served by a US EPA designated sole-source aquifer, is not located within a sole-source aquifer watershed, and would not affect a sole-source aquifer subject to the HUD EPA MOU.

**Source Documents:**

| **Endangered Species Act**  
[50 CFR 402] | **A** | The project activity involves a previously developed urban property and thus would have no effect on any natural habitats or federally protected species. The project site is entirely developed and therefore does not support these species’ habitat requirements.

**Source Documents:**

| **Wild and Scenic Rivers Act**  
[Sections 7(b), and (c)] | **A** | No wild and scenic rivers are located within the City and County of San Francisco.

**Source Document:**

| **Clean Air Act - [Sections 176(c), (d), and 40 CFR 6, 51, 93]** | **B** | The project does not involve acquisition of undeveloped land, a change in land use, major rehabilitation that would cost 75% or more of the property value, or new construction. The project does not meet thresholds for review by the Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD) for air quality impacts, as it is minor in nature; thus, the project conforms to the State Implementation Plan (SIP).

The building was constructed in 1912, before the 1978 federal bans on friable asbestos-containing building materials and lead-containing paints. Therefore, project activities could result in a release of these materials.

Removal of asbestos materials would comply with the National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants and the Bay Area Air Quality Management District Regulation 11, Rule 2.
The project has the potential to disturb lead-based paint. Construction activities that disturb materials or paints containing any amount of lead are subject to certain requirements of the Cal/OSHA lead standard contained in Title 8, CCR Section 1532.1. Deteriorated paint is defined to be Title 17, CCR, Division 1, Chapter 8, Section 35022 as a presumed lead-based paint that is cracking, chalking, chipping, peeling, non-intact, failed, or otherwise separating from a component. Demolition of a deteriorated lead containing paint component would require waste characterization and appropriate disposal.

In addition, lead-based paint remediation and stabilization associated with the proposed project will comply with the HUD Lead Safe Housing Rule 24 CFR Part 35, Subpart R – Methods and Standards for Lead-Paint Hazard Evaluation and Hazard Reduction Activities. Subpart R provides standards and methods for evaluation and hazard reduction activities required in subparts B, C, D, and F through M of 24 CFR Part 35.

**Source Documents:**


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>The project consists of urban land; therefore, the project would not affect farmlands. There are no protected farmlands in the City and County of San Francisco.</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environmental Justice [Executive Order 12898]</th>
<th>A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>The project would not result in disproportionately adverse environmental effects on minority or low income populations.</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Source Documents:** EPA NEPAssist website: [https://nepassist.epa.gov/nationalNEPAtocols/NepaCall/analysis.aspx](https://nepassist.epa.gov/nationalNEPAtocols/NepaCall/analysis.aspx)
Site accessed on July 8, 2014. |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HUD ENVIRONMENTAL STANDARDS Noise Abatement and Control [24 CFR 51B]</th>
<th>A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>The project would not create new noise sources and would have no noise impacts under HUD guidelines. The project does lie within 15 miles of San Francisco International Airport, but because the project would not significantly expand existing operations, this airport noise would not</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
have an effect on the area. The project would create new noise sources only during construction activities.

**Source Documents:**

United States Department of Housing and Urban Development. Environmental Criteria and Standards. 24 CFR Part 51

---

**Explosive and Flammable Operations**

[24 CFR 51C]

The project will not result in an increased number of people being exposed to hazardous operations by increasing residential densities, converting the type of use of a building to habitation, or making a vacant building habitable. The project does not involve explosive or flammable materials or operations.

**Source Documents:**
United States Department of Housing and Urban Development. Environmental Criteria and Standards. 24 CFR Part 51
San Francisco Department of Public Health List of Above Ground Storage Tanks in San Francisco,

---

**Hazardous, Toxic or Radioactive Materials & Substances**

[24 CFR 58.5(i)(2)]

The project site and the surrounding area were reviewed for documented use, storage, and/or release of toxic, hazardous and radioactive substances via the California State Water Resources Board GeoTracker website, the California Department of Toxic Substances Control Envirostor website and the EPA NEPAssist website.

Based upon a review of data from the California State Water Board’s GeoTracker website and NEPAssist the reviewer has determined that the subject and adjacent properties are free of hazardous materials, contamination, toxic chemicals, gasses and radioactive substances which could affect the health or safety of occupants or conflict with the intended use of the subject property.

The search of GeoTracker returned 137 LUST sites within a half mile of the project site. Of these three are open and undergoing assessment and remediation; two are eligible for closure; one is inactive; two are undergoing assessment and two are undergoing assessment monitoring. The remaining 127 sites are closed.

A search using NEPAssist returned 7 small quantity RCRA generators within a half mile of the site. All 7 sites are in compliance with applicable regulatory authorities and no violations are listed.

A search using the California Department of Toxic Substances Control Envirostor website returned one impacted site within a half a mile of the project site, the site was contaminated with gasoline from an UST removal in 1983. The site will not affect the project activity.

**Source Document:**
California State Water Resources Control Board GeoTracker Website; [http://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/map/?CMD=runreport&myaddress=637+South+Van+Nesb+Avenue+San+Francisco](http://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/map/?CMD=runreport&myaddress=637+South+Van+Nesb+Avenue+San+Francisco)
| Airport Clear Zones and Accident Potential Zones (24 CFR 51D) | A | The project does not lie within an Airport Clear Zone or Accident Potential Zone. |

**Source Document:**

*San Francisco International Airport Master Plan. Adopted 1989.*

**DETERMINATION:**

- This project converts to EXEMPT, per Section 58.34(a)(12), because it does not require any mitigation for compliance with any listed statutes or authorities, nor requires any formal permit or license (Status "A" has been determined in the status column for all authorities); **Funds may be committed and drawn down** for this (now) EXEMPT project; OR
- This project cannot convert to Exempt status because one or more statutes or authorities require formal consultation or mitigation. Complete consultation/mitigation protocol requirements, **publish NOI/RROF and obtain Authority to Use Grant Funds** (HUD 7015.16) per Section 58.70 and 58.71 before committing or drawing down funds; OR
- The unusual circumstances of this project may result in a significant environmental impact. This project requires preparation of an Environmental Assessment (EA). Prepare the EA according to 24 CFR Part 58 Subpart E.

**PREPARER SIGNATURE:**

PREPARER NAME, COMPANY: Eugene T. Flannery, Environmental Compliance Manager, MOHCD

**RESPONSIBLE ENTITY AGENCY OFFICIAL / SIGNATURE:**

NAME, TITLE: Brian Cheu, Director, Community Development Division, Mayor’s Office of Housing and Community Development, City and County of San Francisco

DATE: July 9, 2014
### STATUTORY WORKSHEET

**[HUD Region IX Recommended Format - Revised 2011 – previous versions are obsolete]**

Use this worksheet only for projects that are Categorically Excluded per 24 CFR Section 58.35(a). *(Note: Compliance with the laws and statutes listed at 24 CFR §58.6 must also be documented).*

**24 CFR §58.5 STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORDERS & REGULATIONS**

**PROJECT NAME and DESCRIPTION** - Include all contemplated actions that logically are either geographically or functionally part of the project:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency:</th>
<th>Donaldina Cameron House</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project Site Address:</td>
<td>920 Sacramento Street San Francisco, CA 94108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APN:</td>
<td>0224/008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of Initial Construction:</td>
<td>1908</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Description:</td>
<td>Replacement of windows and sashes in a multipurpose facility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HUD Program Funding</td>
<td>123433 CDBG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This proposal is determined to be categorically excluded according to 24 CFR 58.35(a)(3)(iii): In the case of non-residential structures, including commercial, industrial, and public buildings:

A. The facilities and improvements are in place and will not be changed in size or capacity by more than 20 percent; and
B. The activity does not involve a change in land use, such as from non-residential to residential, commercial to industrial, or from one industrial use to another.

**DIRECTIONS** - Write "A" in the Status Column when the proposal, by its scope and nature, requires no mitigation or formal consultation in order to be in compliance with the related laws and regulations; OR write "B" if the project triggers formal compliance consultation procedures with the oversight agency, or requires mitigation. Regardless of whether "A" or "B" is noted, the compliance determination must be recorded and credible, traceable and supportive source documentation must be supplied. *(Refer to the "Statutory Worksheet Instructions".)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Historic Preservation</td>
<td>The building was initially constructed in 1908 and, as such, is more than 50 years old. The undertaking consists of tenant improvements which include: Replacement of windows and sashes in a multipurpose facility These activities are listed in Appendix A of the Programmatic Agreement list of activities which require only administrative review by the City and County of San Francisco and not the SHPO. The San Francisco Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development has reviewed the project under the 2007 Programmatic Agreement and determined that the undertaking is exempt from review by the SHPO or ACHP per Stipulation IV, Paragraph C, referencing Appendix A. No Historic Properties are Adversely Affected. Source Documents: City and County of San Francisco. Programmatic Agreement by and among the City and County of San Francisco, the California State Historic Preservation Officer, and the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation Regarding Historic Properties Affected by Use of Revenue from the Department of Housing and Urban Development Part 58 Programs. January 19, 2007; City of San Francisco Planning Department Property Information Map, <a href="http://ec2-50-17-237-182.compute-1.amazonaws.com/PIM/?cept=planning#BookmarkParcels">http://ec2-50-17-237-182.compute-1.amazonaws.com/PIM/?cept=planning#BookmarkParcels</a>, site accessed on July 8, 2014; United States Advisory Council on Historic Preservation. 36 CFR Part 800 Protection of Historic Properties</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Floodplain Management**  
[24 CFR 55, Executive Order 11988] | A | The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) prepares Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs) that identify areas subject to flood inundation, most often from a flood having a one percent chance of occurrence in a given year (also known as a “base flood” or “100-year flood”). FEMA refers to the portion of the floodplain or coastal area that is at risk from floods of this magnitude as a Special Flood Hazard Areas (SFHA). No finalized flood hazard zones have been mapped by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) in San Francisco. Draft maps indicate that the project site is not within or near a flood zone. The project is neither within a known FEMA floodplain nor within the preliminary Flood Insurance Rate Map prepared for the City and County of San Francisco on September 21, 2007. The project would not involve either direct or indirect support of development in a floodplain.  
**Source Documents:**  
*United States Federal Emergency Management Administration. FEMA Issued Flood Maps, San Francisco County. Internet Web Site: [http://msc.fema.gov/webapp/wcs/stores/servlet/MapSearchResult?storeId=10001&catalogId=10001&langId=-1&userType=C&panelIDs=&ItemType=ichtype&printType=unprinted=& unmapped=UNMAPPED_092980060298SAN+FRANCISC0%2CCTY%2FSAN+FRANCISCO.](http://msc.fema.gov/webapp/wcs/stores/servlet/MapSearchResult?storeId=10001&catalogId=10001&langId=-1&userType=C&panelIDs=&ItemType=ichtype&printType=unprinted=& unmapped=UNMAPPED_092980060298SAN+FRANCISC0%2CCTY%2FSAN+FRANCISCO.)* Accessed on July 8, 2014. |
| **Wetland Protection**  
[Executive Order 11990] | A | The project activities are not located near any coastal, riparian, or bayfront wetlands. Therefore, the Proposed Action would not affect wetland or riparian areas.  
**Source Document:**  
| **Coastal Zone Management Act**  
[Sections 307(c), (d)] | A | The San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission (BCDC) has permit authority over San Francisco Bay and lands located within 100 feet of the Bay shoreline. BCDC’s San Francisco Bay Plan is the Coastal Zone Management Program for the San Francisco Bay Segment of the California Coastal Zone Management Program, pursuant to the Federal Coastal Zone Management Act (CZMA). Under the CZMA, projects requiring federal approval or funding must, to the maximum extent practicable, be consistent with a state’s coastal management program if the project would affect the coastal zone. The project site is located more than 100 feet from the San Francisco Bay shoreline; therefore, no formal finding of consistency with the San Francisco Bay Plan is required. The project activity does not involve activity within a Coastal Zone Management Area (CZMA) area.  
**Source Documents:**  
*United States National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. State Coastal Zone Boundaries, California. Internet Web Site:* |
| **Sole Source Aquifers** [40 CFR 149] | A | The project is not served by a US EPA designated sole-source aquifer, is not located within a sole-source aquifer watershed, and would not affect a sole-source aquifer subject to the HUD EPA MOU.  
**Source Documents:**  
| **Endangered Species Act** [50 CFR 402] | A | The project activity involves a previously developed urban property and thus would have no effect on any natural habitats or federally protected species. The project site is entirely developed and therefore does not support these species’ habitat requirements.  
**Source Documents:**  
Wild and Scenic Rivers Act [Sections 7(b), and (c)] | A | No wild and scenic rivers are located within the City and County of San Francisco.  
**Source Documents:**  
| **Clean Air Act - [Sections 176(c), (d), and 40 CFR 6, 51, 93]** | B | The project does not involve acquisition of undeveloped land, a change in land use, major rehabilitation that would cost 75% or more of the property value, or new construction. The project does not meet thresholds for review by the Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD) for air quality impacts, as it is minor in nature; thus, the project conforms to the State Implementation Plan (SIP).  
The building was constructed in 1908, before the 1978 federal bans on friable asbestos-containing building materials and lead-containing paints. Therefore, project activities could result in a release of these materials.  
Removal of asbestos materials would comply with the National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants and the Bay Area Air Quality Management District Regulation 11, Rule 2.  
The project has the potential to disturb lead-based paint. |
Construction activities that disturb materials or paints containing any amount of lead are subject to certain requirements of the Cal/OSHA lead standard contained in Title 8, CCR Section 1532.1. Deteriorated paint is defined to be Title 17, CCR, Division 1, Chapter 8, Section 35022 as a presumed lead-based paint that is cracking, chalking, chipping, peeling, non-intact, failed, or otherwise separating from a component. Demolition of a deteriorated lead containing paint component would require waste characterization and appropriate disposal.

In addition, lead-based paint remediation and stabilization associated with the proposed project will comply with the HUD Lead Safe Housing Rule 24 CFR Part 35, Subpart R—Methods and Standards for Lead-Paint Hazard Evaluation and Hazard Reduction Activities. Subpart R provides standards and methods for evaluation and hazard reduction activities required in subparts B, C, D, and F through M of 24 CFR Part 35.

Source Documents:


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Farmland Protection Policy Act [7 CFR 658]</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>The project consists of urban land; therefore, the project would not affect farmlands. There are no protected farmlands in the City and County of San Francisco.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environmental Justice [Executive Order 12898]</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>The project would not result in disproportionately adverse environmental effects on minority or low income populations.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

| HUD ENVIRONMENTAL STANDARDS Noise Abatement and Control [24 CFR 51B] | A | The project would not create new noise sources and would have no noise impacts under HUD guidelines. The project does lie within 15 miles of San Francisco International Airport, but because the project would not significantly expand existing operations, this airport noise would not have an effect on the area. The project would create new |
noises sources only during construction activities.

**Source Documents:**


United States Department of Housing and Urban Development. Environmental Criteria and Standards. 24 CFR Part 51

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Explosive and Flammable Operations [24 CFR 51C]</th>
<th>A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The project will not result in an increased number of people being exposed to hazardous operations by increasing residential densities, converting the type of use of a building to habitation, or making a vacant building habitable. The project does not involve explosive or flammable materials or operations.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source Documents:**

United States Department of Housing and Urban Development. Environmental Criteria and Standards. 24 CFR Part 51

San Francisco Department of Public Health List of Above Ground Storage Tanks in San Francisco.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hazardous, Toxic or Radioactive Materials &amp; Substances [24 CFR 58.5(i)(2)]</th>
<th>A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The project site and the surrounding area were reviewed for documented use, storage, and/or release of toxic, hazardous and radioactive substances via the California State Water Resources Board GeoTracker Website, the California Department of Toxic Substances Control EnviroStar website, and the EPA NEPAssist website. Based upon a review of data from the California State Water Board’s GeoTracker website and NEPAssist, the reviewer has determined that the subject and adjacent properties are free of hazardous materials, contamination, toxic chemicals, gasses and radioactive substances which could affect the health or safety of occupants or conflict with the intended use of the subject property. The search of GeoTracker returned 172 LUST sites within a half mile of the project site. Of these 172 sites, 169 are closed, one site is open eligible for closure, one is undergoing verification monitoring, one site is open undergoing remediation. A search using NEPAssist returned 25 small quantity RCRA generators within a half mile of the site. All 25 sites are in compliance with applicable regulatory authorities and no violations are listed. A search using the California Department of Toxic Substances Control EnviroStar website returned two sites within a half mile radius, however, these sites will not affect the project activities.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source Document:**


EPA NEPAssist website:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Airport Clear Zones and Accident Potential Zones [24 CFR 51D]</th>
<th>The project does not lie within an Airport Clear Zone or Accident Potential Zone.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**DETERMINATION:**

- This project converts to EXEMPT, per Section 58.34(a)(12), because it does not require any mitigation for compliance with any listed statutes or authorities, nor requires any formal permit or license (Status "A" has been determined in the status column for all authorities); **Funds may be committed and drawn down** for this (now) EXEMPT project; **OR**
- This project cannot convert to Exempt status because one or more statutes or authorities require formal consultation or mitigation. Complete consultation/mitigation protocol requirements, **publish NOI/RROF and obtain Authority to Use Grant Funds** (HUD 7015.16) per Section 58.70 and 58.71 before committing or drawing down funds; **OR**
- The unusual circumstances of this project may result in a significant environmental impact. This project requires preparation of an Environmental Assessment (EA). Prepare the EA according to 24 CFR Part 58 Subpart E.

**PREPARER SIGNATURE:**

DATE: July 8, 2014

**PREPARER NAME, COMPANY:** Eugene T. Flannery, Environmental Compliance Manager, MOHCD

**RESPONSIBLE ENTITY AGENCY OFFICIAL / SIGNATURE:**

NAME, TITLE: Brian Cheu, Director, Community Development Division, Mayor’s Office of Housing and Community Development, City and County of San Francisco

DATE: July 9, 2014
**STATUTORY WORKSHEET**

[HUD Region IX Recommended Format - Revised 2011 – previous versions are obsolete]

Use this worksheet only for projects that are Categorically Excluded per 24 CFR Section 58.35(a).
(Note: Compliance with the laws and statutes listed at 24 CFR 58.6 must also be documented).

**24 CFR 58.5 STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORDERS & REGULATIONS**

**PROJECT NAME and DESCRIPTION** - Include all contemplated actions that logically are either geographically or functionally part of the project:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency:</th>
<th>Mission Economic Development Agency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project Site Address:</td>
<td>2301 Mission Street San Francisco, CA 94110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APN:</td>
<td>3395/031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of Initial Construction:</td>
<td>1911</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Description:</td>
<td>Replacement of windows of a facility offering asset development and family support services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HUD Program Funding</td>
<td>99,000 CDBG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Project Cost</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This proposal is determined to be categorically excluded according to 24 CFR 58.35(a)(3)(iii): In the case of non-residential structures, including commercial, industrial, and public buildings:

A. The facilities and improvements are in place and will not be changed in size or capacity by more than 20 percent; and
B. The activity does not involve a change in land use, such as from non-residential to residential, commercial to industrial, or from one industrial use to another.

**DIRECTIONS** - Write “A” in the Status Column when the proposal, by its scope and nature, requires no mitigation or formal consultation in order to be in compliance with the related laws and regulations; OR write “B” if the project triggers formal compliance consultation procedures with the oversight agency, or requires mitigation. Regardless of whether “A” or “B” is noted, the compliance determination must be recorded and credible, traceable and supportive source documentation must be supplied. (Refer to the "Statutory Worksheet Instructions").

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A Historic Preservation [36 CFR Part 800]</th>
<th>B The building was initially constructed in 1911 and, as such, is more than 50 years old. The undertaking consists of tenant improvements which include: Replacement of windows of a facility offering asset development and family support services These activities are listed in Appendix A of the Programmatic Agreement list of activities which require only administrative review by the City and County of San Francisco and not the SHPO. The San Francisco Mayor’s Office of Housing and Community Development has reviewed the project under the 2007 Programmatic Agreement and determined that the undertaking is exempt from review by the SHPO or ACHP per Stipulation IV, Paragraph C, referencing Appendix A. No Historic Properties are Adversely Affected. Source Documents: City and County of San Francisco. Programmatic Agreement by and among the City and County of San Francisco, the California State Historic Preservation Officer, and the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation Regarding Historic Properties Affected by Use of Revenue from the Department of Housing and Urban Development Part 58 Programs. January 19, 2007; City of San Francisco Planning Department Property Information Map, <a href="http://ec2-50-17-237-182.compute-1.amazonaws.com/PIM//?dept=planning#BookmarkParcels">http://ec2-50-17-237-182.compute-1.amazonaws.com/PIM//?dept=planning#BookmarkParcels</a>, site accessed on July 8, 2014;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>


| Floodplain Management [24 CFR 55, Executive Order 11988] | A | The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) prepares Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs) that identify areas subject to flood inundation, most often from a flood having a one percent chance of occurrence in a given year (also known as a "base flood" or "100-year flood"). FEMA refers to the portion of the floodplain or coastal area that is at risk from floods of this magnitude as a Special Flood Hazard Areas (SFHA). No finalized flood hazard zones have been mapped by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) in San Francisco. Draft maps indicate that the project site is not within or near a flood zone. The project is neither within a known FEMA floodplain nor within the preliminary Flood Insurance Rate Map prepared for the City and County of San Francisco on September 21, 2007. The project would not involve either direct or indirect support of development in a floodplain. 

**Source Documents:**


| Wetland Protection [Executive Order 11990] | A | The project activities are not located near any coastal, riparian, or bayfront wetlands. Therefore, the Proposed Action would not affect wetland or riparian areas. 

**Source Document:**

| Coastal Zone Management Act [Sections 307(c), (d)] | A | The San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission (BCDC) has permit authority over San Francisco Bay and lands located within 100 feet of the Bay shoreline. BCDC’s San Francisco Bay Plan is the Coastal Zone Management Program for the San Francisco Bay Segment of the California Coastal Zone Management Program, pursuant to the Federal Coastal Zone Management Act (CZMA). Under the CZMA, projects requiring federal approval or funding must, to the maximum extent practicable, be consistent with a state’s coastal management program if the project would affect the coastal zone. The project site is located more than 100 feet from the San Francisco Bay shoreline; therefore, no formal finding of consistency with the San Francisco Bay Plan is required. The project activity does not involve activity within a Coastal Zone Management Area (CZM) area. 

**Source Documents:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resource</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Source/Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Sole Source Aquifers [40 CFR 149]** | The project is not served by a US EPA designated sole-source aquifer, is not located within a sole-source aquifer watershed, and would not affect a sole-source aquifer subject to the HUD EPA MOU. | **Source Documents:**  
| **Endangered Species Act [50 CFR 402]** | The project activity involves a previously developed urban property and thus would have no effect on any natural habitats or federally protected species. The project site is entirely developed and therefore does not support these species’ habitat requirements. | **Source Documents:**  
| **Wild and Scenic Rivers Act [Sections 7(b), and (c)]** | No wild and scenic rivers are located within the City and County of San Francisco. | **Source Document:**  
| **Clean Air Act - [Sections 176(c), (d), and 40 CFR 6, 51, 93]** | The project does not involve acquisition of undeveloped land, a change in land use, major rehabilitation that would cost 75% or more of the property value, or new construction. The project does not meet thresholds for review by the Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD) for air quality impacts, as it is minor in nature; thus, the project conforms to the State Implementation Plan (SIP).  
The building was constructed in 1911, before the 1978 federal bans on friable asbestos-containing building materials and lead-containing paints. Therefore project activities could result in a release of these materials.  
Removal of asbestos materials would comply with the National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants. |
and the Bay Area Air Quality Management District Regulation 11, Rule 2.

The project has the potential to disturb lead-based paint. Construction activities that disturb materials or paints containing any amount of lead are subject to certain requirements of the Cal/OSHA lead standard contained in Title 8, CCR Section 1532.1. Deteriorated paint is defined to be Title 17, CCR, Division 1, Chapter 8, Section 35022 as a presumed lead-based paint that is cracking, chalking, chipping, peeling, non-intact, failed, or otherwise separating from a component. Demolition of a deteriorated lead containing paint component would require waste characterization and appropriate disposal.

In addition, lead-based paint remediation and stabilization associated with the proposed project will comply with the HUD Lead Safe Housing Rule 24 CFR Par. 35, Subpart R – Methods and Standards for Lead-Paint Hazard Evaluation and Hazard Reduction Activities. Subpart R provides standards and methods for evaluation and hazard reduction activities required in subparts B, C, D, and F through M of 24 CFR Part 35.

Source Documents:

Internet Web Site: http://www.baagmd.gov—/medialFilec/Planning%20and%20Research/Particulate%20Matter/sb656 staff report ashx
Accessed an July 8, 2014.


Farmland Protection Policy Act [7 CFR 658]

A The project consists of urban land; therefore, the project would not affect farmlands. There are no protected farmlands in the City and County of San Francisco.

Source Documents:


Environmental Justice [Executive Order 12898]

A The project would not result in disproportionately adverse environmental effects on minority or low income populations.

Source Document:
EPA NEPAAssist website: https://nepassist.epa.gov/nationalNEPAtools/NepaCall/analysis.aspx
Site accessed on July 8, 2014.

HUD Guidance and Technical Advice, Environmental Justice.

HUD ENVIRONMENTAL STANDARDS
Noise Abatement and Control [24 CFR 51B]

A The project would not create new noise sources and would have no noise impacts under HUD guidelines. The project does lie within 15 miles of San Francisco International
Airport, but because the project would not significantly expand existing operations, this airport noise would not have an effect on the area. The project would create new noise sources only during construction activities.

**Source Documents:**

United States Department of Housing and Urban Development. Environmental Criteria and Standards. 24 CFR Part 51

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Explosive and Flammable Operations [24 CFR 51C]</th>
<th>A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The project will not result in an increased number of people being exposed to hazardous operations by increasing residential densities, converting the type of use of a building to habitation, or making a vacant building habitable. The project does not involve explosive or flammable materials or operations.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source Documents:**
United States Department of Housing and Urban Development. Environmental Criteria and Standards. 24 CFR Part 51
San Francisco Department of Public Health List of Above Ground Storage Tanks in San Francisco,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hazardous, Toxic or Radioactive Materials &amp; Substances [24 CFR 58.5(i)(2)]</th>
<th>A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The project site and the surrounding area were reviewed for documented use, storage, and/or release of toxic, hazardous and radioactive substances via the California State Water Resources Board GeoTracker Website, the California Department of Toxic Substances Control Envirostor website and the EPA NEPAssist website. Based upon a review of data from the California Department of Toxic Substances Control Envirostor website, the California State Water Board's GeoTracker website and NEPAssist, the reviewer has determined that the subject and adjacent properties are free of hazardous materials, contamination, toxic chemicals, gasses and radioactive substances which could affect the health or safety of occupants or conflict with the intended use of the subject property. The search of GeoTracker returned 108 LUST sites within a half mile of the project site. Of these 108 sites, 98 are closed, 4 are open for assessment and remedial actions; one is open and eligible for closure; two are open for site assessments; and three are open for verification monitoring. A search using NEPAssist returned 15 small quantity RCRA generators within a half mile of the site. All 15 sites are in compliance with applicable regulatory authorities and no violations are listed. The search returned one TRI site within a half mile radius; however, there have been no discharges from the site since 1990. A search using the California Department of Toxic Substances Control Envirostor website returned one site within a half mile radius; this site has been contaminated.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
with gasoline from the removal of a UST and is currently undergoing site assessment by the local authority.

Source Document:


Airport Clear Zones and Accident Potential Zones [24 CFR 51D]

The project does not lie within an Airport Clear Zone or Accident Potential Zone.

Source Document:

Determinations:

☐ This project converts to EXEMPT, per Section 58.34(a)(12), because it does not require any mitigation for compliance with any listed statutes or authorities, nor requires any formal permit or license (Status "A" has been determined in the status column for all authorities); Funds may be committed and drawn down for this (now) EXEMPT project; OR

☒ This project cannot convert to Exempt status because one or more statutes or authorities require formal consultation or mitigation. Complete consultation/mitigation protocol requirements, publish NOI/RROF and obtain Authority to Use Grant Funds (HUD 7015.16) per Section 58.70 and 58.71 before committing or drawing down funds; OR

☐ The unusual circumstances of this project may result in a significant environmental impact. This project requires preparation of an Environmental Assessment (EA). Prepare the EA according to 24 CFR Part 58 Subpart E.

Preparer Signature: __________________________ Date: July 8, 2014

Preparer Name, Company: Eugene T. Flannery, Environmental Compliance Manager, MOHCD

Responsible Entity Agency Official / Signature: __________________________

Name, Title: Brian Cheu, Director, Community Development Division, Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development, City and County of San Francisco

Date: July 9, 2014
Use this worksheet only for projects that are Categorically Excluded per 24 CFR Section 58.35(a).
(Note: Compliance with the laws and statutes listed at 24 CFR §§58.6 must also be documented).

**24 CFR §58.5. STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORDERS & REGULATIONS**

**PROJECT NAME and DESCRIPTION** - Include all contemplated actions that logically are either geographically or functionally part of the project:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency:</th>
<th>Mission Neighborhood Centers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project Site Address:</td>
<td>534 Precita Avenue San Francisco, CA 94110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APN:</td>
<td>5515/030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of Initial Construction:</td>
<td>1925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Description:</td>
<td>Construction of Limited Use/Limited Application (LULA) elevator and electrical upgrades to facility serving youth and families</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HUD Program Funding</td>
<td>100,000 CDBG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Project Cost</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This proposal is determined to be categorically excluded according to 24 CFR §58.35(a)(3)(iii): In the case of non-residential structures, including commercial, industrial, and public buildings:
A. The facilities and improvements are in place and will not be changed in size or capacity by more than 20 percent; and
B. The activity does not involve a change in land use, such as from non-residential to residential, commercial to industrial, or from one industrial use to another.

**DIRECTIONS** - Write "A" in the Status Column when the proposal, by its scope and nature, requires no mitigation or formal consultation in order to be in compliance with the related laws and regulations; OR write "B" if the project triggers formal compliance consultation procedures with the oversight agency, or requires mitigation. Regardless of whether "A" or "B" is noted, the compliance determination must be recorded and credible, traceable and supportive source documentation must be supplied. (Refer to the "Statutory Worksheet Instructions").

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Historic Preservation [36 CFR Part 800]</th>
<th>B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The building was initially constructed in 1925 and, as such, is more than 50 years old.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The undertaking consists of tenant improvements which include:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction of Limited Use/Limited Application (LULA) elevator and electrical upgrades to facility serving youth and families</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>These activities are listed in Appendix A of the Programmatic Agreement list of activities which require only administrative review by the City and County of San Francisco and not the SHPO.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The San Francisco Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development has reviewed the project under the 2007 Programmatic Agreement and determined that the undertaking is exempt from review by the SHPO or ACHP per Stipulation IV, Paragraph C, referencing Appendix A.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Historic Properties are Adversely Affected.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source Documents:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City and County of San Francisco, Programmatic Agreement by and among the City and County of San Francisco, the California State Historic Preservation Officer, and the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation Regarding Historic Properties Affected by Use of Revenue from the Department of Housing and Urban Development Part 58 Programs. January 19, 2007;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of San Francisco Planning Department Property Information Map, <a href="http://ec2-50-17-237-182.compute-1.amazonaws.com/PIM/?dept=planning#BookmarkParcels">http://ec2-50-17-237-182.compute-1.amazonaws.com/PIM/?dept=planning#BookmarkParcels</a>, site accessed on July 8, 2014;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source Document</td>
<td>Footnote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States Advisory Council on Historic Preservation. 36 CFR Part 800 Protection of Historic Properties</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Floodplain Management**  
[24 CFR 55, Executive Order 11988] | A | The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) prepares Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs) that identify areas subject to flood inundation, most often from a flood having a one percent chance of occurrence in a given year (also known as a “base flood” or “100-year flood”). FEMA refers to the portion of the floodplain or coastal area that is at risk from floods of this magnitude as a Special Flood Hazard Areas (SFHA). No finalized flood hazard zones have been mapped by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) in San Francisco. Draft maps indicate that the project site is not within or near a flood zone. The project is neither within a known FEMA floodplain nor within the preliminary Flood Insurance Rate Map prepared for the City and County of San Francisco on September 21, 2007. The project would not involve either direct or indirect support of development in a floodplain.  
**Source Documents:**  
*United States Federal Emergency Management Administration. FEMA Issued Flood Maps, San Francisco County.* Internet Web Site: [http://msc.fema.gov/webapp/wcs/stores/servlet/MapSearchResult?storeId=10001&catalogId=10001&langId=-1&userType=G&panelIds=&Type=php&unmapped=UNMAPPED_0602981060298SAN+FRANCISCO%2CCTY%2FSAN+FRANCISCO.](http://msc.fema.gov/webapp/wcs/stores/servlet/MapSearchResult?storeId=10001&catalogId=10001&langId=-1&userType=G&panelIds=&Type=php&unmapped=UNMAPPED_0602981060298SAN+FRANCISCO%2CCTY%2FSAN+FRANCISCO.) Accessed on July 8, 2014. |
| **Wetland Protection**  
[Executive Order 11990] | A | The project activities are not located near any coastal, riparian, or bayfront wetlands. Therefore, the Proposed Action would not affect wetland or riparian areas.  
**Source Document:**  
| **Coastal Zone Management Act**  
[Sections 307(c), (d)] | A | The San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission (BCDC) has permit authority over San Francisco Bay and lands located within 100 feet of the Bay shoreline. BCDC’s San Francisco Bay Plan is the Coastal Zone Management Program for the San Francisco Bay Segment of the California Coastal Zone Management Program, pursuant to the Federal Coastal Zone Management Act (CZMA). Under the CZMA, projects requiring federal approval or funding must, to the maximum extent practicable, be consistent with a state’s coastal management program if the project would affect the coastal zone.  
The project site is located more than 100 feet from the San Francisco Bay shoreline; therefore, no formal finding of consistency with the San Francisco Bay Plan is required. The project activity does not involve activity within a Coastal Zone Management Area (CZM) area.  
**Source Documents:**  
| **Sole Source Aquifers** [40 CFR 149] | **A** | The project is not served by a US EPA designated sole-source aquifer, is not located within a sole-source aquifer watershed, and would not affect a sole-source aquifer subject to the HUD EPA MOU.  
**Source Documents:**  
| **Endangered Species Act** [50 CFR 402] | **A** | The project activity involves a previously developed urban property and thus would have no effect on any natural habitats or federally protected species. The project site is entirely developed and therefore does not support these species’ habitat requirements.  
**Source Documents:**  
| **Wild and Scenic Rivers Act** [Sections 7(b), and (c)] | **A** | No wild and scenic rivers are located within the City and County of San Francisco.  
**Source Documents:**  
| **Clean Air Act** - [Sections 176(c), (d), and 40 CFR 6, 51, 93] | **B** | The project does not involve acquisition of undeveloped land, a change in land use, major rehabilitation that would cost 75% or more of the property value, or new construction. The project does not meet thresholds for review by the Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD) for air quality impacts, as it is minor in nature; thus, the project conforms to the State Implementation Plan (SIP).  

The building was constructed in 1925 before the 1978 federal bans on friable asbestos-containing building materials and lead-containing paints. Therefore, project activities could result in a release of these materials. Removal of asbestos materials would comply with the
The project has the potential to disturb lead-based paint. Construction activities that disturb materials or paints containing any amount of lead are subject to certain requirements of the Cal/OSHA lead standard contained in Title 8, CCR Section 1532.1. Deteriorated paint is defined to be Title 17, CCR, Division 1, Chapter 8, Section 35022 as a presumed lead-based paint that is cracking, chalking, chipping, peeling, non-intact, failed, or otherwise separating from a component. Demolition of a deteriorated lead containing paint component would require waste characterization and appropriate disposal.

In addition, lead-based paint remediation and stabilization associated with the proposed project will comply with the HUD Lead Safe Housing Rule 24 CFR Part 35, Subpart R—Methods and Standards for Lead-Paint Hazard Evaluation and Hazard Reduction Activities. Subpart R provides standards and methods for evaluation and hazard reduction activities required in subparts B, C, D, and F through M of 24 CFR Part 35.

Source Documents:

Internet Web Site: http://www.baagmd.gov/—/medialFilec/Planning%2Oand%2oResearch/Particulate%2OMatter/sb656 staff report aspx

Farmland Protection Policy Act
[7 CFR 658]

The project consists of urban land; therefore, the project would not affect farmlands. There are no protected farmlands in the City and County of San Francisco.

Source Documents:


Environmental Justice
[Executive Order 12898]

The project would not result in disproportionately adverse environmental effects on minority or low income populations.

Source Documents:
EPA NEPAssist website: https://nepassist.epa.gov/nationalNEPAtrecs/NepaCall/analysis.aspx
Site accessed on July 8, 2014.

HUD Guidance and Technical Advice, Environmental Justice.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clause</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[24 CFR 51B]</td>
<td>have no noise impacts under HUD guidelines. The project does lie within 15 miles of San Francisco International Airport, but because the project would not significantly expand existing operations, this airport noise would not have an effect on the area. The project would create new noise sources only during construction activities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explosive and Flammable Operations [24 CFR 51C]</td>
<td>The project will not result in an increased number of people being exposed to hazardous operations by increasing residential densities, converting the type of use of a building to habitation, or making a vacant building habitable. The project does not involve explosive or flammable materials or operations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Source Documents:</strong></td>
<td>United States Department of Housing and Urban Development. Environmental Criteria and Standards. 24 CFR Part 51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hazardous, Toxic or Radioactive Materials &amp; Substances [24 CFR 58.5(i)(2)]</td>
<td>The project site and the surrounding area were reviewed for documented use, storage, and/or release of toxic, hazardous and radioactive substances via the California State Water Resources Board GeoTracker Website, the California Department of Toxic Substances Control Envistat website and the EPA NEPAssist website. Based upon a review of data from these websites the reviewer has determined that the subject and adjacent properties are free of hazardous materials, contamination, toxic chemicals, gases and radioactive substances which could affect the health or safety of occupants or conflict with the intended use of the subject property. The search of GeoTracker returned 50 LUST sites within a half mile of the project site. Of these one site is open and eligible for closure and a second is open undergoing remediation, the remaining 48 sites are closed. A search using NEPAssist returned 13 small quantity RCRA generators within a half mile of the site. All 13 sites are in compliance with applicable regulatory authorities and no violations are listed. One TRI site is located within the radius of the project area, however, there have been no emissions since 1990. A search using the California Department of Toxic</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Substances Control Envirostor website returned three sites within a half mile radius of the project site. These sites will not affect the project activities as one has been closed as requiring no further action, the other two are at lower elevations from the project site; they are downslope from the no results.

Source Document:
California State Water Resources Control Board GeoTracker Website:

EPA NEPAssist website:

California Department of Toxic Substances Control Envirostor website:

Airport Clear Zones and Accident Potential Zones [24 CFR 51D]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Determination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐ This project converts to EXEMPT, per Section 58.34(a)(12), because it does not require any mitigation for compliance with any listed statutes or authorities, nor requires any formal permit or license (Status “A” has been determined in the status column for all authorities); Funds may be committed and drawn down for this (now) EXEMPT project; OR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☑ This project cannot convert to Exempt status because one or more statutes or authorities require formal consultation or mitigation. Complete consultation/mitigation protocol requirements, publish NOI/RROF and obtain Authority to Use Grant Funds (HUD 7015.16) per Section 58.70 and 58.71 before committing or drawing down funds; OR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ The unusual circumstances of this project may result in a significant environmental impact. This project requires preparation of an Environmental Assessment (EA). Prepare the EA according to 24 CFR Part 58 Subpart E.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Preparer Signature: ___________________________ Date: July 8, 2014

Preparer Name, Company: Eugene T. Flannery, Environmental Compliance Manager, MOHCD

Responsible Entity Agency Official / Signature: ___________________________ 

Name, Title: Brian Cheu, Director, Community Development Division, Mayor’s Office of Housing and Community Development, City and County of San Francisco

Date: July 9, 2014
Use this worksheet only for projects that are Categorically Excluded per 24 CFR Section 58.35(a).
(Note: Compliance with the laws and statutes listed at 24 CFR §58.6 must also be documented).

### 24 CFR §58.5 STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORDERS & REGULATIONS

**PROJECT NAME and DESCRIPTION** - Include all contemplated actions that logically are either geographically or functionally part of the project:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency: Nihonmachi Legal Outreach</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project Site Address: 1121 Mission Street San Francisco, CA 94103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APN: 3727/130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of Initial Construction: 1907</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Description: Renovate the HVAC system in a facility offering legal services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HUD Program Funding: 41,000 CDBG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Project Cost:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This proposal is determined to be categorically excluded according to 24 CFR 58.35(a)(3)(iii): In the case of non-residential structures, including commercial, industrial, and public buildings:

A. The facilities and improvements are in place and will not be changed in size or capacity by more than 20 percent; and

B. The activity does not involve a change in land use, such as from non-residential to residential, commercial to industrial, or from one industrial use to another.

**DIRECTIONS** - Write "A" in the Status Column when the proposal, by its scope and nature, requires no mitigation or formal consultation in order to be in compliance with the related laws and regulations; OR write "B" if the project triggers formal compliance consultation procedures with the oversight agency, or requires mitigation. Regardless of whether "A" or "B" is noted, the compliance determination must be recorded and credible, traceable and supportive source documentation must be supplied. (Refer to the "Statutory Worksheet Instructions").

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Historic Preservation [36 CFR Part 800]</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>The building was initially constructed in 1907 and, as such, is more than 50 years old. The undertaking consists of tenant improvements which include: Renovate the HVAC system in a facility offering legal services These activities are listed in Appendix A of the Programmatic Agreement list of activities which require only administrative review by the City and County of San Francisco and not the SHPO. The San Francisco Mayor’s Office of Housing and Community Development has reviewed the project under the 2007 Programmatic Agreement and determined that the undertaking is exempt from review by the SHPO or ACHP per Stipulation IV, Paragraph C, referencing Appendix A. No Historic Properties are Adversely Affected. Source Documents: City and County of San Francisco. Programmatic Agreement by and among the City and County of San Francisco, the California State Historic Preservation Officer, and the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation Regarding Historic Properties Affected by Use of Revenue from the Department of Housing and Urban Development Part 58 Programs. January 19, 2007; City of San Francisco Planning Department Property Information Map, <a href="http://ec2-50-17-237-182.compute-1.amazonaws.com/PIM/?dept=planning#BookmarkParcels">http://ec2-50-17-237-182.compute-1.amazonaws.com/PIM/?dept=planning#BookmarkParcels</a>, site accessed on July 8, 2014; United States Advisory Council on Historic Preservation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Floodplain Management

The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) prepares Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs) that identify areas subject to flood inundation, most often from a flood having a one percent chance of occurrence in a given year (also known as a "base flood" or "100-year flood").

FEMA refers to the portion of the floodplain or coastal area that is at risk from floods of this magnitude as a Special Flood Hazard Areas (SFHA). No finalized flood hazard zones have been mapped by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) in San Francisco.

Draft maps indicate that the project site is not within or near a flood zone. The project is neither within a known FEMA floodplain nor within the preliminary Flood Insurance Rate Map prepared for the City and County of San Francisco on September 21, 2007. The project would not involve either direct or indirect support of development in a floodplain.

Source Documents:


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Wetland Protection                                                   | The project activities are not located near any coastal, riparian, or bayfront wetlands. Therefore, the Proposed Action would not affect wetland or riparian areas.  
| Coastal Zone Management Act                                          | The San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission (BCDC) has permit authority over San Francisco Bay and lands located within 100 feet of the Bay shoreline. BCDC's San Francisco Bay Plan is the Coastal Zone Management Program for the San Francisco Bay Segment of the California Coastal Zone Management Program, pursuant to the Federal Coastal Zone Management Act (CZMA). Under the CZMA, projects requiring federal approval or funding must, to the maximum extent practicable, be consistent with a state’s coastal management program if the project would affect the coastal zone.  
The project site is located more than 100 feet from the San Francisco Bay shoreline; therefore, no formal finding of consistency with the San Francisco Bay Plan is required. The project activity does not involve activity within a Coastal Zone Management Area (CZM) area.  
http://www.bcdd.ca.gov/laws_plans/plans/sf_bay_plan#5  
Google Earth Map                                                     |
| Sole Source Aquifers                                                  | The project is not served by a US EPA designated sole-source aquifer, is not located within a sole-source aquifer watershed, and would not affect a sole-source aquifer subject to the HUD-EPA MOU.  
**Source Documents:** United States Environmental Protection Agency. Sole Source Aquifers subject to HUD-EPA Memorandum of Understanding, dated April 30, 1990.  
| Endangered Species Act                                               | The project activity involves a previously developed urban property and thus would have no effect on any natural habitats or federally protected species. The project site is entirely developed and therefore does not support these species’ habitat requirements.  
**Source Documents:** City of San Francisco Planning Department Property Information Map. http://ec2-50-17-257-182.compute-1.amazonaws.com/PIM/?dept=planning, site accessed on July 8, 2014. |
| Wild and Scenic Rivers Act  
| [Sections 7(b), and (c)] | A | No wild and scenic rivers are located within the City and County of San Francisco. |
| Clean Air Act - [Sections 176(c), (d), and 40 CFR 6, 51, 93] | B | The project does not involve acquisition of undeveloped land, a change in land use, major rehabilitation that would cost 75% or more of the property value, or new construction. The project does not meet thresholds for review by the Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD) for air quality impacts, as it is minor in nature; thus, the project conforms to the State Implementation Plan (SIP). |

The building was constructed in 1907, before the 1978 federal bans on friable asbestos-containing building materials and lead-containing paints. Therefore, project activities could result in a release of these materials.

Removal of asbestos materials would comply with the National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants and the Bay Area Air Quality Management District Regulation 11, Rule 2.

The project has the potential to disturb lead-based paint. Construction activities that disturb materials or paints containing any amount of lead are subject to certain requirements of the Cal/OSHA lead standard contained in Title 8, CCR Section 1532.1. Deteriorated paint is defined to be Title 17, CCR, Division 1, Chapter 8, Section 35022 as a presumed lead-based paint that is cracking, chalking, chipping, peeling, non-intact, failed, or otherwise separating from a component. Demolition of a deteriorated lead-containing paint component would require waste characterization and appropriate disposal.

In addition, lead-based paint remediation and stabilization associated with the proposed project will comply with the HUD Lead Safe Housing Rule 24 CFR Part 35, Subpart R - Methods and Standards for Lead-Paint Hazard Evaluation and Hazard Reduction Activities. Subpart R provides standards and methods for evaluation and hazard reduction activities required in subparts B, C, D, and F through M of 24 CFR Part 35.

**Source Documents:**

Accessed an July 8, 2014.

Bay Area Air Quality Management District, Metropolitan
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Document</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HUD ENVIRONMENTAL STANDARDS Noise Abatement and Control [24 CFR 51B]</td>
<td>The project would not create new noise sources and would have no noise impacts under HUD guidelines. The project does lie within 15 miles of San Francisco International Airport, but because the project would not significantly expand existing operations, this airport noise would not have an effect on the area. The project would create new noise sources only during construction activities. Source Documents: United States Department of Housing and Urban Development. The Noise Guidebook. Environmental Planning Division, Office of Environment and Energy. September 1991. United States Department of Housing and Urban Development. Environmental Criteria and Standards. 24 CFR Part 51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Explosive and Flammable Operations

**[24 CFR 51C]**

The project will not result in an increased number of people being exposed to hazardous operations by increasing residential densities, converting the type of use of a building to habitation, or making a vacant building habitable. The project does not involve explosive or flammable materials or operations.

**Source Documents:**
United States Department of Housing and Urban Development. Environmental Criteria and Standards. 24 CFR Part 51
San Francisco Department of Public Health List of Above Ground Storage Tanks in San Francisco,

### Hazardous, Toxic or Radioactive Materials & Substances

**[24 CFR 58.5(i)(2)]**

The project site and the surrounding area were reviewed for documented use, storage, and/or release of toxic, hazardous and radioactive substances via the California State Water Resources Board GeoTracker Website, and the California Department of Toxic Substances Control Envirostar website and the EPA NEPAssist website.

Based upon a review of data from these sources the reviewer has determined that the subject and adjacent properties are free of hazardous materials, contamination, toxic chemicals, gasses and radioactive substances which could affect the health or safety of occupants or conflict with the intended use of the subject property.

The search of GeoTracker returned 138 LUST sites within a half mile of the project site. Of these one site is open and inactive, one is open undergoing remediation and a third is open – eligible for closure.

A search using NEPAssist returned 14 small quantity RCRA generators within a half mile of the site. All 14 sites are in compliance with applicable regulatory authorities and no violations are listed.

A search using the California Department of Toxic Substances Control Envirostar website returned 11 sites within a half mile radius. These sites will not affect the project activities as no ground disturbing activities are included in the project activities.

**Source Document:**
California State Water Resources Control Board GeoTracker Website:
[http://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/map/?CMD=unreport&myaddress=1121+Mission+Street+San+Francisco+CA](http://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/map/?CMD=unreport&myaddress=1121+Mission+Street+San+Francisco+CA)
Site accessed July 8, 2014.

EPA NEPAssist website:
Site accessed on July 8, 2014.

California Department of Toxic Substances Control Envirostar website:
[http://www.envirostar.dtsc.ca.gov/public/mapfull.asp?global_id=&%7en=37%7ezi=18%7ems=640,480%7emt=m%7efindaddress=True&city=1121%20Mission%20Street%20San%20Francisco%20CA&zip=&county= state_repson]
Alt="TABLE"

Airport Clear Zones and Accident Potential Zones (24 CFR 51D)

| A | The project does not lie within an Airport Clear Zone or Accident Potential Zone. |

**Source Document:**

**DETERMINATION:**

- [ ] This project converts to EXEMPT, per Section 58.34(a)(12), because it does not require any mitigation for compliance with any listed statutes or authorities, nor requires any formal permit or license (Status "A" has been determined in the status column for all authorities); **Funds may be committed and drawn down for this (now) EXEMPT project; OR**
- [x] This project cannot convert to Exempt status because one or more statutes or authorities require formal consultation or mitigation. Complete consultation/mitigation protocol requirements, **publish NOI/RROF and obtain Authority to Use Grant Funds** (HUD 7015.16) per Section 58.70 and 58.71 before committing or drawing down funds; OR
- [ ] The unusual circumstances of this project may result in a significant environmental impact. This project requires preparation of an Environmental Assessment (EA). Prepare the EA according to 24 CFR Part 58 Subpart E.

**PREPARER SIGNATURE:**

**PREPARER NAME, COMPANY:** Eugene T. Flannery, Environmental Compliance Manager, MOHCD

**RESPONSIBLE ENTITY AGENCY OFFICIAL / SIGNATURE:**

**NAME, TITLE:** Brian Cheu, Director, Community Development Division, Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development, City and County of San Francisco

**DATE:** July 9, 2014
## STATUTORY WORKSHEET

[HUD Region IX Recommended Format - Revised 2011 - previous versions are obsolete]

Use this worksheet only for projects that are Categorically Excluded per 24 CFR Section 58.35(a).
(Note: Compliance with the laws and statutes listed at 24 CFR §58.6 must also be documented).

### 24 CFR §58.5 STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORDERS & REGULATIONS

**PROJECT NAME and DESCRIPTION** - Include all contemplated actions that logically are either geographically or functionally part of the project:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency:</th>
<th>Pomeroy Recreation and Rehabilitation Center</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project Site Address:</td>
<td>207 Skyline Boulevard San Francisco, CA 94132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APN:</td>
<td>R3146/005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of Initial Construction:</td>
<td>1972</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Description:</td>
<td>Roof renovation and gutter replacement at a recreation facility serving developmentally and physically challenged persons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HUD Program Funding</td>
<td>100,000 CDBG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Project Cost

This proposal is determined to be categorically excluded according to 24 CFR 58.35(a)(3)(iii): In the case of non-residential structures, including commercial, industrial, and public buildings:

A. The facilities and improvements are in place and will not be changed in size or capacity by more than 20 percent; and

B. The activity does not involve a change in land use, such as from non-residential to residential, commercial to industrial, or from one industrial use to another.

### DIRECTIONS

- Write "A" in the Status Column when the proposal, by its scope and nature, requires no mitigation or formal consultation in order to be in compliance with the related laws and regulations; OR write "B" if the project triggers formal compliance consultation procedures with the oversight agency, or requires mitigation. Regardless of whether "A" or "B" is noted, the compliance determination must be recorded and credible, traceable and supportive source documentation must be supplied. (Refer to the "Statutory Worksheet Instructions").

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Historic Preservation [36 CFR Part 800]</th>
<th>B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The building was initially constructed in 1972 and, as such, is more than 50 years old.</td>
<td>The undertaking consists of tenant improvements which include:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roof renovation and gutter replacement at a recreation facility serving developmentally and physically challenged persons</td>
<td>These activities are listed in Appendix A of the Programmatic Agreement list of activities which require only administrative review by the City and County of San Francisco and not the SHPO.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Historic Properties are Adversely Affected.</td>
<td>The San Francisco Mayor’s Office of Housing and Community Development has reviewed the project under the 2007 Programmatic Agreement and determined that the undertaking is exempt from review by the SHPO or ACHP per Stipulation IV, Paragraph C, referencing Appendix A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source Documents: City and County of San Francisco. Programmatic Agreement by and among the City and County of San Francisco, the California State Historic Preservation Officer, and the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation Regarding Historic Properties Affected by Use of Revenue from the Department of Housing and Urban Development Part 58 Programs. January 19, 2007;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of San Francisco Planning Department Property Information Map, <a href="http://ec2-50-17-237-182.compute-1.amazonaws.com/PIM/?dept=planning#BookmarkParcels">http://ec2-50-17-237-182.compute-1.amazonaws.com/PIM/?dept=planning#BookmarkParcels</a>, site accessed on July 8, 2014;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Floodplain Management [24 CFR 55, Executive Order 11988] | A | The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) prepares Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs) that identify areas subject to flood inundation, most often from a flood having a one percent chance of occurrence in a given year (also known as a "base flood" or "100-year flood").

FEMA refers to the portion of the floodplain or coastal area that is at risk from floods of this magnitude as a Special Flood Hazard Areas (SFHA). No finalized flood hazard zones have been mapped by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) in San Francisco.

Draft maps indicate that the project site is not within or near a flood zone. The project is neither within a known FEMA floodplain nor within the preliminary Flood Insurance Rate Map prepared for the City and County of San Francisco on September 21, 2007. The project would not involve either direct or indirect support of development in a floodplain.

**Source Documents:**
*City and County of San Francisco Interim Floodplain Map.*

*United States Federal Emergency Management Administration. FEMA Issued Flood Maps, San Francisco County.*
Internet Web Site: [http://msc.fema.gov/webapp/wcs/stores/servlet/MapSearchResult?storeId=10001&catalogId=10001&langId=-1&userType=G&panelId=x&type=php&nonprinted=&unmapped=UNMAPPED_060298060298SAN+FRANCISO%22CITY%22SAN+FRANCISCO](http://msc.fema.gov/webapp/wcs/stores/servlet/MapSearchResult?storeId=10001&catalogId=10001&langId=-1&userType=G&panelId=x&type=php&nonprinted=&unmapped=UNMAPPED_060298060298SAN+FRANCISO%22CITY%22SAN+FRANCISCO)
| **Wetland Protection**  [Executive Order 11990] | A | The project activities are not located near any coastal, riparian, or bayfront wetlands. Therefore, the Proposed Action would not affect wetland or riparian areas.  **Source Document:** United States Fish and Wildlife Service, Division of Habitat and Resource Conservation. Wetlands Geodatabase. Internet Web Site: [http://www.fws.gov/wetlands/data/Mapper.html](http://www.fws.gov/wetlands/data/Mapper.html). Accessed on July 8, 2014. |
| **Coastal Zone Management Act**  [Sections 307(c), (d)] | A | The San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission (BCDC) has permit authority over San Francisco Bay and lands located within 100 feet of the Bay shoreline. BCDC’s San Francisco Bay Plan is the Coastal Zone Management Program for the San Francisco Bay Segment of the California Coastal Zone Management Program, pursuant to the Federal Coastal Zone Management Act (CZMA). Under the CZMA, projects requiring federal approval or funding must, to the maximum extent practicable, be consistent with a state’s coastal management program if the project would affect the coastal zone.  The project site is located more than 100 feet from the San Francisco Bay shoreline; therefore, no formal finding of consistency with the San Francisco Bay Plan is required. The project activity does not involve activity within a Coastal Zone Management Area (CZM) area.  **Source Documents:** San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission. San Francisco Bay Plan. Adopted 1968. Reprinted in February 2008. [http://www.bcdc.ca.gov/Inays_plan/plans/sf_bay_plan#5](http://www.bcdc.ca.gov/Inays_plan/plans/sf_bay_plan#5) United States National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. State Coastal Zone Boundaries, California. Internet Web Site: [http://coastalmanagement.noaa.gov/mystates/docs/StateCZBoundaries.pdf](http://coastalmanagement.noaa.gov/mystates/docs/StateCZBoundaries.pdf). Accessed on July 8, 2014.  [Google Earth Map](http://coastalmanagement.noaa.gov/mystates/docs/StateCZBoundaries.pdf) |
| **Endangered Species Act**  [50 CFR 402] | A | The project activity involves a previously developed urban property and thus would have no effect on any natural habitats or federally protected species. The project site is entirely developed and therefore does not support these species’ habitat requirements.  **Source Documents:** City of San Francisco Planning Department Property Information Map. [http://ec2-50-17-237-182.compute-1.amazonaws.com/PIM//?dept=planning](http://ec2-50-17-237-182.compute-1.amazonaws.com/PIM//?dept=planning), site accessed on July 8, 2014.  [City of San Francisco Planning Department Property Information Map](http://ec2-50-17-237-182.compute-1.amazonaws.com/PIM//?dept=planning) |
**Wild and Scenic Rivers Act**
(Sections 7(b), and (c))

A No wild and scenic rivers are located within the City and County of San Francisco.

**Source Documents:**

**Clean Air Act** - (Sections 176(c), (d), and 40 CFR 6, 51, 93)

B The project does not involve acquisition of undeveloped land, a change in land use, major rehabilitation that would cost 75% or more of the property value, or new construction. The project does not meet thresholds for review by the Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD) for air quality impacts, as it is minor in nature; thus, the project conforms to the State Implementation Plan (SIP).

The building was constructed in 1972, before the 1978 federal bans on friable asbestos-containing building materials and lead-containing paints. Therefore, project activities could result in a release of these materials.

Removal of asbestos materials would comply with the National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants and the Bay Area Air Quality Management District Regulation 11, Rule 2.

The project has the potential to disturb lead-based paint. Construction activities that disturb materials or paints containing any amount of lead are subject to certain requirements of the Cal/OSHA lead standard contained in Title 8, CCR Section 1532.1. Deteriorated paint is defined to be Title 17, CCR, Division 1, Chapter 8, Section 35022 as a presumed lead-based paint that is cracking, chalking, chipping, peeling, non-intact, failed, or otherwise separating from a component. Demolition of a deteriorated lead containing paint component would require waste characterization and appropriate disposal.

In addition, lead-based paint remediation and stabilization associated with the proposed project will comply with the HUD Lead Safe Housing Rule 24 CFR Part 35, Subpart R - Methods and Standards for Lead-Paint Hazard Evaluation and Hazard Reduction Activities. Subpart R provides standards and methods for evaluation and hazard reduction activities required in subparts B, C, D, and F through M of 24 CFR Part 35.

**Source Documents:**

| **Transportation Commission and Association of Bay Area Governments. Bay Area 2005 Ozone Strategy. Adopted January 4, 2006.** |
| **HUD ENVIRONMENTAL STANDARDS** Noise Abatement and Control [24 CFR 51B] | A | The project would not create new noise sources and would have no noise impacts under HUD guidelines. The project does lie within 15 miles of San Francisco International Airport, but because the project would not significantly expand existing operations, this airport noise would not have an effect on the area. The project would create new noise sources only during construction activities. **Source Documents:** United States Department of Housing and Urban Development. The Noise Guidebook. Environmental Planning Division, Office of Environment and Energy. September 1991. United States Department of Housing and Urban Development. Environmental Criteria and Standards. 24 CFR Part 51.
| **Explosive and Flammable Operations**  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>[24 CFR 51C]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>A</strong> The project will not result in an increased number of people being exposed to hazardous operations by increasing residential densities, converting the type of use of a building to habitation, or making a vacant building habitable. The project does not involve explosive or flammable materials or operations.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source Documents:**
- United States Department of Housing and Urban Development. Environmental Criteria and Standards. 24 CFR Part 51

| **Hazardous, Toxic or Radioactive Materials & Substances**  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>[24 CFR 58.5(i)(2)]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>A</strong> The project site and the surrounding area were reviewed for documented use, storage, and/or release of toxic, hazardous and radioactive substances via the California State Water Resources Boar GeoTracker Website, the California Department of Toxic Substances Control Envirostar website and the EPA NEPAssist website. Based upon a review of data from these sources the reviewer has determined that the subject and adjacent properties are free of hazardous materials, contamination, toxic chemicals, gasses and radioactive substances which could affect the health or safety of occupants or conflict with the intended use of the subject property. The search of GeoTracker returned 6 LUST sites within a half mile of the project site. Of these one site is open and undergoing remediation; the remaining five are closed. A search using NEPAssist returned 3 small quantity RCRA generators within a half mile of the site. All 3 sites are in compliance with applicable regulatory authorities and no violations are listed. One PCS/ICIS site with reported CWA violations is within the half mile radius; however, these violations will not affect the project activities. A search using the California Department of Toxic Substances Control Envirostar website returned one site within a half mile radius, however, the site has been cleared and no further action is required.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source Document:**
Airport Clear Zones and Accident Potential Zones [24 CFR 51D]  

A  

The project does not lie within an Airport Clear Zone or Accident Potential Zone.

Source Document:  

DETERMINATION:

☐ This project converts to EXEMPT, per Section 58.34(a)(12), because it does not require any mitigation for compliance with any listed statutes or authorities, nor requires any formal permit or license (Status "A" has been determined in the status column for all authorities); Funds may be committed and drawn down for this (now) EXEMPT project; OR

☒ This project cannot convert to Exempt status because one or more statutes or authorities require formal consultation or mitigation. Complete consultation/mitigation protocol requirements, publish NOI/RROF and obtain Authority to Use Grant Funds (HUD 7015.16) per Section 58.70 and 58.71 before committing or drawing down funds; OR

☐ The unusual circumstances of this project may result in a significant environmental impact. This project requires preparation of an Environmental Assessment (EA). Prepare the EA according to 24 CFR Part 58 Subpart E.

PREPARER SIGNATURE:  

DATE: July 8, 2014

PREPARER NAME, COMPANY: Eugene T. Flannery, Environmental Compliance Manager, MOHCD

RESPONSIBLE ENTITY AGENCY OFFICIAL / SIGNATURE:  

DATE: July 9, 2014

NAME, TITLE: Brian Cheu, Director, Community Development Division, Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development, City and County of San Francisco

DATE: July 9, 2014
STATUTORY WORKSHEET

[HUD Region IX Recommended Format - Revised 2011 - previous versions are obsolete]

Use this worksheet only for projects that are Categorically Excluded per 24 CFR Section 58.35(a).
(Note: Compliance with the laws and statutes listed at 24 CFR §58.6 must also be documented).

24 CFR §58.5 STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORDERS & REGULATIONS

PROJECT NAME and DESCRIPTION - Include all contemplated actions that logically are either geographically or functionally part of the project:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency:</th>
<th>San Francisco AIDS Foundation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project Site Address:</td>
<td>474 Castro Street San Francisco, CA 94114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APN:</td>
<td>2647/012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of Initial Construction:</td>
<td>1904</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Description:</td>
<td>Replacement of an elevator in a facility serving persons with HIV/AIDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HUD Program Funding</td>
<td>65,244 CDBG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Project Cost</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This proposal is determined to be categorically excluded according to 24 CFR 58.35(a)(3)(iii): In the case of non-residential structures, including commercial, industrial, and public buildings:
A. The facilities and improvements are in place and will not be changed in size or capacity by more than 20 percent; and
B. The activity does not involve a change in land use, such as from non-residential to residential, commercial to industrial, or from one industrial use to another.

DIRECTIONS - Write “A” in the Status Column when the proposal, by its scope and nature, requires no mitigation or formal consultation in order to be in compliance with the related laws and regulations; OR write “B” if the project triggers formal compliance consultation procedures with the oversight agency, or requires mitigation. Regardless of whether “A” or “B” is noted, the compliance determination must be recorded and credible, traceable and supportive source documentation must be supplied. (Refer to the “Statutory Worksheet Instructions”.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Historic Preservation [36 CFR Part 800]</th>
<th>B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The building was initially constructed in 1904 and, as such, is more than 50 years old.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The undertaking consists of tenant improvements which include:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replacement of an elevator in a facility serving persons with HIV/AIDS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>These activities are listed in Appendix A of the Programmatic Agreement list of activities which require only administrative review by the City and County of San Francisco and not the SHPO.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The San Francisco Mayor’s Office of Housing and Community Development has reviewed the project under the 2007 Programmatic Agreement and determined that the undertaking is exempt from review by the SHPA or ACHP per Stipulation IV, Paragraph C, referencing Appendix A.

No Historic Properties are Adversely Affected.

Source Documents:
- City and County of San Francisco Programmatic Agreement by and among the City and County of San Francisco, the California State Historic Preservation Officer, and the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation Regarding Historic Properties Affected by Use of Revenue from the Department of Housing and Urban Development Part 58 Programs. January 19, 2007;
- City of San Francisco Planning Department Property Information Map, http://ec2-50-17-237-182.compute-1.amazonaws.com/PIM/?dept=planning#BookmarkParcels, site accessed on July 8, 2014;
- United States Advisory Council on Historic Preservation.
The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) prepares Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs) that identify areas subject to flood inundation, most often from a flood having a one percent chance of occurrence in a given year (also known as a "base flood" or "100-year flood").

FEMA refers to the portion of the floodplain or coastal area that is at risk from floods of this magnitude as a Special Flood Hazard Areas (SFHA). No finalized flood hazard zones have been mapped by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) in San Francisco.

Draft maps indicate that the project site is not within or near a flood zone. The project is neither within a known FEMA floodplain nor within the preliminary Flood Insurance Rate Map prepared for the City and County of San Francisco on September 21, 2007. The project would not involve either direct or indirect support of development in a floodplain.

Source Documents:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coastal Zone Management Act [Sections 307(c), (d)]</td>
<td>The San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission (BCDC) has permit authority over San Francisco Bay and lands located within 100 feet of the Bay shoreline. BCDC’s San Francisco Bay Plan is the Coastal Zone Management Program for the San Francisco Bay Segment of the California Coastal Zone Management Program, pursuant to the Federal Coastal Zone Management Act (CZMA). Under the CZMA, projects requiring federal approval or funding must, to the maximum extent practicable, be consistent with a state’s coastal management program if the project would affect the coastal zone. The project site is located more than 100 feet from the San Francisco Bay shoreline; therefore, no formal finding of consistency with the San Francisco Bay Plan is required. The project activity does not involve activity within a Coastal Zone Management Area (CZM) area. <strong>Source Documents:</strong> San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission. San Francisco Bay Plan. Adopted 1968. Reprinted in February 2008. <a href="http://www.bcdc.ca.gov/laws_plans/plans/sf_bay_plan65">http://www.bcdc.ca.gov/laws_plans/plans/sf_bay_plan65</a> United States National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. State Coastal Zone Boundaries, California. Internet Web Site: <a href="http://coastalmanagement.noaa.gov/nystate/docs/StateCZBoundaries.pdf">http://coastalmanagement.noaa.gov/nystate/docs/StateCZBoundaries.pdf</a> Accessed on July 8, 2014. Google Earth Map</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endangered Species Act [50 CFR 402]</td>
<td>The project activity involves a previously developed urban property and thus would have no effect on any natural habitats or federally protected species. The project site is entirely developed and therefore does not support these species’ habitat requirements. <strong>Source Documents:</strong> City of San Francisco Planning Department Property Information Map, <a href="http://ec2-50-17-237-182.compute-1.amazonaws.com/PIM/?/dept=planning">http://ec2-50-17-237-182.compute-1.amazonaws.com/PIM/?/dept=planning</a> site accessed on July 8, 2014.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| A | Wild and Scenic Rivers Act  
(Sections 7(b), and (c)) | No wild and scenic rivers are located within the City and County of San Francisco.  
**Source Documents:**  
*United States National Park Service. Designated Wild and Scenic Rivers by State. California. Internet Web Site:*  
|---|---|---|
| B | Clean Air Act - [Sections 17(c), (d), and 40 CFR 6, 51, 93] | The project does not involve acquisition of undeveloped land, a change in land use, major rehabilitation that would cost 75% or more of the property value, or new construction. The project does not meet thresholds for review by the Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD) for air quality impacts, as it is minor in nature; thus, the project conforms to the State Implementation Plan (SIP).  
  
The building was constructed in 1904, before the 1978 federal bans on friable asbestos-containing building materials and lead-containing paints. Therefore, project activities could result in a release of these materials.  
Removal of asbestos materials would comply with the National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants and the Bay Area Air Quality Management District Regulation 11, Rule 2.  
The project has the potential to disturb lead-based paint. Construction activities that disturb materials or paints containing any amount of lead are subject to certain requirements of the Cal/OSHA lead standard contained in Title 8, CCR Section 1532.1. Deteriorated paint is defined to be Title 17, CCR, Division 1, Chapter 8, Section 35022 as a presumed lead-based paint that is cracking, chalking, chipping, peeling, non-intact, failed, or otherwise separating from a component. Demolition of a deteriorated lead containing paint component would require waste characterization and appropriate disposal.  
In addition, lead-based paint remediation and stabilization associated with the proposed project will comply with the HUD Lead Safe Housing Rule 24 CFR Part 35, Subpart R – Methods and Standards for Lead-Paint Hazard Evaluation and Hazard Reduction Activities. Subpart R provides standards and methods for evaluation and hazard reduction activities required in subparts B, C, D, and F through M of 24 CFR Part 35.  
**Source Documents:**  
*Bay Area Air Quality Management District Staff Report, Particulate Matter Implementation Schedule. November 9, 2005.*  
[Internet Web Site: http://www.baagmd.gov/—/media/Filec/Planning%20and%20Research/Particulate%20Matter/sb656_staff_report.ashx](http://www.baagmd.gov/—/media/Filec/Planning%20and%20Research/Particulate%20Matter/sb656_staff_report.ashx) **Accessed an July 8, 2014.**  
*Bay Area Air Quality Management District, Metropolitan* |
| **Farmland Protection Policy Act**  
[7 CFR 658] | A | The project consists of urban land; therefore, the project would not affect farmlands. There are no protected farmlands in the City and County of San Francisco.  
**Source Documents:**  
| **Environmental Justice**  
[Executive Order 12898] | A | The project would not result in disproportionately adverse environmental effects on minority or low income populations.  
**Source Documents:**  
EPA NEPAassist website: [https://nepassist.epa.gov/nationalNEPAtools/NepaCall/analysis.aspx](https://nepassist.epa.gov/nationalNEPAtools/NepaCall/analysis.aspx)  
Site accessed on July 8, 2014.  
| **HUD ENVIRONMENTAL STANDARDS**  
Noise Abatement and Control  
[24 CFR 51B] | A | The project would not create new noise sources and would have no noise impacts under HUD guidelines. The project does lie within 15 miles of San Francisco International Airport, but because the project would not significantly expand existing operations, this airport noise would not have an effect on the area. The project would create new noise sources only during construction activities.  
**Source Documents:**  
United States Department of Housing and Urban Development. Environmental Criteria and Standards. 24 CFR Part 51 |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hazardous, Toxic or Radioactive Materials &amp; Substances [24 CFR 58.5(i)(2)]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The project site and the surrounding area were reviewed for documented use, storage, and/or release of toxic, hazardous and radioactive substances via the California State Water Resources Board GeoTracker website, the California Department of Toxic Substances Control Envirotor website, and the EPA NEPAssist website. Based upon a review of data from the California State Water Board’s GeoTracker website and NEPAssist the reviewer has determined that the subject and adjacent properties are free of hazardous materials, contamination, toxic chemicals, gasses and radioactive substances which could affect the health or safety of occupants or conflict with the intended use of the subject property. The search of GeoTracker returned 32 LUST sites within a half mile of the project site. Of these one site is open and undergoing site assessment. A search using NEPAssist returned 6 small quantity RCRA generators within a half mile of the site. All 6 sites are in compliance with applicable regulatory authorities and no violations are listed. A search using the California Department of Toxic Substances Control Envirotor website returned one site within the radius area: a former ARCO LOP site, closed. Sampling has detected residential TPH and metal below levels of concern. no results. Site is currently undergoing assessment by the LOP. <strong>Source Document:</strong> California State Water Resources Control Board GeoTracker Website; <a href="http://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/map?CMD=runreport&amp;myaddress=474%20Castro%20Street%20San%20Francisco%20CA">http://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/map?CMD=runreport&amp;myaddress=474%20Castro%20Street%20San%20Francisco%20CA</a> Site accessed July 8, 2014. EPA NEPAssist website: <a href="https://nepassist.epa.gov/nepave/nepamap.aspx?action=search&amp;wherestr=474%20Castro%20Street%20San%20Francisco%20CA%20">https://nepassist.epa.gov/nepave/nepamap.aspx?action=search&amp;wherestr=474%20Castro%20Street%20San%20Francisco%20CA%20</a> Site accessed on July 8, 2014. California Department of Toxic Substances Control Envirotor website: <a href="http://www.envirostor.dtsc.ca.gov/public/mapfull.asp?global_id=&amp;x=119&amp;y=37&amp;z=18&amp;ms=640,480&amp;mt=m&amp;findaddress=True&amp;city=474%20castro%20street%20san%20francisco%20ca">http://www.envirostor.dtsc.ca.gov/public/mapfull.asp?global_id=&amp;x=119&amp;y=37&amp;z=18&amp;ms=640,480&amp;mt=m&amp;findaddress=True&amp;city=474%20castro%20street%20san%20francisco%20ca</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airport Clear Zones and Accident Potential Zones [24 CFR 51D]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source Document:  

DETERMINATION:

☐ This project converts to EXEMPT, per Section 58.34(a)(12), because it does not require any mitigation for compliance with any listed statutes or authorities, nor requires any formal permit or license (Status "A" has been determined in the status column for all authorities); **Funds may be committed and drawn down** for this (now) EXEMPT project; OR

☒ This project cannot convert to Exempt status because one or more statutes or authorities require formal consultation or mitigation. Complete consultation/mitigation protocol requirements, **publish NOI/RROF and obtain Authority to Use Grant Funds** (HUD 7015.16) per Section 58.70 and 58.71 before committing or drawing down funds; OR

☐ The unusual circumstances of this project may result in a significant environmental impact. This project requires preparation of an Environmental Assessment (EA). Prepare the EA according to 24 CFR Part 58 Subpart E.

PREPARER SIGNATURE:  
DATE: July 8, 2014

PREPARER NAME, COMPANY: Eugene T. Flannery, Environmental Compliance Manager, MOHCD

RESPONSIBLE ENTITY AGENCY OFFICIAL / SIGNATURE:  
NAME, TITLE: Brian Cheu, Director, Community Development Division, Mayor’s Office of Housing and Community Development, City and County of San Francisco  
DATE: July 9, 2014
**STATUTORY WORKSHEET**

[HUD Region IX Recommended Format - Revised 2011 - previous versions are obsolete]

Use this worksheet only for projects that are Categorically Excluded per 24 CFR Section 58.35(a).
(Note: Compliance with the laws and statutes listed at 24 CFR §58.6 must also be documented).

### 24 CFR §58.5 STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORDERS & REGULATIONS

**PROJECT NAME and DESCRIPTION** - Include all contemplated actions that logically are either geographically or functionally part of the project:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency:</th>
<th>Telegraph Hill Neighborhood Association</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project Site Address:</td>
<td>660 Lombard Street San Francisco, CA 94133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APN:</td>
<td>0064/014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of Initial Construction:</td>
<td>1954</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Description:</td>
<td>Replace the boiler, heating and ventilation system, and hot water heater; and upgrades to the mechanical control system in a multipurpose facility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HUD Program Funding</td>
<td>$85,800 CDBG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Project Cost</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This proposal is determined to be categorically excluded according to 24 CFR 58.35(a)(3)(i): In the case of non-residential structures, including commercial, industrial, and public buildings:

A. The facilities and improvements are in place and will not be changed in size or capacity by more than 20 percent; and

B. The activity does not involve a change in land use, such as from non-residential to residential, commercial to industrial, or from one industrial use to another.

**DIRECTIONS** - Write "A" in the Status Column when the proposal, by its scope and nature, requires no mitigation or formal consultation in order to be in compliance with the related laws and regulations; OR write "B" if the project triggers formal compliance consultation procedures with the oversight agency, or requires mitigation. Regardless of whether "A" or "B" is noted, the compliance determination must be recorded and credible, traceable and supportive source documentation must be supplied. (Refer to the "Statutory Worksheet Instructions").

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Historic Preservation [36 CFR Part 800]</th>
<th>B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The building was initially constructed in 1954 and, as such, is more than 50 years old.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The undertaking consists of tenant improvements which include:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Replace the boiler, heating and ventilation system, and hot water heater; and upgrades to the mechanical control system in a multipurpose facility</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>These activities are listed in Appendix A of the Programmatic Agreement list of activities which require only administrative review by the City and County of San Francisco and not the SHPO.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The San Francisco Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development has reviewed the project under the 2007 Programmatic Agreement and determined that the undertaking is exempt from review by the SHPO or ACHP per Stipulation IV, Paragraph C, referencing Appendix A. No Historic Properties are Adversely Affected.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source Documents:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City and County of San Francisco. Programmatic Agreement by and among the City and County of San Francisco, the California State Historic Preservation Officer, and the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation Regarding Historic Properties Affected by Use of Revenue from the Department of Housing and Urban Development Part 58 Programs. January 19, 2007;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of San Francisco Planning Department Property Information Map, <a href="http://ec2-50-17-237-182.compute-1.amazonaws.com/PIM//dept=planning#BookmarkParcels">http://ec2-50-17-237-182.compute-1.amazonaws.com/PIM//dept=planning#BookmarkParcels</a>, site accessed on July 8, 2014;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Floodplain Management

**[24 CFR 55, Executive Order 11988]**

The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) prepares Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs) that identify areas subject to flood inundation, most often from a flood having a one percent chance of occurrence in a given year (also known as a "base flood" or "100-year flood"). FEMA refers to the portion of the floodplain or coastal area that is at risk from floods of this magnitude as a Special Flood Hazard Areas (SFHA). No finalized flood hazard zones have been mapped by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) in San Francisco.

Draft maps indicate that the project site is not within or near a flood zone. The project is neither within a known FEMA floodplain nor within the preliminary Flood Insurance Rate Map prepared for the City and County of San Francisco on September 21, 2007. The project would not involve either direct or indirect support of development in a floodplain.

**Source Documents:**


United States Federal Emergency Management Administration. FEMA Issued Flood Maps, San Francisco County. Internet Web Site: [http://msc.fema.gov/webapp/wcs/stores/servlet/MapSearchResult?storeId=10001&catalogId=10001&langId=-1&userType=G&panelIDs=&Type=php&nonprinted=&unmapped=UNMAPPED_060298060298_SAN+FRCSC0%2CCTY%2FSAN+FRCSC](http://msc.fema.gov/webapp/wcs/stores/servlet/MapSearchResult?storeId=10001&catalogId=10001&langId=-1&userType=G&panelIDs=&Type=php&nonprinted=&unmapped=UNMAPPED_060298060298_SAN+FRCSC0%2CCTY%2FSAN+FRCSC). Accessed on July 8, 2014.
| Wetland Protection  
[Executive Order 11990] | A | The project activities are not located near any coastal, riparian, or bayfront wetlands. Therefore, the Proposed Action would not affect wetland or riparian areas.  
**Source Documents:**  
Internet Web Site:  
| --- | --- | --- |
| Coastal Zone Management Act  
[Sections 307(c), (d)] | A | The San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission (BCDC) has permit authority over San Francisco Bay and lands located within 100 feet of the Bay shoreline. BCDC’s San Francisco Bay Plan is the Coastal Zone Management Program for the San Francisco Bay Segment of the California Coastal Zone Management Program, pursuant to the Federal Coastal Zone Management Act (CZMA). Under the CZMA, projects requiring federal approval or funding must, to the maximum extent practicable, be consistent with a state’s coastal management program if the project would affect the coastal zone.  
The project site is located more than 100 feet from the San Francisco Bay shoreline; therefore, no formal finding of consistency with the San Francisco Bay Plan is required. The project activity does not involve activity within a Coastal Zone Management Area (CZM) area.  
**Source Documents:**  
http://www.bcdc.ca.gov/laws/plans/sfplan#5  
United States National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. State Coastal Zone Boundaries, California.  
Internet Web Site:  
Google Earth Map |
| Sole Source Aquifers  
[40 CFR 149] | A | The project is not served by a US EPA designated sole-source aquifer, is not located within a sole-source aquifer watershed, and would not affect a sole-source aquifer subject to the HUD EPA MOU.  
**Source Documents:**  
United States Environmental Protection Agency. Sole Source Aquifers in Region 9. Internet Website:  
| Endangered Species Act  
[50 CFR 402] | A | The project activity involves a previously developed urban property and thus would have no effect on any natural habitats or federally protected species. The project site is entirely developed and therefore does not support these species’ habitat requirements.  
**Source Documents:**  
City of San Francisco Planning Department Property Information Map.  
| Wild and Scenic Rivers Act | A | No wild and scenic rivers are located within the City and County of San Francisco. |
| Clean Air Act - [Sections 76(c), (d), and 40 CFR 6, 51, 93] | B | The project does not involve acquisition of undeveloped land, a change in land use, major rehabilitation that would cost 75% or more of the property value, or new construction. The project does not meet thresholds for review by the Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD) for air quality impacts, as it is minor in nature; thus, the project conforms to the State Implementation Plan (SIP).

The building was constructed in 1954, before the 1978 federal bans on friable asbestos-containing building materials and lead-containing paints. Therefore, project activities could result in a release of these materials.

Removal of asbestos materials would comply with the National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants and the Bay Area Air Quality Management District Regulation 11, Rule 2.

The project has the potential to disturb lead-based paint. Construction activities that disturb materials or paints containing any amount of lead are subject to certain requirements of the Cal/OSHA lead standard contained in Title 8, CCR Section 1532.1. Deteriorated paint is defined to be Title 17, CCR, Division 1, Chapter 8, Section 35022 as a presumed lead-based paint that is cracking, chalking, chipping, peeling, non-intact, failed, or otherwise separating from a component. Demolition of a deteriorated lead containing paint component would require waste characterization and appropriate disposal.

In addition, lead-based paint remediation and stabilization associated with the proposed project will comply with the HUD Lead Safe Housing Rule 24 CFR Part 35, Subpart R — Methods and Standards for Lead-Paint Hazard Evaluation and Hazard Reduction Activities. Subpart R provides standards and methods for evaluation and hazard reduction activities required in subparts B, C, D, and F through M of 24 CFR Part 35.

Source Documents:

Internet Web Site: http://www.baaqmd.gov/fileadmin/Planning%20and%20Research/Particulate%20Matter/sb656_staff_reportashx
Accessed an July 8, 2014.

Bay Area Air Quality Management District, Metropolitan
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Document</th>
<th>Impact Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>HUD ENVIRONMENTAL STANDARDS: Noise Abatement and Control</strong> [24 CFR 51B]</td>
<td>The project would not create new noise sources and would have no noise impacts under HUD guidelines. The project does lie within 15 miles of San Francisco International Airport, but because the project would not significantly expand existing operations, this airport noise would not have an effect on the area. The project would create new noise sources only during construction activities. <strong>Source Documents:</strong> United States Department of Housing and Urban Development. The Noise Guidebook. Environmental Planning Division, Office of Environment and Energy. September 1991. United States Department of Housing and Urban Development. Environmental Criteria and Standards. 24 CFR Part 51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explosive and Flammable Operations [24 CFR 51C]</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Source Documents: |
| United States Department of Housing and Urban Development. Environmental Criteria and Standards. 24 CFR Part 51 |
| San Francisco Department of Public Health List of Above Ground Storage Tanks in San Francisco, |

| Hazardous, Toxic or Radioactive Materials & Substances [24 CFR 58.5(i)(2)] | A | The project site and the surrounding area were reviewed for documented use, storage, and/or release of toxic, hazardous and radioactive substances via the California State Water Resources Boar GeoTracker Website, the California Department of Toxic Substances Control Envirostar website and the EPA NEPAssist website. Based upon a review of data from the California State Water Board’s GeoTracker website and NEPAssist the reviewer has determined that the subject and adjacent properties are free of hazardous materials, contamination, toxic chemicals, gasses and radioactive substances which could affect the health or safety of occupants or conflict with the intended use of the subject property. The search of GeoTracker returned 68 LUST sites within a half mile of the project site. Of these two sites are open and inactive; three are open for remediation and one is open for site assessment. A search using NEPAssist returned 11 small quantity RCRA generators within a half mile of the site. All 11 sites are in compliance with applicable regulatory authorities and no violations are listed. A search using the California Department of Toxic Substances Control Envirostar website returned two results within the half mile search radius. No further action is required on either site. |

| Source Document: |
| California State Water Resources Control Board GeoTracker Website; [Link] |
| Site accessed July 8, 2014. |
| California Department of Toxic Substances Control Envirostar website: [Link] |
Airport Clear Zones and Accident Potential Zones [24 CFR 51D]  

A  

The project does not lie within an Airport Clear Zone or Accident Potential Zone.

Source Document:  

DETERMINATION:

☐ This project converts to EXEMPT, per Section 58.34(a)(12), because it does not require any mitigation for compliance with any listed statutes or authorities, nor requires any formal permit or license (Status "A" has been determined in the status column for all authorities); **Funds may be committed and drawn down** for this (now) EXEMPT project; OR

☒ This project cannot convert to Exempt status because one or more statutes or authorities require formal consultation or mitigation. Complete consultation/mitigation protocol requirements, **publish NOI/RROF and obtain Authority to Use Grant Funds** (HUD 7015.16) per Section 58.70 and 58.71 before committing or drawing down funds; OR

☐ The unusual circumstances of this project may result in a significant environmental impact. This project requires preparation of an Environmental Assessment (EA). Prepare the EA according to 24 CFR Part 58 Subpart E.

PREPARER SIGNATURE: __________________________ DATE: July 8, 2014

PREPARER NAME, COMPANY: Eugene T. Flannery, Environmental Compliance Manager, MOHCD

RESPONSIBLE ENTITY AGENCY OFFICIAL / SIGNATURE: ______________________________________

NAME, TITLE: Brian Cheu, Director, Community Development Division, Mayor’s Office of Housing and Community Development, City and County of San Francisco  

DATE: July 9, 2014